



+





Gmail



Search mail



+



Near Authors



Our decision is: Revisions Required

299

Important

Join a meeting



Dwi Nugroho

+

Dear Authors,

Thank you for submitting this interesting paper, investigating feeding for review. The paper overall is relatively robust and the feeding eco considered. To make sure that this research is more robust in read however. I have attached specific comments on the word document,

1. Samples. Could you provide a little more detail on why only morphological features, or convenience sampling? Did you need to ensure that other researchers in the future could replicate your study?
2. Limitations. Did your method rely on collection of fish for identification by your study. For example, other species might be present in the same area.
3. Not sure about which one should be used: electivity of selection or convenience sampling.



Gmail



Search mail

Inbox

299

Starred

Snoozed

Important

Meet

New meeting

Join a meeting

Hangouts



Dwi Nugroho



[Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity](#)

2 Attachments

Dear Authors,

Thank you for submitting this interesting paper, investigating feeding ecology of *Neosalanx leptocephalus* (Bleeker, 1862) in the Rawas Biru lake, Merauke - Indonesia, for review. The paper overall is relatively robust and the feeding ecology analysis is well considered. The findings on feeding ecology are interesting and well attached. To make sure that this research is more robust in readership, there are some revisions required to strengthen the justifications and assumptions, however, I have attached specific comments on the word document, please use these when making revisions. Additionally, please consider the following:

1. Samples. Could you provide a little more detail on why only a total of 36 fish specimens were sampled and selected? Were they selected based on morphological features, or convenience sampling? Did authors try to collect all available species or just breeded fish? Information is enough to ensure that other



A-Reviewed10459-.

Feeding ecology of *Neoarius leptaspis* (Bleeker, 1862) in the Rawa Biru Lake, Meranke, Indonesia

A-10459-Article Te.



+





+

You can find my edits to the revised manuscript and correct it accorc



Gmail



Search mail



+

4. the author must mention whether the data average or not? (table mention whether average or not



Gmail



Search mail



+

1/1



Gmail



Search mail

Inbox

299

Starred

Snoozed

Important

Meet

New meeting

Join a meeting

Hangouts



Dwi Nugroho



[biodiv] New notification from Biodivers

Inbox x



Smujo Editors

to me

You have a new notification from Biodiversitas Journal of Biological

You have been added to a discussion titled "Uncorrected proof [02]"
Rawa Biru Lake Merauke, Indonesia".

Link: <https://smujo.id/biodiv/authorDashboard/submission/10459>

Ahmad Dwi Setyawan

Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity



Gmail



Search mail

Inbox

299



You have a new notification from Biodiversitas Journal of Biologic

Starred

Snoozed

Important

Meet

New meeting

Join a meeting

Hangouts



Dwi Nugroho



to Ahmad

Dear Editor

Thank you very much for the second version of uncorrected proofre:
I would go through the manuscript and correct the necessary items.
I would make bank transfers for publication fees.

Sincerely yours,
Dwi Nugroho Wibowo



to Ahmad



Inbox

299



Dear Editor Thank you very much for the second version of uncor

Snoozed

Important


New meeting

Join a meeting

Hangouts



Dwi Nugroho





+

1/1



Gmail



Search mail

[biodiv] Editor Decision

Inbox x

299



DWI NUGROHO WIBOWO, SITI RUKAYAH, NUR LAILA RAHAYU

Important



to me, SITl

DWI NUGROHO WIBOWO, SITI RUKAYAH, NUR LAILA RAHAYU,

The editing of your submission, "Feeding ecology of *Neoarius leptas* production.

Submission URL: <https://smujo.id/biodiv/authorDashboard/submission>

Join a meeting

Hangouts



Dwi Nugroho





+



The editing of your submission, "Feeding ecology of *Neotarius lept*



Dwi Nugroho Wibowo

Forward