The Contribution of Tourism to Economic Growth: The Case of Central Java, Indonesia

Submission date: 21-May-2023 12:21PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 2098116838 File name: Prosiding_ICSCA_The_Contribution_Tourism_to_Economic_Growth.pdf (283.76K) Word count: 3490 Character count: 18900



The Contribution of Tourism to Economic Growth: The Case of Central Java, Indonesia

Agus Arifin^{1*}

^{1*}Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, arifinie@gmail.com, Indonesia *Agus Arifin

ABSTRACT

Central Java Province has great tourism potential to be developed. It can be seen from the increasing number of tourism visits and objects in Central Java during the last five years. This research aims to analyze the contribution of tourism to economic performance of Central Java. By using panel data regression analysis from time series, 2014 to 2018, and cross secton, 35 regencies/cities, the effect of some tourism variables on economic growth of this province could be analyzed. The results found that there were positive effect of Loc 10 Own-Source Revenue, the number of tourist attractions, and the number of hotels, while, negative effect of the number of tourist visits, partially, on economic growth. The implication of this research were the Government of Central Java should continue to advance and improve the tourism sector, besides the roles of entrepreneurs and tourism stakeholders to provide excellent services, such as improvement of infrastructures and easing access to the tourism destinations. Those high performances will increase tourist arrivals and then the Local Own-Source Revenue and finally the economic growth of Central Java. In addition, it will also attract for new investments in the tourism sector.

Keywords: Tourism; local-own-source revenue; economic growth; attractions.

1. Introduction

Economic growth is an indicator of the performance of economic activity in a country or region over a certain period of time, therefore, it means a process of changing economic conditions into better social welfare (Ernita, 2013). Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is the important macroeconomic variable which is used to measure the economic growth (Mankiw, 2016). For Indonesian country, tourism is a part of subsector of GDP which contributes about 4 - 5 percent per year (2018 and 2019), although the share is not large enough but can't be underestimated. In 2019, the investment of the tourism subsector attained US\$ 1.6 billion or 80.43 percent of the government target (CNN Indonesia, 2020).

Ministry of Tourism and Creative Economy (2018) stated the employment of the tourism subsector reached 12.7 million people or about 10 percent of the total population of Indonesia that was employed, therefore, there was great opportunity for developing tourism subsector (CNN Indonesia, 2020). The tourism subsector was essensial for catalyzing the development through increasing income distribution, employment, and GDP (Soebagyo, 2012).



Central Java is one of the provinces in Java Island that pays more attention to tourism. There are many interesting attractions, among which are some ancient buildings. The attractions of ancient buildings include Puri Maerokoco, Museum Ranggawarsita, Temples (Borobudur, Prambanan, Mendut, Pawon, Dieng temples), Gedong Songo, Ambarawa Railway Museum, etc. Besides that, there are also natural tourist attractions such as Baturaden in Banyumas, Tawangmangu in Karanganyar, Ayah Beach and Manganti Beach in Kebumen, Owabong in Purbalingga, Kampung Batik Lawean in Surakarta, Ketep Pass in Magelang, etc. (Central Java Culture and Tourism Office, 2020).

Many of those tourist attractions have proven to be able to attract many visitors/tourists. The number of tourists in Central Java Province can be seen at Table 1.

Year	Tourists				
	Foreign (person)	%	Domestic (person)	%	
2013	388,143	-	29,430,609	-	
2014	419,584	8.10	29,852,095	1.43	
2015	421,191	0.38	33,030,843	10.65	
2016	578,924	37.45	36,899,776	11.71	
2017	782,107	35.10	40,118,470	8.72	
2018	677,168	-13.42	49,943,607	24.49	
2019	691,699	2.15	57,900,863	15.93	
2020	78,290	-88.68	22,629,085	-60.92	

Source: Regional Youth, Sport, and Tourism Service of Central Java Province, 2021

The number of foreign and domestic tourists in Central Java Province from 2013 to 2019 showed positive trend, decreased slightly in 2017-2018 in foreign visit. Overall, before the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, conditions werw relatively better from year to year.

Tourism subsector has also proved to contribute large share to Local Own-Source Revenue (PAD) of Central Java Province. The tourism subsector of its PAD consists of 5 sources, i.e. hotel and restaurant taxes, entertainment taxes, tourist object charges and attractions, tourism business permit fees, and 12 ser fees assets owned by the Local Government (rent/contract/profit sharing). Here is the data of Local Own-Source Revenue (PAD) of Central Java Province.

	14
Table 2. Tourism Subsector Contributon	to Local Own-Source Revenue (PAD) of
Central Java Pro	vince 2014-2018

Central Sava Flovinee 2014-2010				
No. Year		Tourism subsector contribution to PAD (Rupiah)		
1.	2014	232,510,898,616		
2.	2015	238,373,330,846		
3.	2016	262,984,817,326		
4.	2017	212,570,844,806		
5.	2018	301,622,707,421		

Source: Statistics Indonesia, 2019



Based on Table 4, the tourism subsector experienced fluctuations during the period of 5 years. In 2015-2016 it experienced an increase from 238,373,330,846 rupiahs to 262,984,817,326 rupiahs while in 2017 it decreased to 212,570,844,806 rupiahs, then turned back, in 2018 it increased significantly to 301,622,707,421 rupiahs. This subsector was sourced from local taxes or levies on tourism, hotel and restaurant taxes.

2. Literature Review

Economic growth means the development of fiscal production of goods and services prevailing in a country, such as the increase and the amount of production of industrial goods, the development of infrastructure, the increase in the number of schools, the increase in the production of service sectors and the increase in the production of capital goods, the measure that is always used is the growth rate of real national income achieved (Sukirno, 2011).

Harrod-Domar theory of economic growth has an assumption: (a) the economy is in full employment and capital goods that comprise the community are fully utilized, (b) the economy consists of two sectors, namely the household sector and the corporate sector, (c) the amount of savings is proportional to the size of national income, and (d) Marginal Propensity to Save (MPS) is fixed. This theory also stated that to grow the economy, new investments are needed as additional capital stock which said that if you want to grow, the economy must save and invest a certain proportion of total output. The more savings and then invested, the faster the economy will grow (Arsyad, 2004).

The link between tourism and economic growth with a focus on the macroeconomic impact of tourism was conducted by Balaguer & Cantavella-Jorda (2002): tourism has a direct impact on the economy, including employment creation, income redistribution, and strengthening the balance of payments. Tourist spending, as an alternative form of export, contributes in the form foreign exchange earnings (balance of payments) and income derived from tourism expansion. Foreign exchange earnings from tourism can also be used to import capital goods to produce goods and services, which in turn leads to economic growth.

Sutrisno (2013) concluded that tourist attractions in Central Java Province include natural attractions, recreational parks, and also cultural arts. The number of attractions continues to grow each year and adds many more choices for tourists to gipy the charm and unique culture and geographic environment. According to Amnar (2017) that the number of foreign tourists, the number of local tourists, the number of tourist attractions and the number of hotel rooms/ occupancy rates have a positive and significant effect on Sabang City regional economic growth.

3. Research Methodology

The purpose of the research is variables determining economic growth where focus on tourist variables. This research uses quantitative approach with panel data of variables, i.e. 5 years times series and 35 regencies and cities in Cent 15 Java Province. There are three main independent variables of tourist, i.e. number of visitors, number of tourist destinations, and 14 unber of hotels; and two control variables, i.e. capital and labor; and one regional variable, i.e. Local Own-Source



Revenue (PAD). The model is analyzed by multiple regression technique with the following equation:

$$EG_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LR_{it} + \beta_2 NV + \beta_3 ND_{it} + \beta_4 NH_{it} + e_{it} + \beta_5 K_{it} + \beta_6 L_{it} + e_{it}$$

where:

EG = Economic Growth of regency/city LR = Local Own-Source Revenue (PAD) = Number of visitors NV ND = Number of tourist destination NH = Number of hotels Κ = Capital L = Labor = Constant β_0 $\beta_1 - \beta_4 = \text{Coefficient of variables}$ = Error term e_{it} i = regency / city = time (year) t

There 13 re several methods that can be used to estimate the specific model of regression model with panel data, i.e. Common Effect Model (CEM), Fixed Effect Model (FEM), and Random Effect Model (REM).

4. Result and Discussion

Chow Test

The C1 w test is a test to determine whether the Common Effect model is better than the Fixed Effect model in estimating the panel data regression model which is then used for classic 12 sumption tests and statistical tests (Widarjono, 2009). The following chow test results can be seen in the following table.

Table 3. The Result of Chow Test						
Effects Test	Statistic	d.f.	Prob.			
Cross-section F	2.301767	(34,134)	0.0004			
Cross-section Chi-square	80.495182	34	0.0000			
Severe Outent Evine 10 (Decence 1 date)						

Source: Output Eviews 10 (Processed data)

Based on the results of the probability value for the cross-section of F, the probability value is 0.0004 < 0.05, the better model to use is the Common Effect Model compared to the Fixed Effect Model.

• Hausman Test (LM)

By looking at the different characteristic 11 h each region, there are two possible methods used, namely the fixed effect model and the random effect model. The Hausman test is a



method used to select the best model between the fixed effect model and the command effect model.

The Hausman test value is significant, that is, with the error probability of the Hausman test <0.05, the fixed effect model is better to use. However, if the Hausman test value is not significant, that is, the probability of error from that Hausman test> 0.05 means that the random effect model is better to use. The following results of the Hausman test in this study can be seen in the following table.

Table 4. Th	e Result of Hausman	Test	
	Chi-Sq.		
Test Summary	Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random	12.780247	6	0.0467
0 0 E ! 10 /	11.		

Source: Output Eviews 10 (processed data)

Based on the results of the Hausman test above, it shows that the probability of error from the Hausman test (0.0467) > 0.05 so that the Hausman test results show that the Fixed Effect Model is better to use than the Random Effect Model, so it can be concluded that in the factor analysis research factors that influence economic growth in regencies/cities in Central Java Province to test classical assumptions and statistical tests using the Fixed Effect Model.

• Lagrange Multiplier Test

This test is used to determine whether the Common Effect Model or the Random Effect Model is the most appropriate to be used in estimating panel data, the results of the research can be seen in the following table:

	and the second man and here a second s
	LM count = $\frac{nT}{2(T-1)} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{t=1}^{T} \bar{e})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} e^{2}} - 1 \right]^{2}$ atau LM count = $\frac{nT}{2(T-1)} \left[\frac{T^{2} \sum \bar{e}^{2}}{\sum e^{2}} - 1 \right]^{2}$
where:	
Ν	= number of companies
Т	= number of periods
$\sum \bar{e}^2$	= the average number of squares of the residuals
$\sum_{i} \bar{e}^2$ $\sum_{i} e^2$	= the sum of the residual squared
LM count	$=\frac{35\times5}{2(5-1)}\times\left[\frac{5^2\times1.409088}{22.8178}-1\right]^2$
LM count	$=\frac{175}{8} \times \left[\frac{35.23}{22.8178} - 1\right]^2$
LM count	$= 21.875 \times [1.5357 - 1]^2$
LM count	$= 21.875 \times [1.5357]^2$
LM count	$= 21.875 \times 0.2870$
LM count	= 6.2783
	16
Resed on f	he LM count value is smaller than the Chi Square table $(7:0.05) - 14.0671$

The sed on the LM count value is smaller than the Chi Square table (7; 0.05) = 14.0671, the model chosen is the Common Effect model (CEM).



Then, the model chosen (i.e. CEM) was analyzed by multiple regression to estimate the effect of those independent variables on economic growth. Based on the results of panel data regression with the Common Effect Model, the following results are obtained.

Table 5. The Result of Hausman Test					
Variable	Coefficient	t-tabel	t-statistic	p-value	
LR	0.431352	1.97410	4.902489	0.0000	
NV	-0.063111	1.97410	-1.965317	0.0510	
ND	0.006482	1.97410	2.115005	0.0359	
NH	0.001149	1.97410	2.071672	0.0398	
Κ	-1.59E-14	1.97410	-1.002464	0.3176	
L	-0.059307	1.97410	-1.088682	0.2779	

Source: Output Eviews 10 (processed data)

By using a confidence level of 95 percent ($\alpha = 0.05$) obtained t-table of 1.97410. From the Table 5 it can be sets) that the independent variable with significant effect are Local Own-Source Revenue, number of tourist destination, and number of hotels.

The coefficient of Local Own-pource Revenue is 0.431352, meaning that if Local Own-Source Revenue increases by one percent, then economic growth will increase by 0.43 percent. The more tourists who visit tourist destinations, the more money spent in tourist destinations, either through taxes or hotel/residential levies, tourism objects and others, will go to Local Own-Source Revenue. In addition, tourism generates benefits to the regions such as small and medium enterprise development, new job creation, infrastructure improvements.

The coefficient of number of torist destination is 0.006482, meaning that if the number of tourism objects increases by one percent, then economic growth will increase by 0.006 percent. This is due to the increase in tax levies due to an increase in the number of tourism destination in Central Java. The existence of a Regional Regulation which regulates the imposition of taxes on the tourism sector in a city area is also beneficial for the government. If the number of tourism sector and contribute significantly to economic growth. The results of this study are also in accordance with research conducted by Amnar et al (2017) which states that the number of tourism objects has a positive effect on economic growth in Sabang City and research conducted by Handayani in Central Java (2012) found that the number of tourism objects has a significant effect on growth. the economy of the Central Java region.

The coefficient of of number of hotels is 0.001149, meaning that if the number of hotels increases by one percent, then economic growth will increase by 0.0011 percent. The existence of lodging/hotels in Central Java Province provides benefits to the Regional Government through hotel tax revenue. With the existence of a Regional Regulation which regulates the imposition of taxes on hotel or lodging service users, the existence of the number of hotels or lodging houses in a city area is also beneficial for the government. If the number of lodging houses increases, it is hoped that it can increase hotel tax revenue which will later increase revenue in the tourism sector which can



significantly increase economic growth. The results of this study are in line with research conducted by Windayani and Budhi (2017) that the number of occupancy or hotels has a positive and significant effect on economic growth in Bali Province.

5. Conclusion

Based on the results of research on the effect of the tourism sector on economic growth in Central Java Province using panel data regression analysis with the Common Effect Model 2014-2018, it can be concluded that the research results are as follows: (1) Local Own-Source Revenue (PAD) in the tourism sector has a significant positive effect on economic growth in Central Java, (2) the number of tourist destination has a significant positive effect on economic growth in Central Java, (3) the number of hotels has a significant positive effect on economic growth in Central Java.

The implication of this research were the Government of Central Java should continue to advance and improve the tourism sector, besides the roles of entrepreneurs and tourism stakeholders to provide excellent services, such as improvement of infrastructures and easier acces¹ to the tourism destinations. Those high performances will increase tourist arrivals and then the Local Own-Source Revenue and finally the economic growth of Central Java. In addition, it will also attract for new investments in the tourism sector.

References

Adisasmita, Rahardjo. 2013. Teori-Teori Pembangunan Ekonomi. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu

- Afifi, Mustofa. 2019. Pengaruh Sektor Pariwisata Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Provinsi D.I Yogyakarta Tahun 2011-2017.. UIN Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta.
- Amnar Shahkhibul dkk.(2017)."Pengaruh Pariwisata Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Kota Sabang". Jurnal Ekonomi danKebijakan Publik Indonesia, Vol. 4, No. 1
- Arsyad, L. (2010). Ekonomi pembangunan. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Arsyad,Lincolin. 1999. Pengantar Perencanaan Pembangunan Ekonomi Daerah. Yogyakarta :BPFE.
- Badan Pusat Statistik (2018), Statistik Keuangan Pemerintah Provinsi dan Kabupaten Kota di Jawa Tengah, diambil 1 Mei 2020, dari www.jateng.bps.go.id.
- _____ (2016), Statistik Keuangan Pemerintah Provinsi dan Kabupaten Kota di Jawa Tengah, diambil 1 Mei 2020, dari www.jateng.bps.go.id.
- (2017), Statistik Keuangan Pemerintah Provinsi dan Kabupaten Kota di Jawa Tengah, diambil 1 Mei 2020, dari www.jateng.bps.go.id.
- _____ (2018), Statistik Keuangan Pemerintah Provinsi dan Kabupaten Kota di Jawa Tengah, diambil 1 Mei 2020, dari www.jateng.bps.go.id.
- Balaguer, J., & Cantavella-Jorda, M. (2002). Tourism as a long-run economic growth factor: the Spanish case. Applied economics, 34(7), 877-884.
- Bojanic, D.C & Lo, M. (2016). A comparison of the moderating effect of tourism reliance on the economic development for islands and other countries. Tourism Management, Vol.53, Hal.207-214.
- CNN Indonesia. (2020, Februari 26). Menghitung Kontribusi Sektor Pariwisata Bagi Ekonomi RI. Media CNN Indonesia Ekonomi. Diakses dari http://m.cnnindonesia.com



Dinas Pemuda, Olahraga dan Pariwisata (2020), Statistik Pariwisata Jawa Tengah, diambil, dari http://disporapar.jatengprov.go.id/.

Dritsakis N. 2012. Tourism Development and Economic Growth in Seven Mediterranean Countries : A Paneld Data Approach. Tourism Economics. 18 (4): 801-816

- Ernita, D., Amar, S., & Syofyan, E. (2013). Analisis pertumbuhan ekonomi, investasi, dan konsumsi di Indonesia. Jurnal Kajian Ekonomi, 1(2).
- Eugenio-Martin JL, Morales NM, Scarpa R. 2004. Tourism and Economi Growth in Latin American Countries : A Panel Data Approach. JEL Classification : L83, O40, C33, O54
- Fauzan, Alfian Wahyu. (2015). Analisis Pengaruh Investasi, Tenaga Kerja Dan Tingkat Pendidikan Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi (Studi Kasus Kabupaten/Kota Jawa Tengah Tahun 2009-2013).
- Fayissa B, Nsiah C, Tadasse B. 2007. The Impact of Tourism on Economic Growth and Development in Africa. Department of Economics and Finance Working Paper Series. JEL Classifications : C33, F14, L83, O40, O54
- Ghozali, Imam. 2016. Aplikasi Analisis Multivariat Dengan Program SPSS. Semarang : Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Gokovali U, Bahar O. 2006. Contribution of Tourism to Economic Growth : A Panel Data Approach. Anatolia : An International Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Research. 17 (2)
- Gujarati, Damodar N dan Dawn C.Porter. 2013. Dasar-Dasar Ekonometrika Buku 2 Edisi 5. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Handayani, Murti. 2012. Analisis Pengaruh Jumlah Obyek Wisata, Jumlah Wisatawan, Tingkat Hunian Hotel dan Pendapatan Perkapita Terhadap Reribusi Obyek Pariwisata di kota kudus. Jurnal Ilmiah. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Dian Nuswantoro.
- Ikhsan, Arfan.(2008:2). Sistem Akuntansi Perhotelan. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu
- Itamar, Hugo. "Strategi Pengembangan Pariwisata di Kabupaten Tanah Toraja". Journal-UNHAS, Makassar: Universitas Hasanuddin, 2016, h.13.
- Jhingan, M.L. 2012. Ekonomi Pembangunan dan Perencanaan. (Alih Bahasa: D. Guritno). Jakarta: Rajawali Pers
- Kuncoro, Mudrajat. 2007. Metode Kuantitatif, Teori dan Aplikasi untuk Bisnis dan Ekonomi. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN
- Maulana, (2013). Analisis Pengaruh Investasi, Tenaga Kerja, dan Tingkat pendidikan Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Jawa Barat.
- Mudrikah, Alfiah. Dewi Sartika;Rahma Yuniarti; Ismanto dan Akbar Budi Satia. 2014. KONTRIBUSI SEKTOR PARIWISATA TERHADAP GDP INDONESIA TAHUN 2004 – 2009. Economics Development Analysis Journal. ISSN 2252-6765
- M. Liga Suryadana, Sosiologi Pariwisata: Kajian Kepariwisataan Dalam Paradigma Interagttif/ Transpormatif/ Menuju Wisata Spiritual , (Bandung: Humaniora, 2015), h. 55.
- Pambudi, Eko Wicaksono dan Miyasto (2013). Analisis Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Dan Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi (Kabupaten/Kota di Jawa Tengah). DIPONEGORO JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS Volume 2, Nomor 2.
- Pertiwi. (2014). Pengaruh Kunjungan Wisatawan, Retribusi Obyek Wisata dan Pajak Hotel Restoran Terhadap PAD Kabupaten Gianyar. E-Jurnal EP Unud, Vol.3, No. 3.
- Simundic B, Kulis Z, Seric N. 2016. Tourism and Economic Growth : An Evidence for Latin American and Caribbean Countries. Tourism & Hospitality Industry. Congress Preceedings, PP:457-469



Sitorus. A. V. Y. 2016. Dampak Ketimpangan Gender Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia. Jurnal Sosio Informa. 2(1): 89-101. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33007/inf.v2i1.190

Spillane, J. J. (1987). Pariwisata Indonesia: sejarah dan prospeknya. Kanisius.

Sukirno, S. (2011). Makroekonomi: Teori Pengantar. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada

Sukirno, Sadono. 2004. . Teori Pengantar Makro Ekonomi. Jakarta : PT Raja Grafindo Persada

Suliyanto. (2011). Ekonometrika Terapan: Teori dan Aplikasi dengan SPSS. Yogyakarta: ANDI.

Soebagyo. (2012). Strategi Pengembangan Pariwisata di Indonesia. Jurnal Liquidity. 1-10.

Widarjono, A. 2013. Ekonometrika: Pengantar dan Aplikasinya Disertai Panduan Eviews (Edisi 4). Yogyakarta: Yogyakarta UPP STIM YKPN.

The Contribution of Tourism to Economic Growth: The Case of Central Java, Indonesia

ORIGIN	LITY REPORT				
2 SIMILA	2% RITY INDEX INTER	3% NET SOURCES	14% PUBLICATIONS	18% STUDENT PAPE	ERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES				
1	Submitted to Student Paper	Universita	s Jenderal Soe	dirman	7%
2	Submitted to Student Paper	President	University		2%
3	Submitted to Student Paper	Udayana l	Jniversity		1%
4	eudl.eu Internet Source				1%
5	Submitted to Student Paper	Universiti ⁻	Teknologi MAF	RA	1%
6	jurnal.unsyiah Internet Source	n.ac.id			1%
7	Growth in Eth	An Empiric ulation Gr iopia Using ag (ARDL) I	al Analysis of owth on Econo g an Auto Regi Model Approa	the omic ressive	1 %

8	download.xuebalib.com	1%
9	Submitted to Ajou University Graduate School Student Paper	1%
10	ijiset.com Internet Source	1 %
11	stis.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
12	Submitted to Universitas Diponegoro Student Paper	1 %
13	www.e3s-conferences.org	1 %
14	www.jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id	1 %
15	Ahmad Sobrowi, Muhammad Safri, Erni Achmad. "Analisis penerimaan retribusi obyek pariwisata di Kota Jambi", e-Jurnal Perspektif Ekonomi dan Pembangunan Daerah, 2021 Publication	1%
16	ojs.unm.ac.id Internet Source	1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude bibliography On