BUKTI KORESPONDENSI MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS JOURNAL

Manuscript Status Update On (ID: 13426244): Current Status – Under Peer Review-Half-Space model problem for Navier-Lame equations with surface tension

External Inbox ×

Chloe Crawford <preview.hrpub@gmail.com> Tue, Dec 21, 2021, 5:00 PM

to me

Dear Sri Maryani,

Thank you very much for submitting your manuscript to HRPUB.
In order to expedite the publication process, your manuscript entitled "Half-Space model problem for Navier-Lame equations with surface tension" has been sent out to evaluate.
But some problems need to be addressed.
We would be grateful to you if you could revise your manuscript according to the following comments:
1. The abstract in your manuscript is too short. The abstract should be written as a continuous paragraph with 200-350 words and recapitulatively state the background of the research, purpose, methodologies, principal results, major conclusions and its contributions to the field. It should emphasize new or important aspects of the study. Research limitations/implications, practical implications, and social implications should also be included, if relevant to your manuscripts.

2. The format of the list of REFERENCES is not in accordance with the journal's rules. Please check all references for completeness and accuracy, including author names, paper title, journal heading, Volume, Number., pages for journal citations, Year, DOI (or URL if possible). (Please note that the DOI should be placed after the URL and end with a period.)
Journals
All author names, "Title," Journal title, vol., no., pp. xxx–xxx., Year, DOI (or URL)
e.g.
[1] Clarke A., Mike F., S. Mary, "The Use of Technology in Education," Universal Journal of Educational Research, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2015. DOI: 10.13189/ujer.2015.010829
Books
All author names, "Title of chapter in the book," in Title of the Published Book, (xth ed. if possible), Abbrev. of Publisher, Year, pp. xxx–xxx.
e.g.
[1] Tom B, Jack E, R. Voss, "The Current Situation of Education," in Current Situation and Development of Contemporary Education, 1st ed, HRPUB, 2013, pp. 1-200.
Conference Papers
All author names, "Title," Conference title, (location of conference is optional), (Month and day(s) if provided) Year, pp., (DOI or URL, if possible)
e.g.
[1] David H., Tim P., "The Use of Technology in Teaching," The Third International Conference, LA, USA, Jul., 2013, pp. 19-23. (The year may be omitted if it has been given in the conference title) (DOI or URL, if possible).
Websites
All author names, "Page Title." Website Title. Web Address (retrieved Date Accessed).
e.g.
[1] Partson K., Joe L., "The Use of Technology in Teaching", US News, http://www.hrpub.com (accessed Jan. 1, 2013).

Please find the following paper for your reference.
https://www.hrpub.org/download/20210330/SAJ22-19922645.pdf

Kindly respond to the evaluation and send your revised manuscript to preview.hrpub@gmail.com as soon as possible. Please track status of your manuscript through the Online Manuscript Tracking System.

We will contact you again once a new decision is made on your manuscript. You will expect a review report from Anthony Robinson (revision.hrpub@gmail.com) in the following 45 days. Peer review reports are also downloadable in Online Manuscript Tracking System (http://www.hrpub.org/submission/login.php) once the review process is completed.

The author will be requested to pay the Article Processing Charges after the manuscript is accepted for publication.
For the charging standard, please refer to http://www.hrpub.org/journals/jour_charge.php?id=34

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions. Besides, could you please leave us an alternate Email Address in case?

For more information, please visit the journal's homepage.
Guidelines: http://www.hrpub.org/journals/jour_guidelines.php?id=34

Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

Best Regards

Chloe Crawford
Editorial Assistant

Acceptance Letter & Advice of Payment (ID:13426244)-Half-Space model problem for Navier-Lame equations with surface tension

External Inbox ×

Anthony Robinson <revision.hrpub@gmail.com> Sat, Apr 2, 5:32 PM
to me

Dear Sri Maryani,

Your paper has been accepted for publication. Herewith attached is the Acceptance Letter.

The publication fee is $480. Below are Wire Transfer instructions.

Beneficiary name: HORIZON RESEARCH PUBLISHING CO., LTD
Beneficiary account number: 33113742
Banking Swift code for international wires: CATHUS6L
Beneficiary bank name: Cathay Bank
Beneficiary bank address: 4128 Temple City Blvd, Rosemead, CA 91770 United States
Note: Please add $35.00 for wire transfer fee.

The bank charge would be deducted prior to the receipt of the payment. To avoid a shortfall on the net amount received and request for repayment, authors shall pay the commission charge while making the payment.

Once the payment is finished, please inform us or send the remittance bill to us.

Best Regards

Anthony Robinson
Editorial Assistant
revision.hrpub@gmail.com
Horizon Research Publishing, USA
http://www.hrpub.org

Welcome to HRPUB Author's Corner.

Manuscripts ID	Journal Title	Submission Date	Papers Status	Latest Reviewer Comments	Action
MS-13426244-20211219-082846.tex	Mathematics and Statistics	2021-12-19 08:29:03	Submit Success		Edit Copyright Review Report(s)

- My Manuscripts
- Submit Manuscripts
- View / Edit Profile
- Change Password
- Logout

BUKTI SUBMIT ARTIKEL
MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS JOURNAL

Horizon Research Publishing Corporation

Search

Welcome to HRPUB Author's Corner.

- My Manuscripts
- Submit Manuscripts
- View / Edit Profile
- Change Password
- Logout

Manuscripts ID	Journal Title	Submission Date	Papers Status	Latest Reviewer Comments	Action
MS-13426244-20211219-082846.tex	Mathematics and Statistics	2021-12-19 08:29:03	Submit Success		Edit Copyright Review Report(s)

BUKTI SUBMIT ARTIKEL
MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS JOURNAL

Horizon Research Publishing Corporation

Search

Welcome to HRPUB Author's Corner.

- My Manuscripts
- Submit Manuscripts
- View / Edit Profile
- Change Password
- Logout

Manuscripts ID	Journal Title	Submission Date	Papers Status	Latest Reviewer Comments	Action
MS-13426244-20211219-082846.tex	Mathematics and Statistics	2021-12-19 08:29:03	Submit Success		Edit Copyright Review Report(s)

Half-Space model problem for Navier-Lamé equations with surface tension

Sri Maryani[1,*], **Bambang H Guswanto**[2], **Hendra Gunawan**[3]

[1,2,3]Department of Mathematics, Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia

[3]Department of Mathematics, Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia

[*]Corresponding Author: sri.maryani@unsoed.ac.id

Abstract This paper considers the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the solution operator families for Navier-Lamé equation by taking into account the surface tension in a bounded domain of N- dimensional Euclidean space ($N \geq 2$). We investigate the $\mathcal{R}$- boundedness in half-space domain case. These $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness implies not only the generation of analytic semigroup but also the maximal L_p-L_q regularity for the initial boundary value problem by using Weis's operator valued Fourier multiplier theorem for time dependent problem.

Keywords $\mathcal{R}$-sectoriality, Navier-Lamé equation, surface tension, half-space.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{u}$ and Ω be a velocity field and a bounded domain in N-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$), respectively. The formula of Navier-Lamé equation in bounded domain with surface tension is written in the following:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \mathbf{u} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{u} - \beta \nabla \mathrm{div}\, \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ (\alpha \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}) - (\beta - \alpha)\mathrm{div}\, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{I})\mathbf{n} - \sigma(\Delta'_\Gamma \eta)\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{g} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N_0, \\ \lambda \eta + \mathbf{a}' \cdot \nabla' \eta - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = d & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N_0. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{a}' = (a_1, \ldots, a_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and $\mathbf{a}' \cdot \nabla' \eta = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j \partial_j \eta$. Assume that

$$|\mathbf{a}'| \leq a_0 \tag{2}$$

for some constant $a_0 > 0$. Let $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ and $\mathbb{R}^N_0$ be a half-space and its boundary, respectively. Namely,

$$\mathbb{R}^N_+ = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_N > 0\},$$
$$\mathbb{R}^N_0 = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_N = 0\},$$

and $\mathbf{n} = (0, \ldots, 0, -1)$ be the unit outer normal to $\mathbb{R}^N_0$. $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u})$, $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_N)$, the doubled deformation tensor whose (i, j) components are $D_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i$ ($\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$), $\mathbf{I}$ the $N \times N$ identity matrix, α, β are positive constants (α and β are the first and second viscosity coefficients, respectively) such that $\beta - \alpha > 0$.

Meanwhile, Δ_{Γ_t} is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Δ_{Γ_t}. Let $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ and $\mathbb{R}^N_0$ be a half-space and its boundary, respectively. Namely,

$$\mathbb{R}^N_+ = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_N > 0\},$$
$$\mathbb{R}^N_0 = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_N = 0\}$$

Let $\mathbf{n} = (0, \ldots, 0, -1)$ be the unit outer normal to $\mathbb{R}^N_0$. We consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \mathbf{u} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{u} - \beta \nabla \mathrm{div}\, \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ (\alpha \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}) - (\beta - \alpha)\mathrm{div}\, \mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} - \sigma(\Delta_\Gamma \eta)\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{g} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N_0, \\ \lambda \eta - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{u} = d & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N_0, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where α is uniformly continuous function with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+$, which satisfy the assumptions:

$$\rho_*/2 \leq \alpha(x) \leq 2\rho_*. \tag{4}$$

The aim of this paper is to derive a systematic way proving the existence and the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness solution operator of the resolvent problem for the equation system of Navier-Lamé (3) with surface tension in half-space. By using the Weis operator valued Fourier multiplier theorem [19], the existence of the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness solution operator of the problem (1) implies not only the generation of analytic semigroup but also the maximal L_p-L_q regularity. The Navier-Lamé (NL) equation is the fundamental equation of motion in classical linear elastodynamics [7]. Sakhr [13] investigated the Navier-Lamé equation by using Buchwald representation in cylindrical coordinates. The $\mathcal{R}$-sectoriality was introduced by Clément and Prüß[5]. In 2009, Cao [2] investigated the Navier-Stokes and the wave-type extension-Lamé equations by using Fourier expansion. And also investigated the flag partial differential equations by using Xu's method.

In this paper, we investigate the derivation of the $\mathcal{R}$-sectoriality for the model problem in the whole space and half-space by applying Fourier transform to the model problems. In the other side, Denk, Hieber and Prüß[4] proved the $\mathcal{R}$-sectoriality for BVP of the elliptic equation which is hold the Lopatinski-Shapiro condition.

Recently, there are many researcher who concern to study $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness case. In 2014, Murata [8] investigated the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the Stokes operator with

slip boundary condition. Others researcher who investigated the $\mathcal{R}$-sectoriality is Maryani [10, 11]. She studied the maximal L_p-L_q regularity class in a bounded domain and some unbounded domains which satisfy some uniformity and global well-posedness in the bounded domain case, respectively using the result of $\mathcal{R}$-bundedness of the solution operator of the model problem of the Oldroyd-b model. The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the solution operator families for the Navier-Lamé equation with surface tension in half-space problem. A further result in favour of focusing on the main problem is finding the characteristic of η and creating the Laplace- Beltrami operator on Γ. This kind of investigation is become considerable benefit in studying of fluid mechanics.

Several mathematical analysis approach of fluid motion with surface tension have been undertaken in recent year. In 2013, Shibata [15] investigated the generalized resolvent estimates of the Stokes equations with first order boundary condition in a general domain. Later year, Shibata and Shimizu [18] studied a local in time solvability of free surface problems for the Navier-Stokes equations with surface tension. According to those phenomena, it is such an interesting subject to analyze fluid flow of the non-Newtonian compressible type especially model of the Navier-Lamé equations.

The main aim of this study is to prove the existence of the $\mathcal{R}$-bounded solution operator families for Navier-Lamé equations with surface tension in a bounded domain for the resolvent problem (1) in half-space for $\sigma > 0$ and $a = 0$ case. This topic become important reference for someone who concern with not only local well-posedness but also global well-posedness of Oldroyd-B model fluid flow. And then, applying the definition of $\mathcal{R}$-sectoriality and Weis' operator valued Fourier multiplier theorem in [19], automatically we obtain the generation of analytic semigroup and the maximal L_p-L_q regularity for the equation (3). In 2017, Maryani and Saito [12] investigated $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of solution operator of two phase problem for Stokes equations.

To state our main results, at this stage we introduce our notation used throughout the paper.

Notation $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the sets of natural numbers and we set $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ denote the sets of complex numbers, and real numbers, respectively. For the sets of all $N \times N$ symmetric and anti-symmetric matrices, we denote $Sym(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $ASym(\mathbb{R}^N)$, respectively. Let $q' = q/(q-1)$, where q' is the dual exponent of q with $1 < q < \infty$, and satisfies $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. For any multi-index $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N) \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$, we write $|\kappa| = \kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_N$ and $\partial_x^k = \partial_1^{\kappa_1} \cdots \partial_N^{\kappa_N}$ with $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$. For scalar function f and N-vector of functions $\mathbf{g}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla f &= (\partial_1 f, \ldots, \partial_N f),\\
\nabla \mathbf{g} &= (\partial_i g_j \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, N),\\
\nabla^2 f &= \{\partial_i \partial_j f \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, N\},\\
\nabla^2 \mathbf{g} &= \{\partial_i \partial_j g_k \mid i, j, k = 1, \ldots, N\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators from X into Y, for Banach spaces X and Y and $\mathrm{Hol}\,(\mathrm{U}, \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}))$ the set of all $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ valued holomorphic functions defined on a domain U in $\mathbb{C}$. $L_q(D)$, $W_q^m(D)$, $B_{p,q}^s(D)$ and $H_q^s(D)$ denote the usual Lebesgue space, Sobolev space, Besov space and Bessel potential space, respectively, for any domain D in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $1 \le p, q \le \infty$. Whilst, $\|\cdot\|_{L_q(D)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{W_q^m(D)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{B_{q,p}^s(D)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H_q^s(D)}$ denote their respective norms. For $\theta \in (0,1)$, $H_p^\theta(\mathbb{R}, X)$ denotes the standard X-valued Bessel potential space defined by

$$H_p^\theta(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, X) \mid \|f\|_{H_p^\theta(\mathbb{R},X)} < \infty\},$$

$$\|f\|_{H_p^\theta(\mathbb{R},X)} < \infty\} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1+\tau^2)^{\theta/2} \mathcal{F}[f](\tau)](t)\|_X^p \, dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

We set $W_q^0(D) = L_q(D)$ and $W_q^s(D) = B_{q,q}^s(D)$. $C^\infty(D)$ denotes the set all C^∞ functions defined on D. $L_p((a,b), X)$ and $W_p^m((a,b), X)$ denote the usual Lebesgue space and Sobolev space of X-valued function defined on an interval (a,b), while $\|\cdot\|_{L_p((a,b),X)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W_p^m((a,b),X)}$ denote their respective norms.Moreover, we set

$$\|e^{\eta t} f\|_{L_p((a,b),X)} = \left(\int_a^b (e^{\eta t} \|f(t)\|_X)^p dt \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for } 1 \le p < \infty.$$

The d-product space of X is defined by $X^d = \{f = (f, \ldots, f_d) \mid f_i \in X \, (i = 1, \ldots, d)\}$, while its norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_X$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{X^d}$ for the sake of simplicity. We set

$$\begin{aligned}
&W_q^{m,\ell}(D) = \{(f, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{H}) \mid f \in W_q^m(D),\\
&\mathbf{g} \in W_q^\ell(D)^N, \ \mathbf{H} \in W_q^m(D)^{N \times N}\},\\
&\|(f, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{H})\|_{W_q^{m,\ell}(\Omega)} = \|(f, \mathbf{H})\|_{W_q^m(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{W_q^\ell(\Omega)},\\
&L_{p,\gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{f(t) \in L_{p,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \mid e^{-\gamma_1 t} f(t) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)\},\\
&L_{p,\gamma_1,0}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{f(t) \in L_{p,\gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}, X) \mid f(t) = 0 \ (t < 0)\},\\
&W_{p,\gamma_1}^m(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{f(t) \in L_{p,\gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}, X) \mid e^{-\gamma_1 t} \partial_t^j f(t) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)\\
&(j = 1, \ldots, m)\},\\
&W_{p,\gamma_1,0}^m(\mathbb{R}, X) = W_{p,\gamma_1}^m \cap L_{p,\gamma_1,0}(\mathbb{R}, X).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_x = \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ denote the Fourier transform and the Fourier inverse transform, respectively, which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_x[f](\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x) dx\\
\mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1}[g](x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{ix\cdot\xi} g(\xi) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

We also write $\hat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}_x[f](\xi)$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ denote the Laplace transform and the Laplace inverse transform, respectively, which are defined by

$$\mathcal{L}[f](\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, \qquad \mathcal{L}^{-1}[g](t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda t} g(\tau) d\tau,$$

with $\lambda = \gamma + i\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. Given $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and X-valued function $f(t)$, we set

$$\Lambda_\gamma^s f(t) = \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}[\lambda^s \mathcal{L}[f](\lambda)](t).$$

We introduce the Bessel potential space of X-valued functions of order s as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{p,\gamma_1}^s(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{&f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, X) \mid e^{-\gamma t} \Lambda_\gamma^s[f](t) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)\\
&\text{for any } \gamma \ge \gamma_1\},\\
H_{p,\gamma_1,0}^s(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{&f \in H_{p,\gamma_1}^s(\mathbb{R}, X) \mid f(t) = 0 \ (t < 0)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$, we set $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} =< \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} >= \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j$. For scalar functions f, g and N-vectors of functions $\mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{g}$ we set $(k, g)_D = \int_D kg\, dx$, $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{g})_D = \int_D \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{g}\, dx$, $(k, g)_\Gamma = \int_\Gamma kg\, d\sigma$, $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{g})_\Gamma = \int_\Gamma \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{g} d\sigma$, where σ is the surface element of Γ. For $N \times N$ matrices of functions $\mathbf{F} = (F_{ij})$ and $\mathbf{G} = (G_{ij})$, we set $(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G})_D = \int_D \mathbf{F} : \mathbf{G}\, dx$ and $(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G})_\Gamma = \int_\Gamma \mathbf{F} : \mathbf{G}\, d\sigma$, where $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{G} \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} F_{ij} G_{ij}$ and $|\mathbf{F}| \equiv \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} F_{ij} F_{ij} \right)^{1/2}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{F}$ means vectors with components $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i F_{ij}$. Let $C_0^\infty(G)$ be the set of all C^∞ functions whose supports are compact and contained in G. The letter C denotes generic constants and the constant $C_{a,b,\ldots}$ depends on $a, b, \ldots$. The values of constants C and $C_{a,b,\ldots}$ denote a positive constant which maybe different even in a single chain of inequalities. We use small boldface letters, e.g. $\mathbf{u}$ to denote vector-valued functions and capital boldface letters, e.g. $\mathbf{H}$ to denote matrix-valued functions, respectively. But, we also use the Greek letters, e.g. ρ, θ, τ, ω, such as to denote mass densities, and elastic tensors unless the confusion may occur, although they are $N \times N$ matrices.

2 Methodology

Research methodology of this paper is literature review. In this article, we consider the $\mathcal{R}$-Boundedness of the operator solution of the Navier-Lamé equation with surface tension in half-space case. The procedures how to prove the purpose of the article are explained in the following. First of all, we define half-space and its boundary, then by using the partial Fourier transform and inverse partial Fourier transform of resolvent problem of (1) in whole and half-space, we get new solution formula of velocity and also density of Navier-Lamé equations. In the end, we use Weis's operator valued Fourier multiplier for time dependent problem.

3 Result and Discussion

3.1 Main Theorem

Before stating our main result, firstly, we introduce the definition of $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness and the operator valued Fourier multiplier theorem due to Weis [19]. The following theorem is obtained by Weis [19].

Theorem 3.1. *Let X and Y be two UMD Banach spaces and $1 < p < \infty$. Let M be a function in $C^1(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ such that*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}(\{(\tau \frac{d}{d\tau})^\ell M(\tau) \mid \tau \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}\}) \leq \kappa < \infty \quad (\ell = 0, 1)$$

with some constant κ. Then, the operator T_M defined in (5) is extended to a bounded linear operator from $L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ into $L_p(\mathbb{R}, Y)$. Moreover, denoting this extension by T_M, we have

$$\|T_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R},X), L_p(\mathbb{R},Y))} \leq C\kappa$$

for some positive constant C depending on p, X and Y.

Definition 3.2. A family of operators $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is called $\mathcal{R}$-bounded on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{T_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathcal{T}$, $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset X$ and sequences $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of independent, symmetric, $\{-1, 1\}$-valued random variables on $[0, 1]$, we have the inequality:

$$\left\{ \int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u) T_j x_j \|_Y^p \, du \right\}^{1/p} \leq C \left\{ \int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u) x_j \|_X^p \, du \right\}^{1/p}.$$

The smallest such C is called $\mathcal{R}$-bounded of $\mathcal{T}$, which is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}(\mathcal{T})$.

Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ be the set of all X valued C^∞ functions having compact support and the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing X valued functions, respectively, while $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}), X)$. Given $M \in L_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}, X)$, we define the operator $T_M : \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, X) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ by

$$T_M \phi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[M \mathcal{F}[\phi]], \quad (\mathcal{F}[\phi] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, X)). \tag{5}$$

Remark 3.3. For the definition of UMD space, we refer to a book due to Amann [1]. For $1 < q < \infty$, Lebesgue space $L_q(\Omega)$ and Sobolev space $W_q^m(\Omega)$ are both UMD spaces.

We quote a proposition [4], which tell us that $\mathcal{R}$-bounds behave like norms.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X, Y and Z be Banach space and $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be $\mathcal{R}$-bounded families*

1. *If X and Y be Banach spaces and let $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be $\mathcal{R}$-bounded families in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Then $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{S} = \{T + S | T \in \mathcal{T}, S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is also an $\mathcal{R}$-bounded family in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{S}) \leq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}(\mathcal{T}) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}(\mathcal{S})$$

2. *If X, Y and Z be Banach spaces and let $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be $\mathcal{R}$-bounded families in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$, respectively. Then $\mathcal{ST} = \{ST | T \in \mathcal{T}, S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is also an $\mathcal{R}$-bounded family in $\mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ and*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Z)}(\mathcal{TS}) \leq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}(\mathcal{T}) \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}(\mathcal{S})$$

Definition 3.5. Let V be a domain in $\mathbb{C}$, let $\Xi = V \times (\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \setminus \{0\})$, and let $m : \Xi \to \mathbb{C}; (\lambda, \xi') \mapsto m(\lambda, \xi')$ be $\mathcal{C}^1$ with respect to τ, where $\lambda = \gamma + i\tau \in V$, and C^∞ with respect to $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \setminus \{0\}$.

1. $m(\lambda, \xi')$ is called a multiplier of order s with type 1 on Ξ, if the estimates:

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\kappa'} m(\lambda, \xi')| \leq C_{\kappa'}(|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\xi'|)^{s - |\kappa'|},$$
$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\kappa'} (\tau \partial_\tau m(\lambda, \xi'))| \leq C_{\kappa'}(|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\xi'|)^{s - |\kappa'|}$$

hold for any multi-index $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$ and $(\lambda, \xi') \in \Xi$ with some constant $C_{\kappa'}$ depending solely on κ' and V.

2. $m(\lambda, \xi')$ is called a multiplier of order s with type 2 on Ξ, if the estimates:

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\kappa'} m(\lambda, \xi')| \leq C_{\kappa'}(|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\xi'|)^s |\xi'|^{-|\kappa'|},$$
$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\kappa'} (\tau \partial_\tau m(\lambda, \xi'))| \leq C_{\kappa'}(|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\xi'|)^s |\xi'|^{-|\kappa'|}$$

hold for any multi-index $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$ and $(\lambda, \xi') \in \Xi$ with some constant $C_{\kappa'}$ depending solely on κ' and V.

Let $\mathbf{M}_{s,i}(V)$ be the set of all multipliers of order s with type i on Ξ for $i = 1, 2$. For $m \in \mathbf{M}_{s,i}(V)$, we set $M(m, V) = \max_{|\kappa'|\le N} C_{\kappa'}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}$ be the inverse partial Fourier transform defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[f(\xi', x_N)](x') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} e^{i\xi'\cdot\xi'} f(\xi', x_N)\, d\xi'.$$

Then, we have the following two lemmas which have proved essentially by Shibata and Shimizu [17, Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.6].

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\epsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$, $q \in (1, \infty)$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$. Given $m \in \mathbf{M}_{-2,1}(\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0})$, we define an operator $L(\lambda)$ by*

$$[L(\lambda)g](x) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[m(\lambda, \xi')\lambda^{1/2} e^{-B(x_n+y_N)}\hat{g}(\xi', y_N)](x')\, dy_N.$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+), W^{2-j}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)^N)}(\{(\tau\partial\tau)^\ell(\lambda^{j/2}\partial^\alpha_x L(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}\}) \le r_b(\lambda_0) \quad (\ell = 0, 1), (j = 0, 1, 2).$$

where τ denotes the imaginary part of λ, and $r_b(\lambda_0)$ is a constant depending on $M(m, \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0})$, ϵ, λ_0, N, and q.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < \epsilon < \pi/2$ dan $\lambda_0 > 0$. Let $m(\lambda, \xi')$ be a function defined on $\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}$ and $m \in \mathbf{M}_{-2,2}(\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0})$ such that for any multi-index $\kappa' \in \mathbb{N}^{N-1}_0$ there exists a constant $C_{\kappa'}$ such that*

$$|\partial^{\kappa'}_{\xi'}\{(\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau})^\ell m(\lambda, \xi')\}| \le C_{\kappa'}(|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\xi'|^{-2-|\kappa'|}) \quad (\ell = 0, 1) \tag{6}$$

for any $(\lambda, \xi') \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0,}$. Let $\Psi_j(\lambda)$ $(j = 1, \dots, 4)$ be operators defined by

$$\Psi_1(\lambda)f = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[m(\lambda, \xi')Be^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\mathcal{F}_{x'}[f](\xi', y_N)](x')\, dy_N,$$

$$\Psi_2(\lambda)f = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[m(\lambda, \xi')B\ M(x_N + y_N)\mathcal{F}_{x'}[f](\xi', y_N)](x')\, dy_N,$$

$$\Psi_3(\lambda)f = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[m(\lambda, \xi')AB\ M(x_N + y_N)\mathcal{F}_{x'}[f](\xi', y_N)](x')\, dy_N,$$

$$\Psi_4(\lambda)f = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[m(\lambda, \xi')B^2 M(x_N + y_N)\mathcal{F}_{x'}[f](\xi', y_N)](x')\, dy_N.$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+), L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)^{\tilde{N}})}(\{(\tau\frac{d}{d\tau})^\ell(G_\lambda\Psi_i(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}\}) \le C \quad (\ell = 0, 1,\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$$

with some constant C. Here and hereafter, $C_{\kappa'}$ denote a generic constant depending on κ', ϵ, λ_0.

The proof of the Lemma can be seen in [6], [3] and [8].

Lemma 3.8. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and let Λ be a set in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $m = M(\lambda, \xi)$ be a function defined on $\Lambda \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ which is infinitely differentiable with respect to $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Assume that for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N_0$ there exists a constant C_α depending on α and Λ such that*

$$|\partial^\alpha_\xi m(\lambda, \xi)| \le C_\alpha |\xi|^{-|\alpha|} \tag{7}$$

for any $(\lambda, \xi) \in \Lambda \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$. Let K_λ be an operator defined by

$$K_\lambda f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[m(\lambda, \xi)\mathcal{F}[f](\xi)]. \tag{8}$$

Then, the family of operators $\{K_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-bounded on $\mathcal{L}(L_q(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(L_q(\mathbb{R}^N))}(\{K_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}) \le C_{q,N} \max_{|\alpha|\le N+1} C_\alpha \tag{9}$$

for some $C_{q,N}$ depending only on q and N.

The following theorem is the main theorem of this article.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < \epsilon < \pi/2$ and $N < r < \infty$. Assume that $r \ge \max(q, q')$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}$. Set*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+) =& \{(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, d) \mid f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+), \mathbf{g} \in W^1_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)^N, \\ & d \in W^{2-1/q}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_0)\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+) =& \{(\mathbf{F}_1, \mathbf{F}_2, \mathbf{F}_3, F_4) | \mathbf{F}_1 \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)^N, \mathbf{F}_2 \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)^N, \\ & \mathbf{F}_3 \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)^{N^2}, F_4 \in W^{2-1/q}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there exists a $\lambda_0 \ge 1$ and an operator family $R(\lambda)$ and $R_1(\lambda)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda) &\in \mathrm{Hol}(\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+), \mathrm{W}^2_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+))) \\ R_1(\lambda) &\in \mathrm{Hol}(\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+), \mathrm{W}^{3-1/q}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_0))) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

such that for any $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, d) \in Z_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}$, $\mathbf{u} = R(\lambda)(\mathbf{f}, \lambda^{1/2}\mathbf{g}, \nabla\mathbf{g}, d)$ and $\eta = R_1(\lambda)(\mathbf{f}, \lambda^{1/2}\mathbf{g}, \nabla\mathbf{g}, d)$ are unique solution to problem (3). Moreover, there exists a constant r_b such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+), W^{2-j}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)^N)}(\{(\tau\partial\tau)^\ell(\lambda^{j/2}R(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}\}) \le r_b \\ &\quad (\ell = 0, 1,\ j = 0, 1, 2), \\ &\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+), W^{3-k}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+))}(\{(\tau\partial\tau)^\ell(\lambda^k R_1(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}\}) \le r_b \\ &\quad (\ell = 0, 1,\ k = 0, 1), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with $\lambda = \gamma + i\tau$.

Remark 3.10. The $\mathbf{F}_1$, $\mathbf{F}_2$, $\mathbf{F}_3$ and F_4 are variables corresponding to $\mathbf{f}$, $\lambda^{1/2}\mathbf{g}$, $\nabla\mathbf{g}$ and d, respectively.

The resolvent parameter λ in problem (3) varies in $\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0} =& \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg\lambda| \le \pi - \epsilon,\ \ |\lambda| \ge \lambda_0\} \\ & (\epsilon \in (0, \pi/2), \lambda_0 > 0). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The following section discusses the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the solution operator in the whole space problem.

3.2 On the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the solution operator in $\mathbb{R}^N$

In this section, we consider the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the solution operator of the Navier-Lamé equation:

$$\lambda \mathbf{u} - \alpha\Delta\mathbf{u} - \beta\nabla \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{13}$$

where α and β are positive constants. Applying div to (13), we have

$$(\lambda - (\alpha+\beta)\Delta)\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{u} = \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{f} \tag{14}$$

Substituting (14) to (13) we have the formula of $\mathbf{u}$, that is

$$\mathbf{u} = (\lambda - \alpha\Delta)^{-1}\mathbf{f} + \beta\nabla[(\lambda-\alpha\Delta)^{-1}(\lambda-(\alpha+\beta)\Delta)^{-1}\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{f}] \tag{15}$$

By the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform for $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_N)$ we have $\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{f} = (u_1, \ldots, u_N)$ then we can write equation (15) to be

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{g} =& \mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1}\left[\frac{\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{f}](\xi)}{\lambda + \alpha|\xi|^2}\right] \\ &+ \beta\mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1}\left[\frac{\xi\xi\cdot\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{f}](\xi)}{(\lambda+\alpha|\xi|^2)(\lambda+(\alpha+\beta)|\xi|^2)}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Related to the spectrum, we know the following lemma which proved by Shibata and Tanaka [14].

Lemma 3.11. *Let $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}$ as defined in (12) Then we have the following assertion*

1. *For any $\lambda \in \Sigma_\epsilon$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have*

$$|\alpha^{-1}\lambda + |\xi|^2| \geq \sin(\frac{\epsilon}{2})(\alpha^{-1}|\lambda| + |\xi|^2) \tag{17}$$

2. *For any $\lambda_0 > 0$ we have*

$$|arg(\alpha^{-1}\lambda)| \leq \pi - \epsilon$$

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < \epsilon < \pi/2$ and we assume that $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha + \beta > 0$. Let $\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)$ be the operator defined in 16. Then, $\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda) \in Hol(\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(L_q(\mathbb{R}^N)^N, W_q^2(\mathbb{R}^N)^N))$. For any $\mathbf{f} \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}$, $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{f}$ is a unique solution to the problem (13) and we have*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(L_q(\mathbb{R}^N)^N, L_q(\mathbb{R}^N)^{\tilde{N}})}(\{(\tau\frac{d}{d\tau})^\ell(G_\lambda\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}\}) \leq C \quad (\ell = 0, 1) \tag{18}$$

for $\lambda = \gamma + i\tau$ and some constant C depends solely on ϵ, λ_0, γ, q and N, $G_\lambda\mathbf{u} = (\lambda\mathbf{u}, \gamma\mathbf{u}, \lambda^{1/2}\nabla\mathbf{u}, \nabla^2\mathbf{u})$.

3.3 On the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness solution operator in $\mathbb{R}^N_+$; $\sigma > 0$, $a = 0$

In this section we consider the following generalized resolvent problem of the equation (3) which can be written in the following:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda\mathbf{u} - \alpha\Delta\mathbf{u} - \beta\nabla\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ (\alpha\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}) - (\beta-\alpha)\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{u}\mathbf{I})\mathbf{n} - \sigma(\Delta_\Gamma\eta)\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{g} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N_0, \\ \lambda\eta - \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{n} = d & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N_0. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (0, \ldots, 0, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\Delta'\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\partial^2\eta/\partial x_j^2$.

Furthermore, we consider the following equation system:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda\mathbf{u} - \alpha\Delta\mathbf{u} - \beta\nabla\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (\alpha\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}) - (\beta-\alpha)\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{u}\mathbf{I})\mathbf{n} - \sigma(\Delta_\Gamma\eta)\mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \lambda\eta + \mathbf{a}'\cdot\nabla'\eta - \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{n} = d & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N_0. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Then, we shall prove the following theorem

Theorem 3.13. *Let $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < \epsilon < \pi/2$ and $\lambda_1 > 0$ and operator families $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{V}(\lambda)$ with*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}(\lambda) &\in \mathrm{Hol}(\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{R}^\mathrm{N}_+), \mathrm{W}^2_\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{R}^\mathrm{N}_+))) \\ \mathcal{V}(\lambda) &\in \mathrm{Hol}(\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{R}^\mathrm{N}_+), \mathrm{W}^3_\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{R}^\mathrm{N}_+)))\end{aligned}$$

such that for any $d \in W_q^2(\mathbb{R}^N_+)^N$, $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{U}(\lambda)d$ and $\eta = \mathcal{V}(\lambda)d$ are unique solutions of equation (20). Moreover, the following estimate are hold:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+), W_q^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}^N_+)^N)}(\{(\tau\partial\tau)^\ell(\lambda^{j/2}\mathcal{U}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}\}) \leq r_b(\lambda_1) \quad (j = 0, 1, 2)$$
$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+), W_q^{3-k}(\mathbb{R}^N_+))}(\{(\tau\partial\tau)^\ell(\lambda^{k}\mathcal{V}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}\}) \leq r_b(\lambda_1) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, 3)$$

We have Theorem 3.9 immediately with help of the Theorem 3.13.

First of all, applying the partial Fourier transform to equation (20), we have for $x_N > 0$ for first and second equation in the following

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(\alpha^{-1}\lambda + |\xi'|^2)\hat{u}_j - \alpha\partial_N^2\hat{u}_N - \beta i\xi_j(i\xi'\cdot\hat{u}' + \partial_N\hat{u}_N) = 0, \\ \alpha(\alpha^{-1}\lambda + |\xi'|^2)\hat{u}_N - \alpha\partial_N^2\hat{u}_N - \beta\partial_N(i\xi'\cdot\hat{u}' + \partial_N\hat{u}_N) = 0, \\ \alpha(\partial_N\hat{u}_j + i\xi_j\hat{u}_N)\mid_{x_N=0} = 0 \\ 2\alpha\partial_N\hat{u}_N + (\beta-\alpha)(i\xi'\cdot\hat{u}' + \partial_N\hat{u}_N)\mid_{x_N=0} = -\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta} \\ \lambda\hat{\eta} + \hat{u}_N\mid_{x_N=0} = \hat{d} \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

with $i\xi'\cdot\hat{u}' = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} i\xi_k\hat{u}_k$, $\xi' = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N-1})$ and $\hat{f} = \hat{f}(\xi', x_N) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} e^{-ix'\cdot\xi'}f(x', x_N)dx'$. Here and hereafter, j runs from 1 to $N-1$. Since $(\lambda - \alpha\Delta)(\lambda - (\alpha+\beta)\Delta)\hat{\mathbf{u}} = 0$ as was seen in (14), we have $(\partial_N^2 - A^2)(\partial_N^2 - B^2)\hat{\mathbf{u}} = 0$ with

$$A = \sqrt{(\alpha+\beta)^{-1}\lambda + |\xi'|^2}, \quad B = \sqrt{\alpha^{-1}\lambda + |\xi'|^2}.$$

We look for a solution $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = (\hat{u}_1, \ldots, \hat{u}_N)$ of the form

$$\hat{u}_\ell = (P_\ell + Q_\ell)e^{-Bx_N} - P_\ell e^{-Ax_N} \tag{22}$$

for $\ell = 1, \ldots, N$

First of all, by substituting (22) into (21) and equating the coefficients of e^{-Ax_N} and e^{-Bx_N}, we have

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(B^2 - A^2)P_j - \beta i\xi_j(i\xi'\cdot P' - AP_N) = 0, \\ \alpha(B^2 - A^2)P_N + \beta A(i\xi'\cdot P' - AP_N) = 0, \\ i\xi'\cdot P' + i\xi'\cdot Q' - B(P_N + Q_N) = 0, \\ \alpha((B-A)P_j + BQ_j - i\xi_j Q_N) = 0 \\ (\alpha+\beta)(B(P_N + Q_N) - AP_N) - \beta i\xi'\cdot Q' = \sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta} \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

with $i\xi'\cdot R' = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} i\xi_k R_k$ for $R = P$ and Q. We consider $i\xi'\cdot Q'$ and Q_N as two unknowns to solve the linear equations (23). Then by the second and the third equation in

(23), we have

$$i\xi'\cdot P' = \frac{|\xi'|^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}(i\xi'\cdot Q' - BQ_N),$$
$$P_N = \frac{A}{AB-|\xi'|^2}(i\xi'\cdot Q' - BQ_N) \tag{24}$$

Since $i\xi'\cdot\hat{k}'(0) = \alpha((B-A)i\xi'\cdot P' + Bi\xi'\cdot Q') + \alpha|\xi'|^2 Q_N)$ as follows from the fourth equation of (23), combining this formula with the last equation in (23) and (24) and setting

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{11} &= \frac{\alpha A(B^2-|\xi'|^2)}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\\
L_{12} &= \frac{\alpha|\xi'|^2(2AB-|\xi'|^2-B^2)}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\\
L_{21} &= \frac{2\alpha A(B-A)-(\beta-\alpha)(A^2-|\xi|^2)}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\\
L_{22} &= \frac{(\alpha+\beta)B(A^2-|\xi|^2)}{AB-|\xi'|^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

we have a linear system:

$$L\begin{bmatrix} i\xi'Q' \\ Q_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma|\xi'|^2\eta \end{bmatrix} \tag{26}$$

with Lopatinski matrix

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

The analysis of the Lopatinski determinant can be seen in Götz and Shibata [3].

If $\det L \neq 0$ at $(\lambda,\xi')\in\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}$, then it follows from (26) that

$$i\xi'\cdot P' = \frac{|\xi'|^2}{(\det L)(AB-|\xi'|^2)}M,$$
$$P_N = \frac{A}{(\det L)(AB-|\xi'|^2)}M \tag{28}$$

with $M = -(L_{12+BL_{11}})\sigma|\xi'|^2\eta$. By (28), we have

$$i\xi'\cdot P' - AP_N = \frac{(|\xi'|^2-A^2)}{(\det L)(AB-|\xi'|^2)}M, \tag{29}$$

so that by (23) we have

$$\begin{cases}
P_j = -\dfrac{\beta i\xi_j(|\xi'|^2-A^2)}{\alpha(B^2-A^2)\det L(AB-|\xi'|^2)}(L_{12+BL_{11}})\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta}\\
P_N = \dfrac{\beta A(|\xi'|^2-A^2)}{\alpha(B^2-A^2)(\det L)(AB-|\xi'|^2)}(L_{12+BL_{11}})\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta}\\
Q_j = \dfrac{i\xi_j}{B\det L}\Big[\dfrac{\beta(|\xi'|^2-A^2)}{\alpha(A+B)(AB-|\xi'|^2)}(L_{12}+BL_{11})\\
\qquad +L_{11}\Big]\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta}\\
Q_N = \dfrac{L_{11}}{\det L}\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta}
\end{cases} \tag{30}$$

Thus, combining (23) and (30) and setting $\omega = \beta/\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}_j(\xi',x_N) =& -\frac{\omega(i\xi_j)(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)\det L}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\\
&(BM(x_N)-e^{-Bx_N})\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta}\\
&+\frac{(i\xi_j)L_{11}}{B\det L}e^{-Bx_N}\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta}
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}_N(\xi',x_N) =& \frac{\omega A(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{(B+A)\det L}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}M(x_N)\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta}\\
&+\frac{L_{11}}{\det L}e^{-Bx_N}\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

with $M(x_N) = \dfrac{e^{-Bx_N}-e^{-Ax_N}}{B-A}$.

Inserting the formula of $\hat{u}_N(\xi',x_N)|_{x_N=0}$ into the last equation of (21), we have

$$\lambda\hat{\eta} + \frac{L_{11}}{\det L}\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{\eta} = \hat{d}$$

which implies that

$$\hat{\eta} = \frac{\det L}{G}\ \hat{d} \tag{32}$$

with

$$G = (\lambda\det L + L_{11}\sigma|\xi'|^2). \tag{33}$$

Lemma 3.14. *Let $0<\epsilon<\pi/2$ and G be the function defined in* (33)*. Then, there exist $\lambda_1>0$ and $C>0$ such that the estimate:*

$$|G| \geq C(|\lambda|+|\xi'|)(|\lambda|^{1/2}+|\xi'|)^3 \tag{34}$$

holds for $(\lambda,\xi')\in\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_1}\times(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\setminus\{0\})$.

Proof. Firstly, by using Lemma 5.1 in [3] and technique of the proof of the Lemma 3.14 which can be seen in Shibata [16] we can proof the Lemma 3.14. □

Thus, by substituting the solution formula (33), the equation (31) can be written in the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}_j(\xi',x_N) =& -\frac{\omega(i\xi_j)(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}(BM(x_N)\\
&-e^{-Bx_N})\sigma|\xi'|^2\frac{\hat{d}}{G}\\
&+\frac{(i\xi_j)L_{11}}{B}e^{-Bx_N}\sigma|\xi'|^2\frac{\hat{d}}{G}
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}_N(\xi',x_N) =& \frac{\omega A(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{(B+A)\det L}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}M(x_N)\sigma|\xi'|^2\frac{\hat{d}}{G}\\
&+\frac{L_{11}}{G}e^{-Bx_N}\sigma|\xi'|^2\hat{d}.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

By using the Volevich trick

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\xi',x_N)q(\xi',0) =& -\int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial y_N}(p(\xi',x_N+y_N)q(\xi',y_N))dy_N\\
=& -\int_0^\infty \frac{\partial p}{\partial y_N}(\xi',x_N+y_N)q(\xi',y_N))dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty p(\xi',x_N+y_N)\frac{\partial q}{\partial y_N}(\xi',y_N))dy_N
\end{aligned}$$

and the identities $1 = \dfrac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\alpha B^2}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} - \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{i\xi_k}{B^2}i\xi_k$ and $\partial_N M(x_N) = -e^{-Bx_N} - AM(x_N)$.

In view of equation (35) The solution formula for $u_j = \mathcal{U}_j(\lambda)d$ and $u_N = \mathcal{U}_N(\lambda)d$ can be written as follow

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_j(x) =& \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{\omega(i\xi_j)(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\frac{\sigma B}{G}\\
&AM(x_N+y_N)\mathcal{F}[\Delta' d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')\,dy_N\\
&+\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{\omega(i\xi_j)(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\frac{\sigma B}{G}\\
&e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\mathcal{F}[\Delta' d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')\,dy_N\\
&+\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{\omega(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\\
&\frac{\sigma|\xi'|^2BM(x_N+y_N)}{G}\mathcal{F}[\partial_j\partial_N d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')\,dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{\omega(i\xi_j)(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\frac{\sigma B}{G}\\
&e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\mathcal{F}[\Delta' d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')\,dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{\omega(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\frac{\sigma|\xi'|^2}{G}\\
&e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\mathcal{F}[\partial_j\partial_N d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')\,dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{(i\xi_j)L_{11}}{B}\frac{\sigma B}{G}\\
&e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\mathcal{F}_{x'}[\Delta' d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}[\frac{L_{11}}{B^2}\frac{\sigma B|\xi'|^2}{G}\\
&e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\mathcal{F}_{x'}[\partial_j\partial_N d](\xi',y_N)](x')dy_N
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_N(x) =& -\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{\omega(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\frac{\sigma B}{G}\\
&AM(x_N+y_N)\mathcal{F}[\Delta' d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')\,dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{\omega(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\frac{\sigma B}{G}\\
&e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\mathcal{F}[\Delta' d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')\,dy_N\\
&+\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{\omega(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\\
&\frac{\sigma|\xi'|^2BM(x_N+y_N)}{G}\mathcal{F}[\partial_N d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')\,dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{L_{11}}{B}\frac{\sigma B}{G}e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\mathcal{F}_{x'}[\Delta' d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg]\\
&(x')dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}[\frac{L_{11}}{B^2}\frac{\sigma B|\xi'|^2}{G}e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\\
&\mathcal{F}_{x'}[\partial_N d](\xi',y_N)](x')dy_N
\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{\omega(L_{12}+BL_{11})}{B(B+A)}\frac{|\xi'|^2-A^2}{AB-|\xi'|^2}\\
&\frac{\sigma|\xi'|^2BM(x_N+y_N)}{G}\mathcal{F}[\partial_N d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg](x')\,dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Bigg[\frac{L_{11}}{B}\frac{\sigma B}{G}e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\mathcal{F}_{x'}[\Delta' d](\xi',y_N)\Bigg]\\
&(x')dy_N\\
&-\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}[\frac{L_{11}}{B^2}\frac{\sigma B|\xi'|^2}{G}e^{-B(x_N+y_N)}\\
&\mathcal{F}_{x'}[\partial_N d](\xi',y_N)](x')dy_N
\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

where we have used $\mathcal{F}[\Delta' d](\xi',y_N) = -|\xi'|^2\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)$. We have $\mathcal{U}_j(\lambda)d = u_j,\ j = 1\ldots,N-1$ and $\mathcal{U}_N(\lambda)d = u_N$. By Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 3.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(W_q^2(\mathbb{R}^N_+),W_q^{2-\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^N_+))}(\{(\tau\partial\tau)^\ell(\lambda^{k/2}\mathcal{U}_j(\lambda))\mid\lambda\in\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}\})\\
&\qquad\le r_b(\lambda_1)\quad(\ell=0,1,\ k=0,1,2),
\end{aligned}$$

where $r_b(\lambda_1)$ is a constant depending on m_0, m_1, m_2 and λ_1. Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(W_q^2(\mathbb{R}^N_+),W_q^{2-\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^N_+))}(\{(\tau\partial\tau)^\ell(\lambda^{k/2}\mathcal{U}_N(\lambda))\mid\lambda\in\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}\})\\
&\qquad\le r_b(\lambda_1)\quad(\ell=0,1,\ k=0,1,2).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we construct the formula of η. Let $\phi(x_N)$ be a function in C_0^∞ such that $\phi(x_N)=1$ for $|x_N|\le 1$ and $\phi(x_N)=0$ for $|x_N|\ge 2$. We define η by

$$\eta(x) = \phi(x_N)\mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-Ax_N}\frac{\det L}{G}\hat{d}(\xi',0)\Big](x').$$

By the Volevich trick, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(x) =& -\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \partial_N\mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\det L}{G}\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N)\Big]\\
&(x')\,dy_N\\
=&\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{A\det L}{G}\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N)\Big]\\
&(x')\,dy_N\\
&-\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\det L}{G}\partial_N(\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))\Big]\\
&(x')\,dy_N\\
=&\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{A\det L}{G(1+|\xi'|^2)}\\
&\mathcal{F}'[(1-\Delta')d](\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N)\Big](x')\,dy_N\\
&-\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\det L}{G(1+|\xi'|^2)}\\
&\Big(\partial_N(\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))-\sum_{k-1}^{N-1}i\xi_k\partial_N(\mathcal{F}'[\partial_k d](\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))\Big)\Big]\\
&(x')\,dy_N
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{V}(\lambda)d\ |_{x_N=0}=\eta$ and recalling the definition of η in (32).

By the Volevich trick, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{V}(\lambda)d\\
&=-\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \partial_N\mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\det L}{G}\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N)\Big](x')\,dy_N\\
&=\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{A\det L}{G}\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N)\Big](x')\,dy_N\\
&-\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\det L}{G}\partial_N(\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))\Big](x')\,dy_N\\
&=\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{A\det L}{G(1+|\xi'|^2)}\\
&\mathcal{F}'[(1-\Delta')d](\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N)\Big](x')\,dy_N\\
&-\phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\det L}{G(1+|\xi'|^2)}\\
&\Big(\partial_N(\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))-\sum_{k-1}^{N-1}i\xi_k\partial_N(\mathcal{F}'[\partial_k d](\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))\Big)\Big](x')\,dy_N
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{V}(\lambda)d = \phi(x_N)\{\mathcal{V}^1(\lambda)d + \mathcal{V}^2(\lambda)d\}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}^1(\lambda)d =& \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{A\det L}{G(1+|\xi'|^2)}\\ &\mathcal{F}'[(1-\Delta')d](\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N)\Big](x')\,dy_N\\ \mathcal{V}^2(\lambda)d =& -\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\det L}{G(1+|\xi'|^2)}\\ &\Big(\partial_N(\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))\\ &-\sum_{k-1}^{N-1} i\xi_k\partial_N(\mathcal{F}'[\partial_k d](\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))\Big)\Big]\\ &(x')\,dy_N\end{aligned}$$

To treat η, we use the following lemma which had been proved by Shibata [9].

Lemma 3.15. *Let Σ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $1 < q < \infty$. Let ϕ and ψ be two $C_0^\infty((-2,2))$ functions. Given $m_0 \in \mathbf{M}_{0,2}(\Sigma)$, we define an operator $L_6(\lambda)$ and $L_7(\lambda)$ acting on $g \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned}[L_6(\lambda)g](x) =& \phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}m_0(\lambda,\xi')\\ &\hat{g}(\xi',y_N)\psi(y_N)\Big]dy_N,\\ [L_7(\lambda)g](x) =& \phi(x_N)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[Ae^{-A(x_N+y_N)}m_0(\lambda,\xi')\\ &\hat{g}(\xi',y_N)\psi(y_N)\Big]dy_N.\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^\ell L_k(\lambda)\mid \lambda\in\Sigma\}) \le r_b$$

for some constant $k = 6, 7$, $\ell = 0, 1$ and r_b depending on $\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_0}$

Proof. The lemma 3.15 of the model have proved by Shibata [16]. Moreover, for $(j,\alpha',k) \in \mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{N}_0^{N-1}\times\mathbb{N}_0$ with $j + |\alpha'+k| \le 3$ and $j = 0, 1$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^j\partial_{x'}^{\alpha'}\partial_N^k\mathcal{V}(\lambda)d =& \sum_{n=0}^k\binom{n}{k}(\partial_N^{k-n}\phi(x_N))\\ &[\lambda^j\partial_{x'}^{\alpha'}\partial_N^k\mathcal{V}^1(\lambda)d\\ &+\lambda^j\partial_{x'}^{\alpha'}\partial_N^k\mathcal{V}^2(\lambda)d]\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda^j\partial_{x'}^{\alpha'}\partial_N^k\mathcal{V}^1(\lambda)d\\ &=\int_0^\infty\mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[Ae^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\lambda^j(i\xi')^{\alpha'}(-|\xi'|)^n\det L}{\tilde{G}(1+|\xi'|^2)}\\ &\mathcal{F}'[(1-\Delta')d](\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N)\Big]\\ &\lambda^j\mathcal{V}^2(\lambda)d\\ &=\int_0^\infty\mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\lambda^j\det L}{\tilde{G}}\partial_N(\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))\Big](x')dy_N\\ &\lambda^j\partial_{x'}^{\alpha'}\partial_N^k\mathcal{V}^2(\lambda)d\\ &=\int_0^\infty\mathcal{F}_{\xi'}^{-1}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}\frac{\lambda^j(i\xi')^{\alpha'}\det L}{\tilde{G}(1+|\xi'|^2)}\\ &\Big(\partial_N(\hat{d}(\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))-\sum_{k-1}^{N-1}\frac{i\xi_k}{|\xi'|}\partial_N(\mathcal{F}'[\partial_k d](\xi',y_N)\phi(y_N))\Big)\Big]\\ &(x')dy_N \qquad (38)\end{aligned}$$

for $|\alpha'| + n \ge 1$, and we use the formula

$$1 = \frac{1+|\xi'|^2}{1+|\xi'|^2} = \frac{1}{1+|\xi'|^2} - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\frac{|\xi'|}{1+|\xi'|^2}\frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi'|}i\xi_j$$

for the third equation of (38).

We can see that for the multipliers in the equation (38) hold Lemma 3.15, then we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(W_q^2(\mathbb{R}^N_+),W_q^{3-k}(\mathbb{R}^N_+))}(\{(\tau\frac{d}{d\tau})(\lambda^k\mathcal{V}(\lambda))\mid\lambda\in\Sigma_{\epsilon,\lambda_*}\}) \le r_b$$
$(k = 0, 1)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.13. □

Proof. Furthermore, we prove Theorem 3.9. Let $(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},d) \in Z_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ and $(\mathbf{u},\eta)$ be solutions of the equation (3). Setting $\mathcal{U}(\lambda) = (\mathcal{U}_1(\lambda),\dots,\mathcal{U}_N(\lambda))$, by Theorem 3.13 we see that $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{U}(\lambda)d$ and $\eta = \mathcal{V}(\lambda)d$ are unique solution of equation (3), then we can see that given $\epsilon \in (0,\pi/2)$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ and operator families R and R_1 satisfying (10) such that $\mathbf{u} = R(\lambda)(\mathbf{f},\lambda^{1/2}\mathbf{g},\nabla\mathbf{g},d)$ and $\eta = \mathcal{V}(\lambda)(\mathbf{g},\lambda^{1/2}\mathbf{k},\nabla\mathbf{k},d)$ are unique solutions of equation (3). Moreover, the estimate (11) hold. This complete the proof of Theorem 3.9. In fact, in view of Definition $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness solution operator, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we take $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \Sigma$, $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ and $r_j(u)\,(j = 1,\dots,n)$ be Rademacher functions. By the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^1\|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)L_6(\lambda_j)g_j\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)}^q\,du\\ &=\int_0^t\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)L_6(\lambda_j)g_j|^q\,dy'\,dx_N\,du\\ &=\int_0^\infty\Big(\int_0^1\|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)L_6(\lambda_j)g_j\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}^q\,du\Big)\,dx_N.\end{aligned}$$

For any $x_N \ge 0$, by Minkowski's integral inequality,

Lemma 3.15 and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\Big(\int_0^1 \|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)L_6(\lambda_j)g_j\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\,du\Big)^{1/q}$$
$$= |\phi(x_N)|\Big(\int_0^1 \|\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}$$
$$m_0(\lambda_j,\xi')\hat{g}_j(\xi',y_N)](y')\psi(y_N)dy_N\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\,du\Big)^{1/q}$$
$$\le |\phi(x_N)|\Big(\int_0^1 \Big(\int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}$$
$$m_0(\lambda_j,\xi')\hat{g}_j(\xi',y_N)](y')\psi(y_N)dy_N\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\,dy_N\Big)^q du\Big)^{1/q}$$
$$\le |\phi(x_N)|\int_0^\infty \Big(\int_0^1 \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}$$
$$m_0(\lambda_j,\xi')\hat{g}_j(\xi',y_N)](y')\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\,du\Big)^{1/q}|\psi(y_N)|\,dy_N$$
$$\le |\phi(x_N)$$
$$|\int_0^\infty \Big(\int_0^1 \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)\hat{g}_j(\cdot,y_N)]\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\,du\Big)^{1/q}$$
$$|\psi(y_N)|\,dy_N$$
$$\le |\phi(x_N)$$
$$|\int_0^\infty \Big(\int_0^1 \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)\hat{g}_j(\cdot,y_N)]\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\,du\,dy_N\Big)^{1/q}$$
$$\Big(\int_0^\infty |\psi(y_N)|^{q'}\,dy_N\Big)^{1/q'}$$
$$\le |\phi(x_N)|\int_0^\infty \Big(\int_0^1 \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}[\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)\hat{g}_j(\cdot,y_N)]\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)}\,du\Big)^{1/q}$$
$$\Big(\int_0^\infty |\psi(y_N)|^{q'}\,dy_N\Big)^{1/q'}.$$

In fact since,

$$|\partial^{\alpha'}_{\xi'}(e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}m_0(\lambda,\xi'))| \le C_{\alpha'}|\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|}$$

for any $x_N \ge 0$, $y_N \ge 0$, $(\lambda,\xi') \in \Sigma\times(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\setminus\{0\})$, and $\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}^{N-1}$, by Lemma 3.8 we have

$$\int_0^1 \|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)$$
$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'}\Big[e^{-A(x_N+y_N)}m_0(\lambda_j,\xi')\hat{g}_j(\xi',y_N)\Big](y')\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\,du$$
$$\le C\int_0^1 \|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)g_j(\cdot,y_N)\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\,du.$$

Putting these inequalities together and using Hölder's inequality gives

$$\int_0^1 \|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)L_q(\lambda_j)g_j\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)}\,du$$
$$\le \int_0^\infty |\phi(x_N)|^q \int_0^1 \|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)g_j\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+)}\,du\,dx_N$$
$$\Big(\int_0^\infty |\psi(y_N)|^{q'}\,dy_N\Big)^{q/q'},$$

and so, we have

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(u)L_q(\lambda_j)g_j\|_{L_q((0,1),L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+))}$$
$$\le C\|\phi\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}\|\psi\|_{L_{q'}(\mathbb{R})}\|\sum_{j=1}^n r_jg_j\|_{L_q((0,1),L_q(\mathbb{R}^N_+))}.$$

This shows Lemma 3.15. □

By using Lemma 3.6 and 3.15, we can show Theorem 3.13. These completes the proof of Theorem 3.9.

4 Conclusions

Partial Differential Equation (PDE) can describe the phenomena in our daily life. The aim of PDE problem is well-posedness properties of the model problem. One property of well-posedness is regularity of the solution of the model problem. The $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the solution operator families of model problem is one of the methods to get the regularity. Therefore, the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of Navier-Lamé equation with surface tension can be used investigating well-posedness properties of model problem.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank to Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology Republic of Indonesia and LPPM UNSOED.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Amann, *Linear and Quasilinear Parabolic Problems* Vol. I. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

[2] B. Cao, *Solutions of Navier equations and their representation structure*, Advance in Applied Mathematics **43**, 331–374 (2009).

[3] D. Götz, and Y. Shibata, *On the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the solution operators in the study of the compressible viscous fluid flow with the boundary conditions*, Asymptotic. Analysis. **9**, no. 3–4, 207–236 (2014).

[4] R. Denk, ,M. Hieber and J. Pruß, *$\mathcal{R}$-boundedness, Fourier multipliers and problems of elliptic and parabolic type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **166**, no.788, (2003).

[5] Clément, Ph and Pruß, J, *An operator-valued transference principle and maximal regularity on vector-valued L_p-spaces*, Lecture notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **215**, Dekker, New York, 67–87 (2001).

[6] Y. Enomoto and Y. Shibata, *On the $\mathcal{R}$-sectoriality and its application to some mathematical study of the viscous compressible fluids*, Funkcial Ekvac. **56** no.3, 441–505 (2013).

[7] A.C Eringen and E.S Suhubi, Elastodynamics, Vol 2, Linear Theory, Academic press, New York, 1975.

[8] M. Murata, *On the sectorial $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the Stokes operator for the compressible viscous fluid flow with slip boundary condition*, Nonlinear Anal. **106**, 86-109 (2014).

[9] M. Hieber, Y. Naito and Y. Shibata, *Global existence results for oldroyd-b fluids in exterior domain*, J. Differential. Equations. **252**, 2617–2629 (2012).

[10] S. Maryani *On the free boundary problem for the Oldroyd-B model in the maximal L_p-L_q regularity class,* Nonlinear Analysis **141**, 109–129 (2016).

[11] S. Maryani *Global wellposedness for free boundary problem of the Oldroyd-B model fluid flow,* Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences **39**, 2202–2219 (2016).

[12] S. Maryani and H. Saito *On the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of solution operator families for two-phase Stokes resolvent equations,* Differential and Integral Equations **30** no. 1–2, 1–52 (2017).

[13] J. Sakhr and B. A. Chronik *Solving the Navier-Lamé Equation in Cylindrical Coordinates Using the Buchwald Representation: Some Parametric Solutions with Applications*, Advances in Appplied Mathematics and Mechanics. doi:10.4208/aamm.OA-2017-0203 (2017).

[14] Y. Shibata and K. Tanaka., *On a resolvent problem for the linearized system from the dynamical system describing the compressible viscous fluid motion,* Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **27**, 1579–1606 (2004).

[15] Y. Shibata *Generalized resolvent eatimates of the Stokes equations with first order boundary condition in a general domain,* J. Matmematical Fluid Mechanics **15**, 1–40 (2013).

[16] Y. Shibata *Report on a local in time slvability of free surface problems for the Navier-Stokes equations with surface tension,* Lecture note: An International Journal, **90**(1), 201–214 (2011).

[17] Y. Shibata and S. Shimizu, *On the $L_p - L_q$ Maximal regularity of the Neumann problem for the Stokes equations in a bounded domain,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **615**, 157-209 (2008).

[18] Y. Shibata and S. Shimizu, *Report on a local in time solvability of free surface problems for the Navier Stokes equations with Surface tension,* Applicable Analysis: An International Journal. **90:1**, 201-214 (2011).

[19] L. Weis, *Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems and maximal L_p-regularity,* Math.Ann. **319**, 735-758 (2001).