

Korespondensi Jurnal

[IJDS] Submission ID 1644 External Inbox x



→ IJDS growingscience@gmail.com via eigbox.net

to alirokhman.fp, waluyo.handoko, tobirin, andi.antono, denok.kurniasih, adhi.sulaiman, me, growingscience ▾

Thank you for your submission to IJDS. Below is a copy of the information submitted for your records.

Submission ID: 1644

Title: Correlation of e-Government, e-Billing and e-filling on Taxpayer Compliance: a Case of Taxpayers in Indonesia

Author 1:

First Name: Ali
Last Name: Rokhman
Organization: Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Purwokerto Indonesia
Country: Indonesia
Email: alirokhman@unsoed.ac.id

Author 2:

First Name: Waluyo
Last Name: Handoko
Organization: Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Purwokerto Indonesia
Country: Indonesia
Email: waluyo.handoko@unsoed.ac.id

Author 3:

First Name: Tobirin
Last Name: Tobirin
Organization: Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Purwokerto Indonesia
Country: Indonesia
Email: tobirin@unsoed.ac.id

Author 4:

First Name: Andi
Last Name: Antono
Organization: Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Purwokerto Indonesia
Country: Indonesia
Email: andi.antono@unsoed.ac.id

Author 5:

First Name: Denok
Last Name: Kurniasih
Organization: Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Purwokerto Indonesia
Country: Indonesia
Email: denok.kurniasih@unsoed.ac.id

Re: [IJDS] Submission ID 1644 External Inbox x



→ IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>
to waluyo.handoko, tobirin, andi.antono, denok.kurniasih, adhi.sulaiman, me ▾

Sun, Dec 4, 2022, 7:58 PM ☆ ↶ ⋮

Dear Author

I have received the comments from the reviewers and would like to ask you to do the following and upload a new revised version.

1. Use scholar.google.com to convert your references in APA format. Simply copy and past the title of your reference into the search box and do search and once google finds it click on the first option and choose APA, for instance
Anisa Abdulrahman Amin,A., and Hamdan,A. (2018).«Evaluating the Effectof Ownership Structure on Firm Performance: Evidence from Saudi Arabian ListedCompanies»,Journal of Economic Cooperation and Development,Vol 39, Pp65-92

becomes

Amin, A. A., & Hamdan, A. M. (2018). Evaluating the effect of ownership structure on firm performance: Evidence from Saudi Arabian listed companies. Journal of Economic Cooperation & Development, 39(3), 65-92.

Please note, all references carry full information as mentioned above and journal name and number are presented in italic format.

make sure that all references are cited properly inside the text and vice versa.

2. Please note the method of citation inside the text is based on the authors' names

Sincerely
Sadjadi

Re: [IJDS] Submission ID 1644 External Inbox x



→ IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>
to waluyo.handoko, tobirin, andi.antono, denok.kurniasih, adhi.sulaiman, me ▾

Sun, Dec 4, 2022, 8:00 PM ☆ ↶ ⋮

Dear Authors

please revised your paper according reviewers comments below:

Abstract

- Sometimes written as an afterthought, the abstract is of extreme importance as in many instances this section is what is initially previewed by readership to determine if the remainder of the article is worth reading. This is the authors opportunity to draw the reader into the study and entice them to read the rest of the article.
- The abstract is a summary of the article or study written in 3rd person allowing the readers to get a quick glance of what the contents of the article include.
- Writing an abstract is rather challenging as being brief, accurate and concise are requisite.
- The headings and structure for an abstract are usually provided in the instructions for authors.
- In some instances, the abstract may change slightly pending content revisions required during the peer review process.
- Therefore it often works well to complete this portion of the manuscript last. Remember the abstract should be able to stand alone and should be as succinct as possible.

Introduction and Review of Literature

- The introduction is one of the more difficult portions of the manuscript to write. Past studies are used to set the stage or provide the reader with information regarding the necessity of the represented project.

For an introduction to work properly, the reader must feel that the research question is clear, concise, and worthy of study.

- A competent introduction should include at least four key concepts: 1) significance of the topic, the information gap in the available literature associated with the topic, 3) a literature review in support of the key questions, 4) subsequently developed purposes/objectives and hypotheses.

Methods

- The methods section should clearly describe the specific design of the study and provide clear and concise description of the procedures that were performed.

The purpose of sufficient detail in the methods section is so that an appropriately trained person would be able to replicate your experiments.

- There should be complete transparency when describing the study
- A clear methods section should contain the following information: 1) the population and equipment used in the study, 2) how the population and equipment were prepared and

what was done during the study, 3) the protocol used, 4) the outcomes and how they were measured, 5) the methods used for data analysis. Initially a brief paragraph should explain the overall procedures and study design.

- Within this first paragraph there is generally a description of inclusion and exclusion criteria which help the reader understand the population used. Paragraphs that follow should describe in more detail the procedures followed for the study. A clear description of how data was gathered is also helpful.
- For example were data gathered prospectively or retrospectively? Who if anyone was blinded, and where and when was the actual data collected?
- Although it is a good idea for the authors to have justification and a rationale for their procedures, these should be saved for inclusion into the discussion section, not to be discussed in the methods section.
- However, occasionally studies supporting components of the methods section such as reliability of tests, or validation of outcome measures may be included in the methods section.
- The final portion of the methods section will include the statistical methods used to analyze the data.¹⁹ This does not mean that the actual results should be discussed in the methods section, as they have an entire section of their own!
- Most scientific journals support the need for all projects involving humans or animals to have up-to-date documentation of ethical approval.²⁰ The methods section should include a clear statement that the researchers have obtained approval from an appropriate institutional review board.

Results and Discussion

- In most journals the results section is separate from the discussion section.
- It is important that you clearly distinguish your results from your discussion.
- The results section should describe the results only. The discussion section should put those results into a broader context.
- Report your results neutrally, as you "found them". Again, be thoughtful about content and structure.
- Think carefully about where content is placed in the overall structure of your paper. It is not appropriate to bring up additional results, not discussed in the results section, in the discussion.
- All results must first be described/presented and then discussed. Thus, the discussion should not simply be a repeat of the results section. Carefully discuss where your information is similar or different from other published evidence and why this might be so. What was different in methods or analysis, what was similar?
- Do not discuss extraneous ideas, concepts, or information not covered by your topic/paper/commentary. Be sure to carefully address all relevant results, not just the statistically significant ones or the ones that support your hypotheses. When you must resort to speculation or opinion, be certain to state that up front using phrases such as "we therefore speculate" or "in the authors' opinion".
- Remember, just as in the introduction and literature review, evidence or results cannot draw

conclusions, just as previously stated, only people, scientists, researchers, and authors can!

- Finish with a concise, 3-5 sentence conclusion paragraph.
- This is not just a restatement of your results, rather is comprised of some final, summative statements that reflect the flow and outcomes of the entire paper. Do not include speculative statements or additional material; however, based upon your findings a statement about potential changes in clinical practice or future research opportunities can be provided here.
- Your results should be organised into an orderly and logical sequence. Only the most relevant results should be described in the text, to highlight the most important points. Figures, tables, and equations should be used for purposes of clarity and brevity. Data should not be reproduced in more than one form, for example in both figures and tables, without good reason.
- The purpose of the discussion is to explain the meaning of your results and why they are important.
- You should state the impact of your results compared with recent work and relate it back to the problem or question you posed in your introduction. Ensure claims are backed up by evidence and explain any complex arguments.

CONCLUSIONS

- Writing for publication can be a challenging yet satisfying endeavor.
- The ability to examine, relate, and interlink evidence, as well as to provide a peer-reviewed, disseminated product of your research labors can be rewarding.
- A few suggestions have been offered in this commentary that may assist the novice or the developing writer to attempt, polish, and perfect their approach to scholarly writing.
- This is for interpretation of the key results and to highlight the novelty and significance of the work. The conclusions should not summarise information already present in the article or abstract. You can also include any plans for relevant future work here.

References

- Use APA style
- Use recent at least 5 years later references
- Use 20 references minimum

Re: [IJDS] Submission ID 1644 External Inbox x



IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>

to waluyo.handoko, tobirin, andi.antonio, denok.kurniasih, adhi.sulaiman, me ▾

Dear Ali Rokhman et al.

Thank you for your email.

According to our reviewers comments, your paper has been accepted (please see letter of acceptance Attached)

I
Once you make the payment, we will keep you informed shortly.

Sincerely

Sadjadi