Authentic Transnational Democracy

by Nuriyeni Bintarsari

Submission date: 15-Dec-2022 10:44AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1981678526

File name: INSIGNIA_Authentic_Transnational_Democracy744_fix.pdf (4.57M)

Word count: 4325

Character count: 18847

ISSN: 2089-1962

VOLUME 02, APRIL - OKTOBER 2012



Implementasi Perspektif Green Politics : Pelaksanaan Tata Kelola Lingkungan Hidup Dalam Kerangka Otonomi Daerah

Arif Darmawan, Achmad Sururi

Penyelesaian Politik Sebagai Alternatif Pendekatan Keamanan Di Papua

Ica Wulansari

Perspektif Geopolitik dan Analisis Kepentingan Cina dalam Sengketa (Konflik) Teritorial di Kawasan Laut Cina Selatan

Novinda Putri Utami, Tundjung Linggarwati

Authentic Transnational Democracy

Nuriyeni Kartika Bintarsari

Transformasi Asia Timur dan Tantangannya bagi Indonesia

Agus Haryanto

Analisis Politik Hukum Internasional tentang Pertanggungjawaban pada Pencemaran Minyak di Laut

Sri Wijayanti, Nuriyeni Kartika Bintarsari

LABORATORIUM HUBUNGAN INTERNASIONAL



UNIVERSITAS JENDERAL SOEDIRMAN

STRUKTUR KEPENGURUSAN "JURNAL INSIGNIA" PRODI HI

Pelindung / Penanggung Jawab:

Drs. Muslihudin, M.Si (Dekan FISIP)

Penasehat:

Dr. Masrukin M. Si (Pembantu Dekan I) Tundjung Linggarwati, S.IP., M.Si (Ketua Prodi HI)

Ketua Penyunting:

Nuriyeni Kartika Bintarsari, S.IP., MA

Sekretaris:

Renny Miryanti S.IP M.Si

Penyunting Pelaksana:

Achmad Sururi, S.IP., MA Agus Haryanto, S.IP., M.Si Arif Darmawan, S.IP., M.Si Muhammad Yamin, S.IP., M.Si Sri Wijayanti, S.IP., M.Si

Penyunting Ahli:

Sartika Soesilowati Ph.D. (UNAIR) Prof. Jahja Muhaimin Ph.D. (UGM)

Sekretariat:

Tutik Hendriani Ma'mum Setiaji

AUTHENTIC TRANSNATIONAL DEMOCRACY

Nuriyeni Kartika Bintarsari 1

Abstract

Artikel ini membahas mengenai konsep dan praktek Demokrasi Transnasional yang Otentik. Definisi Demokrasi Transnasional Otentik adalah satu konsep demokrasi yang menerapkan serangkaian prinsip seperti perlindungan hukum, kesetaraan dalam berpolitik dan adanya pengakuan terhadap Hak Asasi Manusia dalam tiap praktek perpolitikan secara nasional dan internasional. Pada pelaksanaannya secara global, Demokrasi Transnasional Otentik membutuhkan aktor-aktor politik internasional yang terbagi dalam kategori institusi formal maupun masyarakat sipil global yang non formal. Bagaimana kedua tipe aktor politik internasional tersebut menerjemahkan dan mendistribusikan prinsip-prinsip Demokrasi Transnasional Otentik akan dijelaskan dalam artikel ini.

Kata kunci: Demokrasi Transnasional Otentik, institusi formal internasional, masyarakat sipil global

I. Introduction

widely discussed the discourse on (Held: 1999, in Shapiro & Hacker-Cordon: 1999, McGrew: 2002 in Carter& Stokes: 2002, Dryzek: 1999). Democracy beyond state borders is what Held (1995) has called the Cosmopolitan model of democracy.

This article will examine the question In the late 1990s, politics and on 'does the future for more authentic international relations scholars transnational democracy lie in informal civil society, more formal democracy beyond state borders institutions, or both?' The concept of transnational democracy is closely related to the growing concern to extend the framework of democracy among states and other democratic principles into international system

Penulis adalah dosen Prodi Hubungan Internasional FISIP UNSOED Purwokerto

important role in promoting and transnational democracy. practicing the principles of International Non II. democracy. Governmental Organizations (INGOs), ethnic groups, issue-specific (Keane: 2003, Kaldor: 2003).

States are no longer the only civil society from different regions in prominent actors in world politics, the world, I conclude that informal civil society actors and formal civil society will have more important international institutions also play an roles in advancing the ideas of

Informal Civil Society and **Formal Institutions**

The definitions on what are an groups, religious and other interest informal civil society and a formal groups that are able to extend their institution are important to concerns into international level-have understand how formal and informal formed social networks that scholars actor of international politics interact termed the 'Global Civil Society' and performs their roles in advancing the ideas of authentic transnational In the first section of this democracy. My understanding of the essay, I will focus on explaining the term 'authentic transnational concepts of formal institution and democracy' is that it is an idea of informal civil society and their roles in implementing democratic principles advancing the principles of Authentic such as: the rule of law, political Transnational Democracy. In the equality, and the recognition of human next section, I will examine how rights, that refers to certain conditions informal civil society helps promoting where political interactions promoted the Authentic Transnational and protected by these principles. The Democracy, followed by how formal above principles are 'substantive institutions help to promote Authentic rather than symbolic, informed rather Transnational Democracy. I will than ignorant, and completely argue that the future for more engaged' (Dryzek: 1996, in Cochran, authentic transnational democracy 2002:525). Substantive here refers to lies in both the informal civil society imbedded principles of democracy in and formal institutions. However, every aspect of political life, in based on recent developments in contradiction to a mere symbolic use international politics such as: of democratic principles. The idea of globalization, the changing nature of democratic principles should be migration and intense contacts among engaged in the society as substantive

democratic principles. institutions that will house it'. NGOs, social movements, Informal Civil Society and formal international public spheres (IPSs) institutions are the institutions that and others. I will elaborate more on are able to carry out the principles of this works of civil society in the transnational democracy. understand the definition of both society helps promoting transnational types of institutions is important in democracy. comprehending the link between these two types of institution in promoting institution is: 'rules and procedures authentic transnational democracy.

concept of informal (institutions) that Levitsky 2005: 727). Examples of will house the idea of democracy. formal institution can be categorized Informal institutions are 'socially as state institutions such as: courts, shared rules, usually unwritten, that legislatures, bureaucracies and stateare created, communicated, and enforced rules in forms of enforced outside of officially constitutions, laws and regulations sanctioned channels' (Helmke & (Helmke & Levitsky: 2004). Helmke Levitsky 2000: 727). Civil Society has and Levitsky distinguished the been described by Dryzek as 'a realm concept of institution and of freedom in political innovation that organization by arguing that

principles rather than symbolic ones. of necessity occupied by the state' Thus, this idea requires agents to (Dryzek 1999: 44). Civil Society can implement the concept of authentic also be seen as: 'functional terms as Agents to public action in response to failure in implement the democratic principles either the state or the economy' should be capable of promoting it (Janicke 1996, in Dryzek 1999: 44). across state borders, which I believe Based on the two concepts, I conclude this is the core idea of transnational that Informal Civil Society includes all democracy. Dryzek (1999: 46) has the public actions, socially shared rules stated that 'any account of democracy and organization (of civilian) that act (transnational or otherwise) can outside official channels. Examples of expect to be asked about the civil society are abundant, such as: To discussion on how informal civil

The definition of formal that are created, communicated and Informal Civil Society as enforced through channels widely democracy actor for me refers to the accepted as official' (Helmke & stands in marked contrast to the realm institution as rules and organization as

reflected in his article:

'...since states are seldom eager to devolve competencies on issue specific to inter-local but trans-border institutions, the player involved are often forced to extend their activities beyond their assigned jurisdictions..'(Archibugi 2004: 446)

I am aware of the fact that although Archibugi had strong arguments in the importance of non state political actors, states are still the politics. At least, state is the only actor that can issued war against other society's opinion are important, a resolution on it.

(Rosenau 1998, in Cochran 2002: 518). Dryzek and Bohman (Cochran: 2004).

actors other than nation states, as Rosenau explained those two approaches as:

- 1. Top down processes, which are directed by states or imposed by them, yielding hierarchical structures; and
- 2. Bottom up processes which evolve from 'publics or economies' on the basis of 'repeated interactions', resulting in 'fledgling control mechanisms'

The Top down approach were ones that have the dominant power in being introduced by David Held as he believes in the reformation of UN security council, enhancement of states. As in the case of the United European Council and increased States of America (USA) and it allies regionalization, cross-national in the preventive attack toward Iraq in referenda and establish a stronger 2003, despite lack of international International courts, and using these supports to justify the war. However, international institutions as devices to it is obvious that the growing accomplish the idea of Cosmopolitan recognition toward international democracy (Held: 1995) and further explained by Dryzek (1999). Bottom otherwise the USA and its allies would up approach, on the other hand, not insist to get the permission of UN introduced by James Bohman and Security Council in the first place, Dryzek (Cochran: 2002). I refer to the although in the end they chose to idea of bottom up approach as being ignore the reluctance of UN to issued explained by Dryzek as the importance of networking and The formal and informal civil communicative power of discourse. society as agents of transnational Global informal civil society is among democracy are works both from the important actors in the bottom up top-down and bottom-up approach approach model as being described by

actors. (organizations). democracy and survive in the Democracy. international society being described Cosmopolitan Democracy in those are: particular. Rosenau and Young in Dryzek (1999) suggested about the form of governance without government (government in the form of states), in which order comes from international regimes, laws and organizations and not states authorities. I understand this concept as a form of global governance. Global governance idea makes it possible to create order beyond the Archibugi's assumptions on the basic

Nevertheless, they Cosmopolitan Democracy, which also acknowledged that rules (institutions) serves as one strategy to attain are embedded within actors Transnational Democracy (McGrew Based on this 2002, in Carter and Stokes: 2002). The assumption, I did not rigidly term Cosmopolitan Democracy refers differentiate between the concepts of to 'a new democratic complex with rules as institution and actors as global scope, given shape and form by organization. I will refer to both rules reference to a basic democratic and actors when I explain about law... (Held 1999: 84, in Shapiro and formal institution in this article. The Hacker-Cordon: 1999). This global next question is how institutions democratic complex is the purpose of perform their function as agents of an Authentic Transnational

Archibugi (2004) proposed as an anarchic system (Waltz: 1979, seven assumptions on the idea of Keohane: 1989). How would a concept Cosmopolitan Democracy, three of democracy survive outside the state assumptions of them were served as borders? My answer would be to look propositions in attaining the at the concept of Global Governance importance of informal civil society in in general and the concept of promoting Cosmopolitan Democracy,

- Global democracy is not just the achievement of democracy within each state
- 2. Globalisation erodes states political autonomy and thereby curtails the efficacy of state-based democracy
- 3. Stakeholders communities don't necessarily correspond to national borders

authority of states. How exactly this principles to attain Cosmopolitan global order and justice can be Democracy also indicate the emerging achieved is through the attainment of power of global political agents or I will explain on the works of informal governance. civil society and formal institutions in section.

Transnational Democracy

other civil organization. organizations frequently linked to (Kaldor: 2003, Keane: 2003) government channels through the acts authorities but the organizations itself as: ideologically. Scholte (2004) argued institutions that straddle the whole that civil society associations can influence the accountability of government networks in four ways: 1) increasing the public transparency of global governance operations; 2) monitoring and reviewing global policies; 3) seeking redress for mistakes and harms attributable to global regulatory bodies; and 4) advancing the creation of formal accountability mechanisms for global economic institutions and actors who

Informal civil societies are able promoting an Authentic to do those tasks by creating networks Transnational Democracy in the next among themselves. Political scholars (Scholte: 2004, Collingwood & Logister: 2005) has noticed that civil How Informal Civil Society society can obtain power from the Helps to Promote Authentic broad network among civil society organizations, despite the lack of Principles of democracy that resources (financial, qualified staffs spread across state borders can be and lobbying power) that these effectively promoted by Informal Civil organizations have. I argued that this Informal Civil Society networking strategy as one of civil appears in forms of INGOs, society's strength. Informal civil professional organization such as societies need to expand their labor union, religious groups and networks internationally, thus create a These society called global civil society

Keane (2003:8) defined the of lobbying or cooperation with state global civil society in their ideal term

is considered free from official "a dynamic non governmental system intervention, either financially or of interconnected socio-economic earth, and that have complex effects that are felt in its four corners'

> Keane (2003:8) further argued that global civil society is not a finished form of institution, instead it is:

> 'an unfinished project that consists of sometimes thick, sometimes thinly stretched networks, pyramids and hub-and- spoke clusters of socio

world together in new ways...'

of the election results nationally.

organize themselves across borders, countries, INGO like Election Watch with the deliberate aim of drawing the and some local NGOs, focused on the monitoring of political parties As an unfinished form, global campaign. These groups reported civil society is an ongoing project for that there were several violations political community to work on. toward the free election principles and Kaldor (2003) summed up that for violence during the election process, 'Western radicals' civil society can but nevertheless it is the first time that perform to challenge the authority of such an independent election watch state and the power of capitalism. The can operate in the general election in concept of civil society which operates Indonesia. Clark (2000) noted that the at the global level can be perceived to year of 1999 can be said as a banner be carried on by organizations such as: year for the global democratic the international Red Cross, Christian development. Clark mentioned two Aid, Falun Gong, Amnesty events that marked the importance of international, and internet-network the emerging attention to the organization like OpenDemocracy.net, development of democratic Election Watch, and many others. community, first, is the gathering of One case study on the contribution of sixteen leaders of 'emerging NGO to check the performance of democracies' countries in Yemen in state in applying democratic principles 1999. In June 2000, there was a is the case of Indonesia during the paralleled meeting between general election in 1999. In 1999, governments and NGOs in Poland, Indonesia held its first open general along with the USA, the Republic of election after the fall of The New Korea, India, the Czech Republic, Mali Order Regime (1967-1998). There and Chile. In the recent times, we all were some transnational NGOs that remembered the mass protest in were involved in the process of Tahrir square, Cairo, Egypt on monitoring the election, from the January 2011 that lasted for eighteen election campaign until the counting days. The people of Egypt urged that President Hosni Mobarak's three Independent monitor groups decades in power to end in no time. were actively involved in the process, There were some reports by foreign among others are: Election watch journalists on the increase of youth committee from European Union unemployment, poverty, corruption,

and torture toward civilians who state's policies. Nevertheless, I believe voiced their opinion on democracy. in the continuing project to empower The mass protest was organized and improve the capabilities of global informally by facebook community civil society, this efforts can remedy and successfully gathered million of civil society weaknesses to some people on the Tahrir Square. This extent. event finally managed to force President Hosni Mubarak to step IV. down from presidency and thus marked the new era for Egyptian politics.

Transnational and local NGOs

How Formal Institutions Helps to Promote an Authentic Transnational Democracy

In discussing the importance focus on the process of of formal institutions as political democratization in countries and help actors to promote transnational captive the attention of international democracy, Gould (2005:162) asked media to scrutinize the foreign and questions such as: 'What forms of internal affairs of particular transnational democratic governments. Election monitoring accountability, if any, do such and the use of information and supranational entities as the communication technology/ICT International Monetary Fund and the (Collingwood & Logister: 2005) is one World Bank or similar International way to encourage governments to join agencies have to the constituent the discourse of free fair elections in peoples in the countries affected by democracy. As an ongoing project, them? What effect does globalization global civil society is not free from have on the emerging democracies or critics. Amoore and Langley (2004) developing nations that are highly criticized global civil society as dependent economically and unstable remaining 'something to be achieved'. politically?' These concerns arise Amoore and Langley analyzed that because those global economic civil society organizations are not free institutions can directly affect the well from the influence of state and capital. being of citizen in which their policies Many civil organizations receive fund apply, and not to mention the from multinational corporations and environment impact of their economic many of them do not have access to and political activities, which in return official channels, let alone to influence can have global impact. Gould further

The proposal to establish a form of (Helmke & Levitsky: 2004). like world citizenship to conduct a the actor in the top down approach and Deliberative Democracy on an extra informal civil society representing the national basis. formal institution that can reasonably of the Security Council and the achieve the principles of transnational General Assembly in the United democracy is the European Union Nations (UN) body, the making of with its parliament. In which the international human rights court and citizen of EU states member extend the establishment of global their democracy participation into parliament to ensure that democratic transnational level, through the principles being practiced in those representation of EU parliament institutions. members (being elected by the citizen of member states).

argues that a normative issue for attack Iraq in 2003. This tendency of democratic theory is how to formal institutions that act as a double implement democratic control of edged sword toward democracy is not intergovernmental bodies, since their appeared in the informal civil society. power over developing countries and As the latter institutions basically its citizen can also hinder the formed to complement the gaps that attainment of democratic principles. the former institutions failed in global parliament as one way to pursue complementary interaction between the Cosmopolitan Democracy was informal civil society and formal being criticized by Gould (2004) as institutions is what constitute the top implausible, at least in the near term, down and bottom up approach. since it will need to base on something Formal institutions are representing Instead, Gould proponent of bottom up approach. suggests that in the short term, the Held (1995) proposed the reformation

Recent developments in Formal international politics, such as: the hard institutions can as well serve the core realist agenda of neorealist interest of non democratic regimes regime in the USA toward other and countries as in the case of some political actors that have different policies of World Trade Organization stand points from them and the weak (WTO) in privileging the Most power of United Nations has lessened Favored Nations (MFNs) or the the legitimacy of formal institutions coalition of USA and its allies in the in promoting the idea of an authentic form of Coalition of the willing to transnational democracy. Based on

decentralized states system will also democratic principles. play important roles in promoting transnational democracy.

these facts, I argue that although the perceived that formal institutions in attainment of an authentic the form of nation states and transnational democracy lies in both intergovernmental organization still informal civil society and formal play important roles in international institutions, informal civil society will politics. However, due to recent be more legitimate to promote the developments in world politics, ideas of transnational democracy. A informal civil society is considered to robust global civil society and more be more legitimate in promoting

Conclusion

The idea of Authentic Transnational Democracy that needs to be addressed by informal civil society and formal institutions has been elaborated throughout this article. The definition of informal civil society, formal institutions and examples of each of them has helped to delineate the link between the bottom up approach and the top down approach in promoting the idea of transnational democracy. described how informal civil society and formal institution helps to promote an authentic transnational democracy, how each of these actors obtain their legitimacy as transnational political actor. I came to the conclusion that informal civil society and formal institutions has complementary roles toward each other in promoting democracy. I

REFERENCES

Democracy and its Critics: A Review". Press. 2004 European Journal of International Relations. Vol.10(3). 2004

"Ambiguities of Global Civil Society". Polity Press. 1995 Review of International Stdies. No.30 2004

Cambridge: Polity Press. 2002

Cochran, Molly. Pragmatism from the Bottom-up". Press. 2003 European Journal of International Studies. Vol. 8(4). 2002

Clark, Elizabeth S. "Why Elections Press. 2003 Matter". The Washington Quarterly. Vol. 23 (3). 2000

Collingwood, Vivien and Logister, World Politics". the INGO Legitimacy deficit". Westview Press. 1989 Political Studies Review. Vol.3. 2005

Dryzek, John. Democracy". The Journal of Political Cambridge: Cambridge University Philosophy. Vol. 7(1). 1999

Democracy and Human Rights. Archibugi, Daniele. "Cosmopolitan Cambridge: Cambridge University

Held, David and Archibugi, Daniele (eds). Cosmopolitan Democracy: An Amoore, Louise and Langley, Paul. Agenda for a New World Order. UK:

Helmke, Gretchen and Levitsky, "Informal Institutions and Steven. Carter, April and Stokes, Geoffrey Comparative Politics: A Research (eds). Democratic Theory Today. Agenda. Perspectives on Politics. Vol. 2(4). 2004

"A Democratic Kaldor, Mary. Global Civil Society: An Critique of Cosmopolitan Democracy: Answer to War. Cambridge: Polity

> Keane, John. Global Civil Society? Cambridge: Cambridge University

Keohane, Robert O. "Neoliberal Institutionalism: A Perspective on International Louis. "State of the Art: Addressing Institutions and State Power. Boulder:

> Shapiro, Ian and Hacker-Cordon, "Transnational Casiano (eds). Democracy's Edges. Press. 1999

Globalizing Scholte, Jan A. "Civil Society and Gould, Carol C.

Democratically Accountable Global Governance". Government and Opposition. Vol. 39. 2004

Waltz, Kenneth. Theory of International Politics. Chapter 5. New York: Random House. 1979

Authentic Transnational Democracy

ORIGINALITY REPORT

%
SIMILARITY INDEX

0%
INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

< 1%

%

Exclude bibliography