
[IJPEDS] Submission Acknowledgement "Study of Novel Parallel H-Bridge and Common-Emitter Current-Source Inverters for Photovoltaic Power Conversion System"

1 message

Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban <ijpeds@iaesjournal.com>
To: "Dr. Suroso Suroso" <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>

Mon, Jul 26, 2021 at 10:07 AM

The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Dr. Suroso Suroso,

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Study of Novel Parallel H-Bridge and Common-Emitter Current-Source Inverters for Photovoltaic Power Conversion System" to International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS), a Scopus/ScimagoJR indexed journal. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL:

<https://ijpeds.iaescore.com/index.php/IJPEDS/author/submission/21477>

Username: ssuroso1

Your paper ID is number the above URL

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Best Regards,

Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban

International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS)

Checklist for preparing your paper for publication

-
1. Is your manuscript written in IAES format (<http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.docx>)? At this stage, it is not that essential that you follow every detail of IAES format. Please try to follow the format as closely as possible.
 2. Is your title adequate and is your abstract correctly written? The title of paper is max 10 words, without Acronym or abbreviation. The Abstract (MAX 200 WORDS) should be informative and completely self-explanatory (no citation in abstract), provide a clear statement of the problem, the proposed approach or solution, and point out major findings and conclusions.
 3. Authors are suggested to present their articles in the sections structure: Introduction - The Proposed Method/Algorithm/Procedure specifically designed (optional) - Research Method - Results and Discussion – Conclusion. Authors may present complex proofs of theorems or non-obvious proofs of correctness of algorithms after introduction section (obvious theorems & straightforward proofs of existing theorems are NOT needed).
 4. Introduction section: explain the context of the study and state the precise objective. An Introduction should contain the following three parts:
 - Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the-art of the field the report is about.
 - The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please

tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.

- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work.

Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. At least, 5 references (recently journal articles) are used in this section.

5. Method section: the presentation of the experimental methods should be clear and complete in every detail facilitating reproducibility by other scientists.

6. Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate and comparisons to other research results. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. This is where the author(s) should explain in words what he/she/they discovered in the research. It should be clearly laid out and in a logical sequence. This section should be supported suitable references.

7. Conclusion section: Summarize sentences the primary outcomes of the study in a paragraph. Are the claims in this section supported by the results, do they seem reasonable? Have the authors indicated how the results relate to expectations and to earlier research? Does the article support or contradict previous theories? Does the conclusion explain how the research has moved the body of scientific knowledge forward?

8. Language. If an article is poorly written due to grammatical errors, while it may make it more difficult to understand the science.

9. Please be sure that the manuscript is up to date. It is expected that 10 to 20% of references are to recent papers.

10. Is the manuscript clearly written? Is the article exciting? Does the content flow well from one section to another? Please try to keep your manuscript on the proper level. It should be easy to understand by well qualified professionals, but at the same time please avoid describing well known facts (use proper references instead). Often manuscripts receive negative reviews because reviewers are not able to understand the manuscript and this is authors' (not reviewers') fault. Notice, that if reviewers have difficulties, then other readers will face the same problem and there is no reason to publish the manuscript.

11. Do you have enough references? We will usually expect a minimum of 20 to 25 references primarily to journal papers, depending on the length of the paper. Citations of textbooks should be used very rarely and citations to web pages should be avoided. All cited papers should be referenced within the text of the manuscript.

12. Figures and Tables. Relation of Tables or Figures and Text: Because tables and figures supplement the text, all tables and figures should be referenced in the text. Authors also must explain what the reader should look for when using the table or figure. Focus only on the important point the reader should draw from them, and leave the details for the reader to examine on her own.

Figures:

- a. All figures appearing in article must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text.
- b. Each figure must have a caption fully explaining the content
- c. Figure captions are presented as a paragraph starting with the figure number i.e. Figure 1, Figure 2, etc.
- d. Figure captions appear below the figure
- e. Each figure must be fully cited if taken from another article
- f. all figures must be referred to in the body of the article

Tables:

- a. Material that is tabular in nature must appear in a numbered captioned table.
- b. All tables appearing in article must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text.

- c. Each table must have a caption fully explaining the content with the table number i.e. Table 1, Table 2, etc.
- d. Each column must have a clear and concise heading
- e. Tables are to be presented with single horizontal line under: the table caption, the column headings and at the end of the table.
- f. All tables must be referred to in the body of the article
- g. Each table must be fully cited if taken from another article

13. Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3,4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5] or [4-8]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list. Examples of in-text citations:

This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9]."

Sutikno [10] has argued that...

Several recent studies [7], [9], [11-15] have suggested that....

...end of the line for my research [16].

14. Please be aware that for the final submission of regular paper you will be asked to tailor your paper so the last page is not half empty.



[IJPEDS] Editor Decision "Study of Novel Parallel H-Bridge and Common-Emitter Current-Source Inverters for Photovoltaic Power Conversion System"

1 message

Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban <ijpeds@iaesjournal.com>
 Reply-To: "Prof. Dr. Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban" <ijpeds@iaesjournal.com>
 To: "Dr. Suroso Suroso" <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>

Thu, Dec 2, 2021 at 1:12 AM

The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS).

-- Paper ID# 21477
 -- ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER: minimum 25 references
 -- REVIEW PAPERS: minimum 40 references
 -- IJPEDS GFA & Template (MS Word version):
<https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.docx>
 -- IJPEDS GFA & Template (LaTeX version):
<https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.rar>
 =====

Dear Prof. Dr. Suroso,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission entitled "Study of Novel Parallel H-Bridge and Common-Emitter Current-Source Inverters for Photovoltaic Power Conversion System" to International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS), a SCOPUS indexed Journal, Scimago Journal Ranking (SJR) Q2 on Electrical and Electronics Engineering, CiteScore: 1.49, SJR: 0.304, and SNIP: 1.088.

Our decision is: Revisions Required

The presentation of the simulation and/or experimental setup (in the Method section) must be clear and complete in every detail facilitating reproducibility by other scientists. Present a picture of your simulation and/or experimental setup and describe your work clearly. The goal of your revised paper is to describe novel technical results. Please prepare your revised paper within 6 weeks. Read the checklist for preparing your revised paper for publication at:
<http://www.iaescore.com/journals/index.php/IJPEDS/about/editorialPolicies#custom-4>.
 Please try to follow the format as closely as possible.

- For ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER (should be presented with IMRaD model), there are four (4) types of novel technical results:

- 1) An algorithm;
- 2) A system construct: such as hardware design, software system, protocol, etc.; The main goal of your revised paper is to ensure that the next person who designs a system like yours doesn't make the same mistakes and takes advantage of some of your best solutions. So make sure that the hard problems (and their solutions) are discussed and the non-obvious mistakes (and how to avoid them) are discussed;
- 3) A performance evaluation: obtained through analyses, simulation or measurements; or
- 4) A theory: consisting of a collection of theorems.

Your revised paper should focus on:

- 1) Describing the results in sufficient details to establish their validity;
- 2) Identifying the novel aspects of the results, i.e., what new knowledge is reported and what makes it non-obvious; and
- 3) Identifying the significance of the results: what improvements and impact do they suggest.

Number of minimum references for original research paper is 25 references (included minimum 20 recently journal articles within 5 years).

- For REVIEW PAPER, the paper should present a critical and constructive analysis of existing published literature in a field, through summary, classification, analysis and comparison. The function and goal of the review paper is:

- 1) to organize literature;
- 2) to evaluate literature;
- 3) to identify patterns and trends in the literature;
- 4) to synthesize literature; or
- 5) to identify research gaps and recommend new research areas.

The structure of a review paper includes:

- 1) Title – in this case does not indicate that it is a review article.
- 2) Abstract – includes a description of subjects covered.
- 3) Introduction includes a description of context (paragraph 1-3), motivation for review (paragraph 4, sentence 1) and defines the focus (paragraph 4, sentences 2-3)
- 4) Body – structured by headings and subheadings
- 5) Conclusion – states the implications of the findings and an identifies

possible new research fields

References ("Literature Review") – organised by number in the order they were cited in the text.

Number of minimum references for review paper is 50 references (included minimum 40 recently journal articles).

In preparing your revised paper, you should pay attention to:

1. Please ensure that: All references have been cited in your text. Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3,4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5] or [4-8]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list. Examples of in-text citations (refer IEEE style):

- This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9]."
- Sutikno [10] has argued that...
- Several recent studies [7], [9], [11-15] have suggested that....
- ... end of the line for my research [16].

Read and refer our published papers in our journals for improving your paper.

- <http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/telkomnika>

- <http://ijpeds.iaescore.com>

- <http://ijece.iaescore.com>

- <http://beei.org>

- <http://ijeecs.iaescore.com>

- <http://ijai.iaescore.com>

(Please use "Search" under "JOURNAL CONTENT" block menu)

2 An Introduction should contain the following three (3) parts:

- Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about.
- The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.
- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. URGENT: at least, 10 references (recently journal articles) are used to support this section.

3. Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. You should improve your analyzing and also present the comparison between performance of your approach and other researches. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate. It is very important to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.

Please submit your revised paper in MS Word file format (ZIP of your LATEX source files if you presented your paper in LATEX) and submit it through our online system at same ID number (NOT as new submission) or simple, by replying this email (ONLY if you reached problems).

I look forward to hearing from you

Thank you

Best Regards,
Prof. Dr. Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban
Aarhus University, Herning
ijpeds@iaesjournal.com

Update your metadata in our online system when you submit your revised paper through our online system:

- Authors name are presented without salutation
- Authors Name are presented Title Case (ex: Michael Lankan, NOT --> michael lankan, NOT --> MICHAEL LANKAN). Add all authors of your paper as per your revised paper
- Title of revised paper (ex: Application of space vector modulation.... , NOT --> APPLICATION OF SPACE VECTOR MODULATION)
- Your updated abstract

IMPORTANT!!:

=====

- A high quality paper MUST has:
 - 1) a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing;
 - 2) the proposed solution(s); and
 - 3) results achieved.

- The paper title summarizes the main idea or ideas of your study (title is "summary" and "essence" of your paper). Title should be "encompassing" as well as "descriptive". A good title contains the fewest possible words needed to adequately describe the content and/or purpose of your research paper. Rarely use abbreviations or acronyms unless they are commonly known.

Reviewer A:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:

Yes

If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate:

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:

Yes

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:

Yes

Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper:

Good

Rate the scientific quality of the paper?:

Average

As far as your knowledge, have the authors already published a very similar paper?:

No

If yes, kindly please cite below:

Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?:

Yes

Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?:

Yes

Is the paper written in correct English?:

Yes

If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:

Are the references in IJPEDS style?:

Yes

Are the figures and tables in IJPEDS style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:

Yes

Is the length of the paper adequate?:

Yes

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 or above typically provides ground for IJPEDS acceptance):

7

Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload or submit via email to ljped@iaesjournal.com):
please find the comments uploaded as separate sheet

Reviewer E:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:

Yes

If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate:

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:

Yes

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:

No

Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper:

Below average

Rate the scientific quality of the paper?:

Below average

As far as your knowledge, have the authors already published a very similar paper?:

Yes

If yes, kindly please cite below:

Review of novel multilevel current-source inverters with H-bridge and common-emitter based Topologies

Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?:

Yes

Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?:

No

Is the paper written in correct English?:

No

If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:

Ex:

However, even two inductors was used, only a single sensor was applied to regulate the currents in inductor 1 and inductor 2 as shown in Fig. 5.

Are the references in IJPEDS style?:

No

Are the figures and tables in IJPEDS style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:

No

Is the length of the paper adequate?:

Yes

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 or above typically provides ground for IJPEDS acceptance):

4

Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload or submit via email to ijpeds@iaesjournal.com):

Simulation results should be verified experimentally.
Controller is not used in this design.

Reviewer A:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:

Yes

If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate:

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:

Yes

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:

Yes

Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper:

Good

Rate the scientific quality of the paper?:

Average

As far as your knowledge, have the authors already published a very similar paper?:

No

If yes, kindly please cite below:

Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?:

Yes

Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?:

Yes

Is the paper written in correct English?:

Yes

If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:

Are the references in IJPEDS style?:

Yes

Are the figures and tables in IJPEDS style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:

Yes

Is the length of the paper adequate?:

Yes

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 or above typically provides ground for IJPEDS acceptance):

7

Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload or submit via email to ljped@iaesjournal.com);
please find the comments uploaded as separate sheet

Reviewer E:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:

Yes

If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate:

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:

Yes

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:

No

Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper:

Below average

Rate the scientific quality of the paper?:

Below average

As far as your knowledge, have the authors already published a very similar paper?:

Yes

If yes, kindly please cite below:

Review of novel multilevel current-source inverters with H-bridge and common-emitter based Topologies

Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?:

Yes

Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?:

No

Is the paper written in correct English?:

No

If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:

Ex:

However, even two inductors was used, only a single sensor was applied to regulate the currents in inductor 1 and inductor 2 as shown in Fig. 5.

Are the references in IJPEDS style?:

No

Are the figures and tables in IJPEDS style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:

No

Is the length of the paper adequate?:

Yes

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score

of 7 or above typically provides ground for IJPEDS acceptance):

4

Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload or submit via email to ijpeds@iaesjournal.com):

Simulation results should be verified experimentally.

Controller is not used in this design.

International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS)

<http://ijpeds.iaescore.com>



[IJPEDS] Editor Decision "Study of Novel Parallel H-Bridge and Common-Emitter Current-Source Inverters for Photovoltaic Power Conversion System"

1 message

Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban <ijpeds@iaesjournal.com>
 Reply-To: "Prof. Dr. Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban" <ijpeds@iaesjournal.com>
 To: "Dr. Suroso Suroso" <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>

Sat, Jan 8, 2022 at 10:06 AM

The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS).

-- Paper ID# 21477
 -- We will usually expect a MINIMUM of 25 REFERENCES primarily to journal papers (for research paper)
 -- IJPEDS Guide for Authors & Template: <https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.docx>
 -- Please attach your similarity report checking that the result is below 25%
 -- uses software such as iThenticate or Turnitin
 -- Paper will be re-schedule/cancel for publication, if the similarity index is more than 25%

Dear Prof. Dr. Suroso,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled "Study of Novel Parallel H-Bridge and Common-Emitter Current-Source Inverters for Photovoltaic Power Conversion System" is accepted and will be published on the International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS), a Scopus/ScimagoJR indexed journal (CiteScore 2020: 3.1, SNIP 2020: 0.915, SJR 2020: 0.322, and ScimagoJR 2020: Q2 on Electrical and Electronic Engineering). Congratulations!

Your paper will be published after fulfill all requirements for publication. A good research paper has: 1) a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing, the proposed solution(s), and results achieved; 2) It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new; 3) Presentation of the research method should be clear and complete in every detail facilitating reproducibility by other scientists, and 4) Presentation of results should be simple, straightforward and report the most important findings. The presentation of the simulation and/or experimental setup (in the Method section) must be clear and complete in every detail facilitating reproducibility by other scientists. Present a picture of your simulation and/or experimental setup and describe your work clearly.

PLEASE ADHERE STRICTLY to the below GUIDELINES OF AUTHORS !!

- 1). The IJPEDS guide for Authors & template:
<https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.docx> (or <https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.rar> LaTeX version). The checklist for preparing your FINAL paper for publication:
<http://ijpeds.iaescore.com/index.php/IJPEDS/about/editorialPolicies#custom-4>
- 2). It is mandatory to present final paper in the sections structure "IMRADC style": 1. INTRODUCTION - 2. The Proposed Method/Algorithm/Procedure specifically designed (optional) - 3. METHOD - 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION - 5. CONCLUSION. See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.docx>
- 3). Add biographies of authors as our template (include link to the authors' profiles, do not delete anything all icons in the template). It is mandatory!! See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.docx>
- 4). Prepare all tables as our template (NOT as figure)
- 5). Use different PATTERNS for presenting different results in your figures/graphics (instead of different colors). It is mandatory!! See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.docx>
- 6). Please ensure that all references have been cited in your text. Use of a tool such as EndNote, Mendeley, or Zotero for reference management and formatting, and choose IEEE style. Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3], [4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list.
 Examples of in-text citations:
 This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9].
 Zadeh [10] has argued that ...

Several recent studies [7], [9], [11]-[15] have suggested that....

... end of the line for my research [16].

We will usually expect a minimum of 25 references (for research paper) and 50 references (for review paper) primarily to journal papers, depending on the length of the paper.

7). Please present all references as complete as possible and use IEEE style (include information of DOIs, volume, number, pages, etc). If it is available, DOI information is mandatory!! See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijpeds.docx>

Please submit your below documents within 30 days to ijpeds@iaesjournal.com

1. Final camera ready paper (in MS Word file format, or LaTeX source files)
2. Similarity report checking that the result is below 25%, uses software such as iThenticate or Turnitin, and
3. Payment evidence .

We are looking forward to hearing from you

Best Regards,

Prof. Dr. Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban

Aarhus University, Herning

ijpeds@iaesjournal.com

<http://ijpeds.iaescore.com>

email: ijpeds@iaesjournal.com

In order to cover part of the publication cost, each accepted paper is charged: USD 265.

This charge is for the first 8 pages, and if any published manuscript over 8 pages will incur extra charges USD50 per page (included references and biographies pages).

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):

Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: TOLE SUTIKNO

Bank Name: Bank Negara Indonesia (BNI)

Branch Office: UGM Yogya, KCP SARJANA WIYATA

City: Yogyakarta

Country: Indonesia

Bank Account # : 0469076067

SWIFT Code: BNINIDJAXXX

or as an alternative, you can pay by using PayPal to email:

info@iaesjournal.com

Bank's detailed address :

BNI SARJANA WIYATA

Jl. Kusumanegara No.121, Muja Muju, Umbulharjo

City: Yogyakarta

Province: D.I. Yogyakarta (DIY)

Country :Indonesia

Post Code: 55167

Indonesia

Phone: +62 274 553146

The Beneficiary's address:

Kampus 4 Universitas Ahmad Dahlan

Jln. Ringroad Selatan, Tamanan, Banguntapan

City: Bantul

Province: D.I. Yogyakarta (DIY)

Post Code: 55191

Country: Indonesia

Phone: +62 274 4331796

Reviewer A:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:

Yes

If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate:

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:

Yes

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:

Yes

Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper:

Good

Rate the scientific quality of the paper?:

Average

As far as your knowledge, have the authors already published a very similar paper?:

No

If yes, kindly please cite below:

Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?:

Yes

Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?:

Yes

Is the paper written in correct English?:

Yes

If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:

Are the references in IJPEDS style?:

Yes

Are the figures and tables in IJPEDS style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:

Yes

Is the length of the paper adequate?:

Yes

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 or above typically provides ground for IJPEDS acceptance):

7

Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload or submit via email to ljpedes@iaesjournal.com):
please find the comments uploaded as separate sheet

Reviewer E:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:

Yes

If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate:

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:

Yes

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:

No

Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper:

Below average

Rate the scientific quality of the paper?:

Below average

As far as your knowledge, have the authors already published a very similar paper?:

Yes

If yes, kindly please cite below:

Review of novel multilevel current-source inverters with H-bridge and common-emitter based Topologies

Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?:

Yes

Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?:

No

Is the paper written in correct English?:

No

If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:

Ex:

However, even two inductors was used, only a single sensor was applied to regulate the currents in inductor 1 and inductor 2 as shown in Fig. 5.

Are the references in IJPEDS style?:

No

Are the figures and tables in IJPEDS style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:

No

Is the length of the paper adequate?:

Yes

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 or above typically provides ground for IJPEDS acceptance):

4

Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload or submit via email to ijpeds@iaesjournal.com):

Simulation results should be verified experimentally.
Controller is not used in this design.

International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS)
<http://ijpeds.iaescore.com>

[IJPEDS] Editor Decision "Study of Novel Parallel H-Bridge and Common-Emitter Current-Source Inverters for Photovoltaic Power Conversion System"

Suroso 1 <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>

Tue, Jan 11, 2022 at 7:50 AM

To: "Prof. Dr. Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban" <ijpeds@iaesjournal.com>

Dear Editor IJPEDS,

Thank you very much for accepting our paper for publication in the International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive System (IJPEDS).

I send the required documents as attached:

1. Camera ready version of paper.
2. Similarity test report using Turnitin with similarity index 16%.
3. Payment receipt.

Thank you.

Best regards,

Prof. Dr. Eng. Suroso



Virus-free. www.avast.com

[Quoted text hidden]

4 attachments



Payment receipt (suroso).jpg
237K



Paper IJPEDS (suroso)-cameraready.docx
656K



Paper IJPEDS (suroso)-cameraready.pdf
802K



Turnitin result paper IJPEDS (suroso).pdf
2490K

[IJPEDS March 2022] Proof Layout: ID 21477 "Study of novel parallel H-bridge and common-emitter current-source inverters for photovoltaic power conversion system"

8 messages

Titin Nur'aida <titin.nuraida04@gmail.com>
To: Suroso 1 <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>

Wed, Jan 26, 2022 at 3:06 PM

Dear Author,

We are pleased to inform you that your paper is in the final stage before publication in the forthcoming issue of this journal. Your cooperation in proofreading your paper is necessary. Please confirm within 7 days.

Thank you

Best Regards,
Titin
Layout and Editing Team

 **48 21477 Paper IJPEDS (suroso)-cameraready Bimbi.docx**
674K

Suroso 1 <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>
To: Titin Nur'aida <titin.nuraida04@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 27, 2022 at 11:22 AM

Dear Editor,
I send the edited paper as attached.

Thank you.
Prof.Suroso
[Quoted text hidden]

 **48 21477 Paper IJPEDS (suroso)-cameraready Bimbi rev.docx**
680K

Titin Nur'aida <titin.nuraida04@gmail.com>
To: Suroso 1 <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>

Thu, Feb 3, 2022 at 4:16 PM

Hello Prof

We found a small revision for figure 4.

We recommend you mention the whole Figure 4 first before the sub-figure of Figure 4(a) and 4(b).
Ex: Figure 4. Effect of input voltage on track current (a) current ripple due to control action and (b) current ripple due to voltage crossover

Please send us the revision on 4 February 2022

Thank you
[Quoted text hidden]



48 21477 Paper IJPEDS (suroso)-cameraready Bimbi rev.docx
969K

Suroso 1 <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>
To: Titin Nur'aida <titin.nuraida04@gmail.com>

Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 7:33 AM

I send the revised paper as requested.

Thank you.
Prof.Suroso

[Quoted text hidden]



48 21477 Paper IJPEDS (suroso)-cameraready Bimbi rev 2.docx
967K

Titin Nur'aida <titin.nuraida04@gmail.com>
To: Suroso 1 <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>

Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 8:03 AM

Received, thank you.
[Quoted text hidden]

Titin Nur'aida <titin.nuraida04@gmail.com>
To: Suroso 1 <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>

Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 10:39 AM

Hello Prof

We found that citations no [38]-39] are not found in references.

Please send us the revision

Thank you
[Quoted text hidden]



48 21477 Paper IJPEDS (suroso)-cameraready Bimbi rev 2 new.docx
967K

Suroso 1 <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>
To: Titin Nur'aida <titin.nuraida04@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 1:20 PM

I send the revised paper as attached.

Thank you



Virus-free. www.avast.com

[Quoted text hidden]



48 21477 Paper IJPEDS (suroso)-cameraready Bimbi rev 3.docx
965K

Titin Nur'aida <titin.nuraida04@gmail.com>
To: Suroso 1 <suroso.te@unsoed.ac.id>

Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 3:55 PM

Thanks, I have received it.
[Quoted text hidden]