

Conversation opened. 1 unread message.

[Skip to content](#)

[Using Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Mail with screen readers](#)



2 of 1,875

KJSS: Decision on Manuscript ID KJSS-2021-0352

External

Inbox



Thawatchai Suvanpanich
<onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Mon, Mar 28, 9:21 AM
(19 hours ago)

to me, slametrosyadi72, kjss

28-Mar-2022

Dear Mr. Pamuji: Manuscript ID KJSS-2021-0352 entitled "THE LEGAL INSTITUTIONAL MODEL OF COMMUNITY-BASED WASTE MANAGEMENT TO REINFORCE MULTI-STAKEHOLDER COLLABORATION IN INDONESIA" which you submitted to the Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc03.manuscriptcentral.com/kjss> and enter your Author Center, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You may also click the below link to start the revision process (or continue the process if you have already started your revision) for your manuscript. If you use the below link you will not be required to login to ScholarOne Manuscripts.

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

https://mc03.manuscriptcentral.com/kjss?URL_MASK=fac95025b9444c85a91fb2fbd50fe605

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on

your computer. Please also mark the changes to your manuscript within the document to clearly indicate each change made by using the track changes mode (Preferred) in MS Word or by or highlight all changes.

You can find how to use track changes function and show mark up at

<https://support.office.com/en-gb/article/Video-Track-changes-and-show-markup-3faf8a07-26ed-4b76-b6a0-43cca013e6d3?ui=en-US&rs=en-GB&ad=GB>

To re-submit your revised manuscript, you will need the following Microsoft Word (.doc/.docx) files: 1) Cover letter, 2) Title page, 3) Revised manuscript, and 4) Response to reviewer form(download at the journal website).

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Center.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences, your revised manuscript should be returned to KJSS within 2 weeks for further processing. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,
Dr. Thawatchai Suvanpanich
Section Editor
Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

It is a good paper analyzing the problems of community-based waste management. However, paper proposes two aspects in developing community-based waste management. One seems to be an attempt to increase knowledge to the people in the community. The other is to set up a legal institution for increasing collaboration in the Village. It'd be better if the writer can illustrate that how intertwined of those two aspects. Additionally, in some points of paper, the writer mentioned about law and the effectiveness of the law but there is lack of details in this issue. Another point worth raising is that the paper proposes to have a communication forum set up as a legal institution. However, this institution must have some binding power.

Without any detail in the power of this legal institution, it will lead to some ambiguity of the writer's proposal.

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

the author should point out the finding of the research more clearly. The topic of the legal institution model of community-based waste management must be revised as recommended in the attached file.

Reviewer: 3

Comments to the Author

This article briefly identifies the problem of waste management in Indonesia especially in a community by taking Kutagari village as a case study. It examines the lack of improper and insufficient methods to handle this problem. For examples, an overlapping authority, lacking of community involvement and impractical and ineffective laws for waste management. This article is well-structured and easy for the reader to follow. References are cited in proper academic way which is good for further references. Though this article is rather short, it provides literature review to support the writer's arguments which is an interesting starting point for further study in this area. The writer also provides recommendations by concluding that encouraging community involvement and formulating a community forum would be a good way to deal with waste management problem.

Section Editor's Comments to Author:

Section Editor: 1

Confidential Comments to the Author:
(There are no comments.)

