

PEER REVIEW

**LEMBAR
HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW
KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH**

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) : Insect diversity in various distance to forest edge in small nature reserve: A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia.

Penulis Jurnal Ilmiah *) : 1 Darsono (*nama pengusul dicetak tebal)
2 Edy Riwidiharso
3 Slamet Santoso
4 **Eming Sudiana**
5 Edy Yani
6 Erie Kolya Nasution
7 Hexa Apriliana
8 Titi Chsanah

Jumlah Penulis : 8
Status Penulis : Penulis Ke-1
Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah :

- a. Nama Jurnal : BIODIVERSITAS Journal of Biological Diversity
- b. Nomor ISSN : ISSN: P-1412-033x E-ISSN: 2085-4722
- c. Edisi/Volume, Nomor : Edisi Oktober 2020/Vol. 21 Nomor :
- d. Penerbit : Fakultas MIPA Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta
- e. DOI artikel : <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d211048>
- f. Alamat Web : <https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6691>
- g. Terindeks di : SCOPUS, Q3, SJR : 0,27

Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah : Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional /Internasional Bereputasi
(beri v pada kategori yang tepat) Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Terakreditasi
 Jurnal Nasional/Nasional terindeks di..... *

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :

Komponen Yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah			Nilai Akhir Yang Diperoleh
	Internasional/ Internasional bereputasi	Nasional Terakreditasi	Nasional Terindeks *)	
a Kelengkapan unsur isi artikel (10%)	<input type="text"/>	10 % X 40= 4	<input type="text"/>	3,9
b Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	<input type="text"/>	30 % X40= 12	<input type="text"/>	11,6
c Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	<input type="text"/>	30 % X40= 12	<input type="text"/>	11,7
d Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan/jurnal (30%)	<input type="text"/>	30 % X40= 12	<input type="text"/>	11,8
Total = (100%)		40		38,9
Nilai Pengusul (40 % x Total)/7		24		2,22

Catatan Penilaian artikel oleh Reviewer:

1. Tentang kelengkapan dan kesesuaian unsur : Unsur lengkap dan sesuai
2. Tentang ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan : Spesifik dan mendalam, ruang lingkup cukup,
3. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data serta metodologi : Data cukup, metodologi mutahir
4. Kelengkapan unsur kualitas penerbit : Unsur lengkap dan bermutu, kualitas baik
5. Indikasi plagiasi : Tidak ada
6. Kesesuaian bidang ilmu : Sesuai

Purwokerto, *) Wajib diisi

Reviewer 1

Prof. Dr.rer.nat. Imam Widhiono M.Z., M.S.
NIP. 195904201985031002
Jabatan/Gol. : Guru Besar/(Gol. IV/c)
Bidang Ilmu : Entomologi
Unit Kerja : Fakultas Biologi Unsoed

Reviewer 2

Dr. Suhestri Suryaningsih, M.S.
NIP. 195807181986012001
Jabatan/Gol. : Guru Besar/(Gol. IV/c)
Bidang Ilmu : Taksonomi Vertebrata
Unit Kerja : Fakultas Biologi Unsoed

A D

**LEMBAR
HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW
KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH**

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) : Insect diversity in various distance to forest edge in small nature reserve: A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia.

Penulis Jurnal Ilmiah *) : 1 Darsono (*nama pengusul dicetak tebal)
2 Edy Riwidiharso
3 Slamet Santoso
4 **Eming Sudiana**
5 Edy Yani
6 Erie Kolya Nasution
7 Hexa Apriliana
8 Titi Chsanah

Jumlah Penulis : 8

Status Penulis : Penulis Ke-1

Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah :

a. Nama Jurnal : BIODIVERSITAS Journal of Biological Diversity
b. Nomor ISSN : ISSN: P-1412-033x E-ISSN: 2085-4722
c. Edisi/Volume, Nomor : Edisi Oktober 2020/Vol. 21 Nomor : 10
d. Penerbit : Fakultas MIPA Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta
e. DOI artikel : <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d211048>
f. Alamat Web : <https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6691>
g. Terindeks di : SCOPUS, Q3, SJR : 0,27

Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah : Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional /Internasional Bereputasi
(beri v pada kategori yang tepat) Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Terakreditasi
 Jurnal Nasional/Nasional terindeks di..... *

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :

Komponen Yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah			Nilai Akhir Yang Diperoleh
	Internasional/ Internasional bereputasi	Nasional Terakreditasi	Nasional *)	
	40	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3,8
a Kelengkapan unsur isi artikel (10%)	10 % X 40= 4			
b Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	30 % X40= 12			11,3
c Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	30 % X40= 12			11,5
d Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan/jurnal (30%)	30 % X40= 12			11,6
Total = (100%)	40			38,2
Nilai Pengusul (40 % x Total)/7	2.29			2,18

Catatan Penilaian artikel oleh Reviewer:

1. Tentang kelengkapan dan kesesuaian unsur : *Unsur lengkap dan sesuai*
2. Tentang ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan : *Ruang lingkup cukup, pembahasan mendalam*
3. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data serta metodologi : *Data cukup, metodologi mutakhir*
4. Kelengkapan unsur kualitas penerbit : *Unsur lengkap, kualitas baik*
5. Indikasi plagiasi : *Tidak ada*
6. Kesesuaian bidang ilmu : *Sesuai*

Purwokerto,

*) wajib diisi

Reviewer 2



Dr. Suhestri Suryaningsih, M.S.
NIP. 195807181986012001
Jabatan/Gol. : Lektor Kepala/(Gol. IV/c)
Bidang Ilmu : Taksonomi Vertebrata
Unit Kerja : Fakultas Biologi Unsoed



Menghantar:
Prof. Dr. ret. Imam Widhiono M.Z, M.S.
NIP. 195904201985031002
Unit Kerja : Fakultas Biologi Unsoed

**LEMBAR
HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW
KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH**

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) : Insect diversity in various distance to forest edge in small nature reserve: A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia.

Penulis Jurnal Ilmiah *) : 1 Darsono (*nama pengusul dicetak tebal)
2 Edy Riwidiharso
3 Slamet Santoso
4 **Eming Sudiana**
5 Edy Yani
6 Eric Kolya Nasution
7 Hexa Apriliana
8 Titi Chsanah

Jumlah Penulis : 8

Status Penulis : Penulis Ke-1

Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah :

- a. Nama Jurnal : BIODIVERSITAS Journal of Biological Diversity
- b. Nomor ISSN : ISSN: P-1412-033x E-ISSN: 2085-4722
- c. Edisi/Volume, Nomor : Edisi Oktober 2020/Vol. 21 Nomor : 10
- d. Penerbit : Fakultas MIPA Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta
- e. DOI artikel : <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d211048>
- f. Alamat Web : <https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6691>
- g. Terindeks di : SCOPUS, Q3, SJR : 0,27

Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah : Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional /Internasional Bereputasi
(beri v pada kategori yang tepat) Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Terakreditasi
 Jurnal Nasional/Nasional terindeks di..... *

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :

Komponen Yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah			Nilai Akhir Yang Diperoleh
	Internasional/ Internasional bereputasi 40	Nasional Terakreditasi	Nasional *)	
a Kelengkapan unsur isi artikel (10%)	10 % X 40= 4			3,9
b Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	30 % X40= 12			11,9
c Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	30 % X40= 12			11,9
d Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan/jurnal (30%)	30 % X40= 12			11,9
Total = (100%)	40			
Nilai Pengusul (40 % x Total)/7	2.29			2,26

Catatan Penilaian artikel oleh Reviewer:

- 1. Tentang kelengkapan dan kesesuaian unsur : *lengkap dan sesuai*
- 2. Tentang ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan : *spesifik dan mendalam*
- 3. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data serta metodologi : *mutakhir*
- 4. Kelengkapan unsur kualitas penerbit : *prop dan bermutu*
- 5. Indikasi plagiasi : *tidak ada*
- 6. Kesesuaian bidang ilmu : *sempurna*

Purwokerto, *) wajib diisi

Reviewer 1

Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Imam Widhiono M.Z., M.S.
NIP. 195904201985031002
Jabatan/Gol. : Guru Besar/(Gol. IV/c)
Bidang Ilmu : Entomologi
Unit Kerja : Fakultas Biologi Unsoed



Mengetahui :

Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Imam Widhiono M.Z., M.S.
NIP. 195904201985031002
Unit Kerja : Fakultas Biologi Unsoed

ARTIKEL

Front cover: *Leucopsar rothschildi* Stresemann, 1912
(PHOTO: SHEAU TORNG LIM)

Published monthly

PRINTED IN INDONESIA

ISSN: 1412-033X

E-ISSN: 2085-4722



9 771412 033818



9 772085 472812

ISSN: 1412-033X
E-ISSN: 2085-4722

BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity

Volume 21 - Number 10 - October 2020



BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity
Volume 21 – Number 10 – October 2020

ISSN/E-ISSN:

1412-033X (printed edition), 2085-4722 (electronic)

EDITORIAL BOARD:

Abdel Fattah N.A. Rabou (Palestine), **Agnieszka B. Najda** (Poland), **Ajay Kumar Gautam** (India), **Alan J. Lymbery** (Australia), **Annisa** (Indonesia), **Bambang H. Saharjo** (Indonesia), **Daiane H. Nunes** (Brazil), **Darlina Md. Naim** (Malaysia), **Ghulam Hassan Dar** (India), **Hassan Pourbabaei** (Iran), **Joko R. Witono** (Indonesia), **Kartika Dewi** (Indonesia), **Katsuhiko Kondo** (Japan), **Kusumadewi Sri Yulita** (Indonesia), **Livia Wanntorp** (Sweden), **M. Jayakara Bhandary** (India), **Mahdi Reyahi-Khoram** (Iran), **Mahendra K. Rai** (India), **Mahesh K. Adhikari** (Nepal), **Maria Panitsa** (Greece), **Mochamad A. Soendjoto** (Indonesia), **Mohib Shah** (Pakistan), **Mohamed M.M. Najim** (Srilanka), **Nurhasanah** (Indonesia), **Praptiwi** (Indonesia), **Rasool B. Tareen** (Pakistan), **Seyed Aliakbar Hedayati** (Iran), **Seyed Mehdi Talebi** (Iran), **Shahabuddin** (Indonesia), **Shahir Shamsir** (Malaysia), **Shri Kant Tripathi** (India), **Subhash C. Santra** (India), **Sugeng Budiharta** (Indonesia), **Sugiyarto** (Indonesia), **Taufiq Purna Nugraha** (Indonesia), **Yosep S. Mau** (Indonesia)

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

S u t a r n o

EDITORIAL MEMBERS:

English Editors: **Graham Eagleton** (grahameagleton@gmail.com), **Suranto** (surantouns@gmail.com); Technical Editor: **Solichatun** (solichatun_s@yahoo.com), **Artini Pangastuti** (pangastuti_tutut@yahoo.co.id); Distribution & Marketing: **Rita Rakhmawati** (oktia@yahoo.com); Webmaster: **Ari Pitoyo** (aripitoyo@yahoo.com)

MANAGING EDITORS:

Ahmad Dwi Setyawan (unsjournals@gmail.com)

PUBLISHER:

The Society for Indonesian Biodiversity

CO-PUBLISHER:

Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta

ADDRESS:

Jl. Ir. Sutami 36A Surakarta 57126. Tel. +62-271-7994097, Tel. & Fax.: +62-271-663375, email: editors@smujo.id

ONLINE:

biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id; smujo.id/biodiv



Society for Indonesia
Biodiversity



Sebelas Maret University
Surakarta

GUIDANCE FOR AUTHORS

Aims and Scope *Biodiversitas*, *Journal of Biological Diversity* or abbreviated as *Biodiversitas* encourages submission of manuscripts dealing with all biodiversity aspects of plants, animals and microbes at the level of the gene, species, and ecosystem as well as ethnobiology.

Article types The journal seeks original full-length research papers, reviews, and short communication. Manuscript of original research should be written in no more than 8,000 words (including tables and picture), or proportional with articles in this publication number. Review articles will be accommodated, while, short communication should be written at least 2,000 words, except for pre-study.

Submission The journal only accepts online submission, through open journal system (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/about/submissions>) or email to the editors at unsjournals@gmail.com. Submitted manuscripts should be the original works of the author(s). The manuscript must be accompanied by a cover letter containing the article title, the first name and last name of all the authors, a paragraph describing the claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge. Submission of a manuscript implies that the submitted work has not been published before (except as part of a thesis or report, or abstract); and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. When a manuscript written by a group, all authors should read and approve the final version of the submitted manuscript and its revision; and agree the submission of manuscripts for this journal. All authors should have made substantial contributions to the concept and design of the research, acquisition of the data and its analysis; drafting of the manuscript and correcting of the revision. All authors must be responsible for the quality, accuracy, and ethics of the work.

Ethics Author(s) must obedient to the law and/or ethics in treating the object of research and pay attention to the legality of material sources and intellectual property rights.

Copyright If and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the author(s) still hold the copyright and retain publishing rights without restrictions. Authors or others are allowed to multiply article as long as not for commercial purposes. For the new invention, authors are suggested to manage its patent before published.

Open access The journal is committed to free-open access that does not charge readers or their institutions for access. Readers are entitled to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of articles, as long as not for commercial purposes. The license type is CC-BY-NC-SA.

Acceptance The only articles written in English (U.S. English) are accepted for publication. Manuscripts will be reviewed by editors and invited reviewers(double blind review) according to their disciplines. Authors will generally be notified of acceptance, rejection, or need for revision within 1 to 2 months of receipt. The manuscript is rejected if the content does not in line with the journal scope, does not meet the standard quality, inappropriate format, complicated grammar, dishonesty (i.e. plagiarism, duplicate publications, fabrication of data, citations manipulation, etc.), or ignoring correspondence in three months. The primary criteria for publication are scientific quality and biodiversity significance. **Uncorrected proofs** will be sent to the corresponding author by email as *.doc* or *.docx* files for checking and correcting of typographical errors. To avoid delay in publication, corrected proofs should be returned in 7 days. The accepted papers will be published online in a chronological order at any time, but printed in the early of each month (12 times).

A charge Starting on January 1, 2019, publishing costs waiver is granted to authors of graduate students from **Least Developed Countries**, who first publish the manuscript in this journal. However, other authors are charged USD 250 (IDR 3,500,000). Additional charges may be billed for language editing, USD 75-150 (IDR 1,000,000-2,000,000).

Reprints The sample journal reprint is only available by special request. Additional copies may be purchased when ordering by sending back the uncorrected proofs by email.

Manuscript preparation Manuscript is typed on A4 (210x297 mm²) paper size, in a single column, single space, 10-point (10 pt) Times New Roman font. The margin text is 3 cm from the top, 2 cm from the bottom, and 1.8 cm from the left and right. Smaller lettering size can be applied in presenting table and figure (9 pt). Word processing program or additional software can be used, however, it must be PC compatible and Microsoft Word based (*.doc* or *.rtf*; not *.docx*). **Scientific names** of species (incl. subspecies, variety, etc.) should be written in italic, except for italic sentence. Scientific name (genera, species, author), and cultivar or strain should be mentioned completely for the first time mentioning it in the body text, especially for taxonomic manuscripts. Name of genera can be shortened after first mentioning, except generating confusion. Name of the author can be eliminated after first mentioning. For example, *Rhizopus oryzae* L. UICC 524, hereinafter can be written as *R. oryzae* UICC 524. Using trivial name should be avoided, otherwise generating confusion. **Biochemical and chemical nomenclature** should follow the order of the IUPAC - IUB. For DNA sequence, it is better used Courier New font. Symbols of standard chemical and abbreviation of chemistry name can be applied for common and clear used, for example, completely written butilic hydroxyl toluene (BHT) to be BHT hereinafter. **Metric measurement** use IS denomination, usage other system should follow the value of equivalent with the denomination of IS first mentioning. Abbreviations set of, like g, mg, mL, etc. do not follow by dot. Minus index (m⁻², L⁻¹, h⁻¹) suggested to be used, except in things like "per-plant" or "per-plot". **Equation of mathematics** does not always can be written

down in one column with text, in that case can be written separately. **Number** one to ten are expressed with words, except if it relates to measurement, while values above them written in number, except in early sentence. The fraction should be expressed in decimal. In the text, it should be used "%" rather than "percent". Avoid expressing ideas with complicated sentence and verbiage, and used efficient and effective sentence.

Title of the article should be written in compact, clear, and informative sentence, preferably not more than 20 words. Name of author(s) should be completely written. **Name and institution** address should also be completely written with street name and number (location), postal code, telephone number, facsimile number, and email address. Manuscript written by a group, author for correspondence along with address is required. First page of the manuscript is used for writing above information.

Abstract should not be more than 200 words. **Keywords** is about five words, covering scientific and local name (if any), research theme, and special methods which used; and sorted from A to Z. All important **abbreviations** must be defined at their first mention. **Running title** is about five words. **Introduction** is about 400-600 words, covering the background and aims of the research. **Materials and Methods** should emphasize on the procedures and data analysis. **Results and Discussion** should be written as a series of connecting sentences, however, for manuscript with long discussion should be divided into subtitles. Thorough discussion represents the causal effect mainly explains for why and how the results of the research were taken place, and do not only re-express the mentioned results in the form of sentences. **Concluding** sentence should be given at the end of the discussion. **Acknowledgments** are expressed in a brief; all sources of institutional, private and corporate financial support for the work must be fully acknowledged, and any potential conflicts of interest are noted.

Figures and Tables of maximum of three pages should be clearly presented. Title of a picture is written down below the picture, while title of a table is written above the table. Colored figures can only be accepted if the information in the manuscript can lose without those images; chart is preferred to use black and white images. Author could consign any picture or photo for the front cover, although it does not print in the manuscript. All images property of others should be mentioned source. **There is no appendix**, all data or data analysis are incorporated into Results and Discussions. For broad data, it can be displayed on the website as a supplement.

References Author-year citations are required. In the text give the authors name followed by the year of publication and arrange from oldest to newest and from A to Z. In citing an article written by two authors, both of them should be mentioned, however, for three and more authors only the first author is mentioned followed by et al., for example: Saharjo and Nurhayati (2006) or (Boonkerd 2003a, b, c; Sugiyarto 2004; El-Bana and Nijs 2005; Balagadde et al. 2008; Webb et al. 2008). Extent citation as shown with word "*cit*" should be avoided. Reference to unpublished data and personal communication should not appear in the list but should be cited in the text only (e.g., Rifai MA 2007, pers. com. (personal communication); Setyawan AD 2007, unpublished data). In the reference list, the references should be listed in an alphabetical order (better, if only 20 for research papers). Names of journals should be abbreviated. Always use the standard abbreviation of a journal's name according to the **ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations** (www.issn.org/2-22661-LTWA-online.php). The following examples are for guidance.

Journal:

Saharjo BH, Nurhayati AD. 2006. Domination and composition structure change at hemic peat natural regeneration following burning; a case study in Pelalawan, Riau Province. *Biodiversitas* 7: 154-158.

Book:

Rai MK, Carpinella C. 2006. Naturally Occurring Bioactive Compounds. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Chapter in book:

Webb CO, Cannon CH, Davies SJ. 2008. Ecological organization, biogeography, and the phylogenetic structure of rainforest tree communities. In: Carson W, Schnitzer S (eds) *Tropical Forest Community Ecology*. Wiley-Blackwell, New York.

Abstract:

Assaed AM. 2007. Seed production and dispersal of *Rhazya stricta*. 50th annual symposium of the International Association for Vegetation Science, Swansea, UK, 23-27 July 2007.

Proceeding:

Alikodra HS. 2000. Biodiversity for development of local autonomous government. In: Setyawan AD, Sutarno (eds.) *Toward Mount Lawu National Park; Proceeding of National Seminary and Workshop on Biodiversity Conservation to Protect and Save Germplasm in Java Island*. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, 17-20 July 2000. [Indonesian]

Thesis, Dissertation:

Sugiyarto. 2004. Soil Macro-invertebrates Diversity and Inter-Cropping Plants Productivity in Agroforestry System based on Sengon. [Dissertation]. Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. [Indonesian]

Information from internet:

Balagadde FK, Song H, Ozaki J, Collins CH, Barnet M, Arnold FH, Quake SR, You L. 2008. A synthetic *Escherichia coli* predator-prey ecosystem. *Mol Syst Biol* 4: 187. www.molecularsystemsbiology.com

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/issue/view/276>)

Vol. 21 No. 10 (2020)

Full Issue

Front Cover (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/issue/view/276/131>)

Articles

Ethnobotanical investigation of spice and condiment plants used by the Taming tribe in Aceh, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6380>)

ZIDNI ILMAN NAVIA, DITA AUDIRA, NURUL AFIFAH, KASANOVA TURNIP, NURAINI, ADI BEJO SUWARDI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6380/4245>)

Daily activity, diet and habitat of Bali myna (*Leucopsar rothschildi*) in Nusa Penida, Bali, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6472>)

FRANSISCUS XAVERIUS SUDARYANTO, SATYAWAN PUDYATMOKO, TJUT SUGANDAWATY DJOHAN, JUSUP SUBAGJA, I WAYAN SUANA, LALU ACHMAD TAN TILAR WANGSAJATI SUKMARING KALIH, JUNITA HARDINI, JOBNICO SUBAGIO

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6472/4247>)

Culturable gut bacteria of Ikan Batak (*Neolissochilus sumatranus* Weber & de Beaufort, 1916) collected in Toba Samosir, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6481>)

ACHMAD DINOTO, RINI HANDAYANI, NINU SETIANINGRUM, HEDDY JULISTIONO

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6481/4248>)

A synopsis of Bambusoideae (Poaceae) in Lombok, Indonesia

Information

For Readers

(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/information/readers>)

For Authors

(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/information/authors>)

For Librarians

(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/information/librarians>)

Usage Statistics Information

We log anonymous usage statistics. Please read the privacy information (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/usageStats/privacyInformation>) for details.

Journals List

Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity (<https://smujo.id/biodiv>)

Nusantara Bioscience (<https://smujo.id/nb>)

Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/psnmbi>)

Asian Journal of Agriculture (<https://smujo.id/aja>)

Asian Journal of Ethnobiology (<https://smujo.id/aje>)

Asian Journal of Forestry (<https://smujo.id/ajf>)

Biofarmasi Journal of Natural Product Biochemistry (<https://smujo.id/jnpb>)

Bioteknologi Biotechnological Studies (<https://smujo.id/bbs>)

Bonorowo Wetlands (<https://smujo.id/bw>)

Cell Biology and Development (<https://smujo.id/cbd>)

Ocean Life (<https://smujo.id/ol>)

Tropical Drylands (<https://smujo.id/td>)

Reviewers List

(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6637>)

I PUTU GEDE P. DAMAYANTO, HIMMAH RUSTIAMI, MIFTAHUDIN, TATIK CHIKMAWATI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6637/4249>)

Selection of stain fungi on rubberwood (*Hevea brasiliensis*) and its growth response against chitosan (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6525>)

ALI BIN ABITHALIB SALMAN, LISDAR IDWAN SUDIRMAN, DODI NANDIKA

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6525/4250>)

Short Communication: Herpetofauna diversity at the University of Palangka Raya, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6283>)

ANDRI MAULIDI, TITIN PURNANINGSIH, ANITA MAULINA, YOHANES EDY GUNAWAN, MUHAMMAD RIZKI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6283/4251>)

Diet composition and neighboring prey community of the Phuping newt (*Tylototriton uyenoi*) in Maesa-Kogma Biosphere Reserve, Chiang Mai Province, northern Thailand (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6346>)

THANSUDA DOWWIANGKAN, YODCHAIY CHUAYNKERN, PONGRAT DUMRONGROJWATTANA, PRATEEP DUENGKAE

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6346/4252>)

Short Communication: Effect of cryopreservation on ultrastructure and mitochondrial function of albino *Pangasius catfish* spermatozoa (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6544>)

USWATUN HASANAH, ABINAWANTO, A. ALIMUDDIN, ARIEF BOEDIONO, ENI KUSRINI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6544/4253>)

The practice and plants used in Besale ritual healing by the Anak Dalam Tribe in Nyogan Village, Jambi, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6028>)

REVIS ASRA, MARINA SILALAH, IZU ANDRY FJRIDIYANTO

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6028/4254>)

Resistance level of several soybean lines of M6 generation to stem rot disease *Athelia rolfsii* (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6243>)

DIANA SOFIA HANAFIAH, IRDA SAFNI, LUTHFI A.M. SIREGAR, REVANDY I.M. DAMANIK, ANGGRIA LESTAMI, MIKA MATONDANG

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6243/4255>)

Response of parasitoids to invasive pest *Phenacoccus manihoti* Matile-Ferrero (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) on cassava crop in Bali, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6319>)

I WAYAN SUPARTHA, I KADEK WISMA YUDHA, PUTU ANGGA WIRADANA, I WAYAN SUSILA

Reviewers

(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/reviewers/index>)

Visitor Statistics

Statistics (<https://smujo.id/info/stats>)



(<https://info.flagcounter.com/JKAr>)

Phenotypic plasticity of eddoe and dasheen taro genotypes in response to saturated water and dryland cultivations (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6489>)

CARECA SEPDIHAN RAHMAT HIDAYATULLAH, EDI SANTOSA, DIDY SOPANDIE, ARIEF HARTONO

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6489/4258>)

Seed germination characteristics in different storage time of *Gmelina arborea* treated with ultrafine bubbles priming (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6677>)

ISKANDAR Z. SIREGAR, KARIMA FAUZIAH MUHARAM, Y. ARIS PURWANTO, DEDE J. SUDRAJAT

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6677/4259>)

Genetic structure of the *Capoeta aculeata* populations inferred from microsatellite DNA loci (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6261>)

HABIBOLLAH GANDOMKAR, SEYED PEZHMAN HOSSEINI SHEKARABI, HOSSEIN ALI ABDOLHAY, SAJAD NAZARI, MEHDI SHAMSAEI MEHRJAN

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6261/4260>)

Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6414>)

FEMY M. SAHAMI, RENE CHARLES KEPEL, ABDUL HAFIDZ OLII, SILVESTER BENNY PRATASIK, RIDWAN LASABUDA, ADNAN WANTASEN, SITY AINSYAH HABIBIE

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6414/4261>)

Heavy metals contaminants in the eggs and temperatures of nesting beaches of sea turtles in Kaimana, West Papua, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6556>)

RICARDO F. TAPILATU, HENGKI WONA, RIMA HS. SIBURIAN, SEFRIANTO T. SALEDA

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6556/4262>)

The quality of fermented goat milk produced by *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01 on refrigerator temperature (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6565>)

SRI MELIA, INDRI JULIYARSI, YULIANTI FITRI KURNIA, YUDHA ENDRA PRATAMA, DHIVA REZZY PRATAMA

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6565/4263>)

Ethnomedicinal plants and practices related to pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum healthcare of Minangkabau ethnic group, West Sumatra, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6461>)

MARINA SILALAH, ARDIAN KHAIRIAH, NISYAWATI NISYAWATI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6461/4267>)

Benthic macrofaunal assemblage in seagrass-mangrove complex and adjacent ecosystems of Punang-Sari Estuary, Lawas, Sarawak, Malaysia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6548>)

ABDULLA AL-ASIF, HADI HAMLII, ABU HENA MUSTAFA KAMAL, MOHD HANAFI IDRIS, GEOFFERY JAMES GERUSU, JOHAN BIN ISMAIL, NURUL ULFAH KARIM

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6548/4268>)

Chemical compounds contained in young and mature leaves of agarwood species *Wikstroemia tenuiramis* and its antioxidant properties (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6547>)

RIDWANTI BATUBARA, TENGGU ISMANELLY HANUM, ODING AFFANDI, HENNY SRI WAHYUNI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6547/4269>)

Diversity and honey properties of stingless bees from meliponiculture in East and North Kalimantan, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6079>)

SYAFRIZAL, RICO RAMADHAN, IRAWAN WIJAYA KUSUMA, SAAT EGRA, KUNIYOSHI SHIMIZU, MAMORU KANZAKI, ENOS TANGKE ARUNG

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6079/4270>)

Short Communication: Pattern of antibiotic resistance on extended-spectrum beta-lactamases genes producing *Escherichia coli* on laying hens in Blitar, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6483>)

FRESHINTA JELLIA WIBISONO, BAMBANG SUMIARTO, TRI UNTARI, MUSTOFA HELMI EFFENDI, DIAN AYU PERMATASARI, ADIANA MUTAMSARI WITANINGRUM

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6483/4271>)

Diversity and distribution of mollusks at three zones of mangrove in Pejarakan, Bali, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6618>)

I KETUT GINANTRA, I KETUT MUKSIN, IDA BAGUS MADE SUASKARA, MARTIN JONI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6618/4272>)

Detection and prevalence of multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains isolated from poultry farms in Blitar, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6696>)

DIAN AYU PERMATASARI, ADIANA MUTAMSARI WITANINGRUM, FRESHINTA JELLIA WIBISONO, MUSTOFA HELMI EFFENDI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6696/4273>)

The ecology of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* larvae habitat in coastal areas of South Sulawesi, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6491>)

ARINI RATNASARI, ARIF RAHMAN JABAL, NUR RAHMA, SRI NUR RAHMI, MILA KARMILA, ISRA WAHID

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6491/4274>)

Changes in microbial populations during co-composting of dewatered sewage sludge with pruning wastes in windrow piles (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6466>)

AMIR HOSSEIN NAFEZ, MAHNAZ NIKAEEN, AKBAR HASSANZADEH, SAFOORA KADKHODAEI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6466/4276>)

Maximum entropy modeling for the conservation of *Hopea odorata* in riparian forests, central Thailand (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6320>)

LAMTHAI ASANOK, TORLARP KAMYO, DOKRAK MAROD

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6320/4277>)

Short Communication: Variations of morphology, anatomy, and metabolite profiles of *Citrus reticulata* Blanco cv. Tawangmangu grafts produced by shoot tip grafting using several rootstocks (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6648>)

EINSTIVINA NURYANDANI, RATNA SUSANDARINI, SITI SUBANDIYAH

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6648/4278>)

The use of effector gene based-markers to facilitate identification of *Fusarium* sp. infected shallot in Java, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6699>)

LINA HERLINA, BONJOK ISTIAJI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6699/4279>)

Biology, morphology and damage of the lesser Coconut weevil, *Diocalandra frumenti* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in southern Vietnam (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6421>)

HONG-UNG NGUYEN, THI-HIEN NGUYEN, NGUYEN-QUOC-KHANH CHAU, VAN-VANG LE, VAN-HAI TRAN

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6421/4280>)

The effectiveness of silvofishery system in water treatment in intensive whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) ponds, Probolinggo District, East Java, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6412>)

MUHAMMAD MUSA, EVELLIN DEWI LUSIANA, NANIK RETNO BUWONO, SULASTRI ARSAD, MOHAMMAD MAHMUDI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6412/4283>)

Consortium of endophytic bacteria and rhizobacteria effectively suppresses the population of *Pratylenchus coffeae* and promotes the growth of Robusta coffee (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6529>)

IIS NUR ASYIAH, IMAM MUDAKIR, MOHAMMAD HOESAIN, ANKARDIANSYAH PANDU PRADANA, ACHMAD DJUNAIDY, RIZA FAHLEVIA SARI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6529/4282>)

Short Communication: Genetic variation of *Coelogyne pandurate*, *C. rumphii* and their hybrids based on RAPD markers (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/5987>)

SRI HARTATI, ENDANG S. MULIAWATI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/5987/4284>)

Short Communication: The physical and chemical properties of nipah (*Nypa fructicans*) frond as an alternative feed for ruminants in Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6479>)

MUHAMMAD AFDAL, TEJA KASWARI, SAITUL FAKHRI, HENI SURYANI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6479/4285>)

Molecular identification of cellulase and protease producing *Bacillus tequilensis* UTMSA14 isolated from the geothermal hot spring in Lau Sidebuk Debuk, North Sumatra, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6476>)

EDY FACHRIAL, RADEN RORO JENNY SATYO PUTRI, I NYOMAN EHRICH LISTER, SARI ANGGRAINI, HARMILENI, TITANIA T. NUGROHO, SARYONO

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6476/4287>)

Isolation and characterization of lactic acid bacteria from fecal pellets, coelomic fluid, and gastrointestinal tract of *Nypa* worm (*Namalycastis rhodochorde*) from West Kalimantan, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6022>)

ARI HEPI YANTI, TRI RIMA SETYAWATI, RIKHSAN KURNIATUHADI

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6022/4288>)

Metabolic profile and skin-related bioactivities of *Cerioporus squamosus* hydromethanolic extract (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6388>)

WAILL A. ELKHATEEB, GHOSON M. DABA, MARWA O. ELNAHAS, PAUL W. THOMAS, MAHMOUD EMAM

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6388/4289>)

Hematological and antioxidants responses of dairy cow fed with a combination of feed and duckweed (*Lemna minor*) as a mixture for improving milk biosynthesis (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6478>)

UJANG HIDAYAT TANUWIRIA, ANDI MUSHAWWIR

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6478/4291>)

The production function and profitability analysis of *Gracilaria* sp. seaweed polyculture with milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) and black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6122>)

IIS DIATIN, IRZAL EFFENDI, MERI ALVINA TAUFIK

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6122/4290>)

Pharmacognostic, chemical and mucolytic activity study of *Malva pseudolavatera* Webb & Berthel. and *Malva sylvestris* L. (Malvaceae) leaf extracts, grown in Ecuador (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6642>)

MIGDALIA MIRANDA-MARTÍNEZ, GLENDA MARCELA SARMIENTO-TOMALÁ, IVÁN ANDRÉS CHÓEZ-GUARANDA, YAMILET IRENE GUTIÉRREZ-GAITÉN, RENÉ DELGADO-HERNÁNDEZ, GABRIELA CARRILLO-LAVID

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6642/4293>)

Thermostability, photostability, and toxicity of clove oil nanoparticles against

Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens) (Coleoptera: Laemphloeidae)
(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6720>)

SILVI IKAWATI, TOTO HIMAWAN, ABDUL LATIEF ABADI, HAGUS TARNO

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6720/4294>)

Low genetic diversity and no genetic differentiation between maleo hatched at coastal and inland nesting grounds in North Sulawesi, Indonesia
(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6188>)

ANDIE WIJAYA SAPUTRA, PRAMANA YUDA

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6188/4295>)

Profiling indigenous lead-reducing bacteria from Tempe Lake, South Sulawesi, Indonesia as bioremediation agents (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6373>)

AHMAD YANI, MOHAMAD AMIN, FATCHUR ROHMAN, ENDANG SUARSINI, WIRA EKA PUTRA

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6373/4296>)

The application of novel methods of Animal Barrier Screen and Kelambu Trap for mosquito's surveillance in South and West Sulawesi, Indonesia
(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6616>)

NUR RAHMA

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6616/4297>)

Genetic evaluation of tidal swamp rice from South Kalimantan, Indonesia based on the agro-morphological markers (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6652>)

DINDIN HIDAYATUL MURSYIDIN, IZHAR KHAIRULLAH

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6652/4298>)

Diversity of reef fish in Halang Melingkau Island, South Kalimantan, Indonesia
(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/5947>)

FRANS TONY, SOEMARNO, DEWA GEDE RAKA WIADNYA, LUCHMAN HAKIM

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/5947/4299>)

Bacterial (9A2H) enhancement alters the nematode community structure and decomposition pathway of amended nutrient-limited soil
(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6340>)

DEMA R. LUCKYANA, I G. A. AYU RATNA PUSPITASARI, ARDHINI R. MAHARNING

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6340/4300>)

Insect diversity in various distances to forest edge in small nature reserve: A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia
(<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6691>)

DARSONO, EDY RIWIDIHARSO, SLAMET SANTOSO, EMING SUDIANA, EDY YANI, ERIE KOLLYA NASUTION, HEXA APRILLIANA, TITI CHASANAH

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6691/4301>)

Morphometric analysis of Harpodon nehereus, Harpiosquilla raphidea, and Scylla serrata in the coastal waters of Tarakan, North Kalimantan, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6632>)

GAZALI SALIM, KUN RETNO HANDAYANI, SUTRISNO ANGGORO, AGUS INDARJO, AGUNG DHAMAR SYAKTI, ABDUL JABARSYAH IBRAHIM, JULIAN RANSANGAN, LUKMAN YUDHO PRAKOSO

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6632/4302>)

Community structure of arboreal and soil-dwelling arthropods in three different rice planting indexes in freshwater swamps of South Sumatra, Indonesia (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6650>)

TILI KARENINA, SITI HERLINDA, CHANDRA IRSAN, YULIA PUJIASTUTI, HASBI, SUPARMAN, BENYAMIN LAKITAN, HARMAN HAMIDSON, ABU Umayah

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6650/4303>)

The tolerance of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) seedlings to Al stress is enhanced by citric acid and natural peat water (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6644>)

AGUS NUR HIDAYAH, SUDIRMAN YAHYA, DIDY SOPANDIE

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6644/4304>)

Characterization of BSL6 isolates isolated from honeybee hive and to determine its antibacterial activity (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6775>)

LENNI FITRI, YEKKI YASMIN, FAUZIAH, DWI ANDRI SEPTIANI, SUHARTONO

PDF (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/view/6775/4305>)



(<https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100332431>)



(<http://doaj.org/toc/3eda1e70aa014e1e8bd1fc367b4df956>)



(<http://scholar.google.co.id/citations?hl=id&user=rae-lrEAAAAJ>)



(<http://search.crossref.org/?q=biodiversitas&type=Journal>)



Microsoft

Academic Search

Beta

(<https://academic.microsoft.com/#/detail/2738269101>)

Insect diversity in various distances to forest edge in small nature reserve: A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia

DARSONO[✉], EDY RIWIDIHARSO, SLAMET SANTOSO, EMING SUDIANA, EDY YANI,
ERIE KOLLYA NASUTION, HEXA APRILLIANA, TITI CHASANAH

Faculty of Biology, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman. Jl. Dr. Soeparno No. 63, Purwokerto Utara, Banyumas 53122, Central Java, Indonesia.

Tel.: +62-281-638794, Fax.: +62-281-638794, ✉email: darsonoadi98@yahoo.co.id

Manuscript received: 29 August 2020. Revision accepted: 25 September 2020.

Abstract. Darsono, Riwidiharso E, Santoso S, Sudiana E, Yani E, Nasution EK, Aprilliana H, Chasanah T. 2020. Insect diversity in various distances to forest edge in small nature reserve: A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 21: 4821-4828. A nature reserve is a conservation area with the objective of conserving the biodiversity of the flora and fauna within its boundaries. However, many nature reserves are fragmented into small extent and isolated from natural habitat, causing the so-called edge effect. This research aimed to investigate insect diversity across various distances to forest edge in small and isolated protected areas. Research was conducted in the Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Pemalang District, Central Java, Indonesia. A survey was conducted to determine the diversity of insects, especially those from the Diptera (flies), Lepidoptera (butterfly), and Hymenoptera (bees and wasp) orders. The environmental parameters recorded were temperature, humidity, and flowering plant diversity and abundance. Line transects were laid at a length of 100 m, parallel to the forest edge at three different distance ranges from the edge: 0-50 m, 50-100 m, and 100-150 m. Species diversity was measured using the Shannon-Wiener index, evenness index, and Sorensen similarity index. Over the 4-month study period, 1713 individual insects from 63 species and from the following three orders were found: Lepidoptera (Rhopalocera; 33 species, 5 families, 932 individuals); Hymenoptera (20 species, 423 individuals) and Diptera (10 species, 7 families, 376 individuals). The highest diversity was found at the edge of the forest and the lowest at the distance of 100-150 m from the forest edge. While the study area can support a diversity of insects, especially pollinating insects, but it is unable to support the conservation of light-sensitive butterflies. The results of this study suggest that small nature reserve can support a diversity of pollinating insects, especially from the Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Hymenoptera. However, it has limited conservation potential because of the significant impact of forest edges on species composition, especially on specialist butterfly species with habitat in forest interior.

Keywords: Diptera, forest edge, Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera, line transect, polyculture agriculture

INTRODUCTION

Based on the Act of the Republic of Indonesia No. 5 of 1990 concerning the conservation of biological natural resources and their ecosystems, a nature reserve is a protected ecosystem in its natural state containing unique plants and animals. The IUCN classification system states nature reserves as strictly protected areas to support the preservation of its species' populations and allow ecological processes to occur with as few obstacles as possible. The function of a nature reserve is to preserve biodiversity and life-supporting systems; therefore, conservation is the primary management objective.

However, while many nature reserves throughout the world have been set aside for the protection of particular species (Vina and Liu 2017), they are currently threatened by pressures from surrounding communities (Francoso et al. 2015). This condition also happens in Indonesia in which many protected nature reserves in the country have experienced many challenges, mainly due to limited resources for management and extensive utilization of resources by surrounding communities, leading to changes in land use and vegetation condition. Eventually, these

changes in land use and type of land cover bordering the nature reserve lead to the fragmentation and isolation of existing forest plots. These forests are characterized by their small area, isolation from other forests, and high edge/core area ratio (Xu et al. 2017).

Forest edges are defined as the transition zone between open habitats and forests. There can be significant variability in their three-dimensional structure, such as width, shape, and tree stem density (Esseen et al. 2016). The quantity and quality of available habitats in the edges depend in part on forest structure (Dodonov et al. 2013). Edge size is an important factor in evaluating the environmental impacts within a forest fragment. Data from the relevant literature indicate that edges can range from 50 to 500 m, and that edge effects typically extend 150 m into a fragment (Roberts et al. 2017).

The impact of forest edges puts pressure on the role and function of nature reserves. According to Astiani et al. (2018), forest fragmentation due to changes in land use and vegetation cover causes temporary or permanent damage, and produces forest edges that affect the population and structure of vegetation as well as the composition of associated species. Increasing edge effects increases

disturbed habitat types compared with stable habitats (De Fries et al. 2007). Forest edges cause physical and environmental changes that are different from those within the forest (Van Halder et al. 2011), as well as edaphic factors that result in changes in the structure and composition of supporting species (Bunyan et al. 2012; Deb et al. 2015; Welti et al. 2017). Negative impacts from edge effects include prevention of migration, decline in effective population sizes, loss of genetic variability, and invasion of exotic species (Sundarapandian and Karoor 2013; Bossart and Antwi 2016). Forest edges can be seen by altered plant species richness and community composition (Eldegard et al. 2015). Since the tree layer and its species composition have been shown to influence herb layer composition, changes in tree species composition or richness may weaken or strengthen edge effects in forest ecosystems (Erdos et al. 2019).

The changes in vegetation composition and richness in forest edge affect other biotic elements, including insects. Insects play an essential ecological role in forest ecosystems by ensuring the delivery of various ecosystem services, such as plant pollination (Adelusi et al. 2018). According to Perry et al. (2016) and Buchori et al. (2018), insects are ideal indicators of biodiversity since they are closely related to the environment and vegetation cover and are sensitive to habitat disturbances. The variety of flowering plants at forest edges is related to the diversity of pollinating insects, especially from the Hymenoptera (Apoidea) (Widhiono et al. 2017), and plays a vital role as a food resource for wild pollinating bees (Widhiono et al. 2017). According to Filgueiras et al. (2016), Pe'er et al. (2011), Widhiono (2015), Orlandin et al. (2019), and Koneri et al. (2020), the diversity and abundance of butterfly populations are related to the diversity and abundance of flowering plants. In addition, forest edges also influence the diversity of wild bees (Rands and Whitney 2011; Roberts et al. 2017).

Research has been conducted on the diversity of butterflies and other pollinator insects in relation to habitat quality. Subahar et al. (2010) examined the diversity of butterflies in Boscha Bandung. Widhiono (2015) evaluated the diversity of butterflies on Mount Slamet, Central Java. Harmonis and Saud (2017) conducted analyses in Central Kalimantan and Koneri et al. (2019) in the Talauds Islands of North Sulawesi. In general, the results showed that butterfly diversity is higher in habitats with moderate disturbance than in stable habitats. The relationship between the diversity of pollinating wild bees and habitat quality was also evaluated by Widhiono et al. (2017) on Mount Slamet, Central Java. Rands and Whitney (2011), Bayle et al. (2013), Widhiono et al. (2017), and Roberts et al. (2017) assessed the impact of forest edges on the diversity of pollinating wild bees and showed that forest edges significantly affect their diversity.

Various studies on insect diversity in conservation areas have been carried out such as Kyerematen et al. (2014) at Kogyae Strict Nature Reserve in Ghana (386 km²), Adelusi et al. (2018) in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria (34.5 km²), Chung et al. (2019) in Sg. The Rawog Conservation Area

in Segaliud Lokan Forest Reserve, Sabah, Malaysia (57474 ha). The results of the study showed that insect diversity is higher in the conservation areas compared to the non-conservation areas. Other studies specializing in butterfly diversity, such as those conducted by Basavarajappa et al. (2018) in Karnataka, India (643.99 km²), Orimaye et al. (2016) in Ise Forest Reserve, Ise Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, and Harisha et al. (2019) at Shettihalli Wildlife Sanctuary, Shivamogga District, Karnataka, India (777 km²), show that butterfly diversity is very high in conservation areas compared to non-conservation areas. While those studies were conducted on large nature reserves (more than a thousand hectare), limited analyses were focused on small reserves (less than a hundred hectare). As such, it is essential to do similar analysis but in the context of small nature reserve.

The Bantarbolang Nature Reserve is one of several nature reserves in Central Java, Indonesia, located in Kebon Gede village, Bantarbolang Sub-district, Pemalang District. It has an area of 24.7 ha, and its primary purpose is for the conservation of teak tree (*Tectona grandis*). When the reserve was initially established, it was in the middle of teak forest, but it is now directly adjacent to residential areas, rice fields, roads, and agricultural fields. As such, this nature reserve provides an excellent case study to investigate the impacts of habitat fragmentation and isolation on insect diversity in small and isolated protected areas. The results of this study can fill the gap on the knowledge of the factors that influence the diversity in fragmented habitats and the effects on native populations so that it can help to establish the appropriate strategies and control mechanisms for the management of these areas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and period

Research was conducted at Bantarbolang Nature Reserve (7°11'53".8 S and 109°39'56".33 E) in Pemalang District, Central Java, Indonesia from April to August 2019. The reserve has an area of 25.6 ha with a length of 707.73 m and a width of 361.9 m. Bantarbolang nature reserve has borders with the newly logged (2017) teak forest in the north and east, in the west bordering residential zones and rice fields, and the southern part bordering on dryland agricultural areas (Figure 1).

Sampling method

Sampling was conducted on the north, south, east, and west sides of the forest. On each side of the forest, three plots were set at distance ranges of 0-50 m, 50-100 m, and 100-150 m (Figure 1). For each field, ten transect lines were made with length of 100 m and width of 5 m starting from the edge of the forest into the forest interior. In total, there were 30 transect lines. The diversity and abundance of flowering plants were recorded in a 5 × 5 m quadrat, with total of ten quadrats in each plot and laid randomly from edge forest into the forest interior.



Figure 1. Study site at Bantarbolang Nature Reserve in Pemalang, Central Java, Indonesia

Environmental parameters, such as air temperature, humidity, light intensity, and flowering plant diversity, were measured at each distance from the forest edge (0 m, 50 m, 100 m, and 150 m). Air temperature, humidity, and light intensity were measured three times per day, namely in the morning (8.00 am), noon (12.00 pm), and afternoon (3.00 pm).

Collection of Lepidoptera (butterfly)

Field survey of butterflies was conducted using the modified Pollard Walk method using kite netting at the three distinct distance ranges from the forest edge. Butterflies were captured on sunny days at a constant speed on each transect from 8 a.m. to 12 a.m. local time for 4 consecutive days. This process was repeated at 2-weeks intervals, maintaining the same spatial scale in each sampling site. For butterfly identification, the book by Schulze (2009) was used. Collected specimens are now housed at the Entomology Laboratory at Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Banyumas, Central Java, Indonesia.

Collection of Hymenoptera (bees and wasp)

Hymenoptera (wild bees and wasps) were recorded in the morning between 6:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. in a standardized manner along the transects. Sampling was conducted twice a month (with a total sampling of six times per transect) by using sweep-netting in the herbaceous layers. Where possible, all observed bees were captured. For identification, the book by Mead (2013) was used.

Collected specimens are now housed at the Entomology Laboratory, Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Banyumas, Central Java, Indonesia.

Collection Diptera (flies)

Flies were sampled in the morning between 6:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. in a standardized manner along the transects. Sampling was conducted twice a month (with a total sampling of six times per transect) by sweeping-netting in the herbaceous layers. Where possible, all observed flies were captured. For identification, the book by Sarwar (2020) was used. Collected specimens are now housed at the Entomology Laboratory, Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Indonesia.

Collection of wild plants

Following each insect survey, wild plant species and densities in each subplot were recorded. Samples of the wild plants were stored for identification in the Plant Taxonomy Laboratory, Faculty of Biology, Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Banyumas, Central Java, Indonesia. Wild plant species were identified with the help of a plant taxonomy expert.

Data analysis

The Shannon-Wiener diversity index was used to estimate the diversity of butterfly, bees, and wasp, and flies in each habitat. This index was calculated using the equation:

$$H' = \sum_{i=1}^s p_i \ln p_i$$

Where; p_i is the proportion of individuals found in the i th species, and 'ln' denotes the natural logarithm. Evenness (E) was calculated using the equation:

$$E = \frac{H'}{\ln S}$$

Species dominance across habitats was estimated using Simpson's dominance index to determine the proportion of more common species in a community or area using the following formula:

$$D = \sum (p_i)^2 = \sum \left(\frac{n_i}{N}\right)^2$$

Comparisons of insect species composition among the various distance range from the forest edge were estimated using single linkage cluster analysis based on Bray-Curtis similarity. Biodiversity Pro version 2 (McAleece et al. 1997) was used for data analysis. Statistical analysis (ANOVA) was done to compare the difference between distances from forest edge using SPSS 23.0 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results revealed 1713 individual insects from 63 species within three orders: Lepidoptera (Rhopalocera; 33 species, 5 families, 932 individuals), Hymenoptera (20 species, 423 individuals), and Diptera (10 species, 7 families, 376 individuals). The greatest number of species and individuals were found at a distance of 0-50 m from the edge of the forest (55 species, 597 individuals), followed by 50-100 m (53 species, 409 individuals) and 100-150 m (36 species, 595 individuals) (Table 1).

The results indicated that the difference in insect diversity at various distances from the forest edge is caused by differences in the number and species of flowering plants. Measurements of environmental parameters showed that there were significant differences in the number of flowering plants according to the distance from the edge of the forest, especially between 0-50 m or 50-100 m and 100-150 m ($F_{12,72} p < 0.0178$). Some or all of the insect species found were related to flowering plants. This is consistent with the conclusion of Filgueiras et al. (2016) that plant diversity is related to insect diversity, especially at a local scale, and reinforced by the findings of Kemp and Ellis (2017) and Welti et al. (2017) that the diversity of herbivore insect spread is limited by the diversity of flowering plants. According to Widhiono et al. (2017), the

number of flowering plants greatly influences the diversity of pollinating insects because it is the main source of food for wild bees. Subahar (2010), Widhiono (2015), and Koneri et al. (2019) found a higher diversity of butterfly species in open land because of the greater diversity of flowering plants. However, in terms of conservation values, especially for insects with a high conservation value - namely rare insect species (or open-endemics) - open areas are not very supportive of butterfly diversity (Widhiono, 2015).

Based on the diversity index, the highest diversity was observed at 0-50 m from the forest edge and the lowest was at 100-150 m (Table 2). However, the highest dominance index was observed at 100-150 m from the edge of the forest, indicating that more dominant insect species were found in interior forest habitats.

Cluster analysis based on the Bray-Curtis single linkage similarity value showed the percent similarity between species composition across three distance from forest edge. Distance of 0-50 m showed linkage of 49.59% with 50-100 m, and represents the lowest similarity with 100-150 m. Distance of 50-100 m was linked at 53.30% similarity to the distance 100-150 m (Figure 2). This result indicates that distance from forest edges resulting different diversity of insects.

Species diversity within an order changes with the distance from the forest edge, and this was reflected in the order Lepidoptera (Rhopalocera) (butterflies) (Figure 3). At the distance of 0-50 m from the forest edge, species composition was dominated by flower visitors, namely within the families of Pieridae and Nymphalidae, whereas at the distance of 100-150 m, species composition was dominated by species within the families of Satyridae and Amathusidae. This is likely because both families tend to prefer habitats that are protected from sunlight (Vu et al. 2015). Species in the Satyridae and Amathusidae, including the Morphinae and Satyrinae, exclusively feed on monocotyledonous plants, which are restricted to lower forest layers in Southeast Asian rainforests (Harsh et al. 2015). Vu et al. (2015) found that fruit-feeding Satyrinae and Morphinae, with relatively uniform phenotypes that feed on a comparatively small set of larval food plants, are restricted mostly to lower vegetation layers, and many are sensitive to changes in humidity. We also found that four species of satyrid were restricted to forest habitats, including *Ragadia makuta*, *Ypthima nigricans*, *Mycalesis moori*, *Melanitis leda*, and one species from Amathusidae (*Faunis canens canens*). The former group primarily feeds on a small set of larval food plants, such as *R. makuta*, which depend only on Selaginella, occur only in closed forests, and are highly sensitive to humidity (Vu et al. 2015). The latter group depends exclusively on grasses as food plants, which tend to be abundant in all habitats, especially open areas.

Table 1. Species composition and abundance of insects at various ranges of distance from the forest edge

Order	Family	Species	0 - 50 m	50 - 100 m	100 - 150 m	
Hymenoptera	Vespidae	<i>Delta campaniforme</i>	1	9	12	
		<i>Ropalidia romandi</i>	12	8	13	
		<i>Ropalidia fasciata</i>	7	9	14	
		<i>Ropalidia stigma</i>	9	7	14	
		<i>Polistes aurifer</i>	6	8	10	
		<i>Polistes dorsalis</i>	3	3	4	
		<i>Vespa tropica</i>	2	4	6	
	Scoliidae	<i>Vespula</i> sp.	3	4	5	
		<i>Campsomeris plumipes</i>	15	5	2	
	Apidae	<i>Apis cerana</i>	10	23	31	
		<i>Tetragonula laeviceps</i>	13	23	44	
		<i>Xylocopa confusa</i>	10	0	10	
		<i>Xylocopa latipes</i>	6	0	6	
		<i>Amegilla zonata</i>	3	9	0	
		<i>Ceratina negrolateralis</i>	6	10	9	
		<i>Ceratina cognata</i>	2	2	0	
	Halictidae	<i>Nomia strigata</i>	4	8	2	
		<i>Nomia melanderi</i>	0	4	5	
		<i>Nomia thorarica</i>	3	5	0	
		<i>Augochlora pura</i>	7	6	2	
	Diptera	Syrpidae	<i>Episyrphus balteatus</i>	14	10	30
<i>Syrphus rostrata</i>			9	4	13	
Sarcophagidae		<i>Sarcophaga carnaria</i>	35	8	43	
Calliphoridae		<i>Lucilia</i> sp.	14	8	22	
Dolichopodidae		<i>Psilopus bituberculatus</i>	8	0	8	
Lonchaeidae		<i>Lonchaea</i> sp.	4	4	8	
Empididae		<i>Empis livida</i>	1	0	1	
Muscidae		<i>Musca</i> sp.	17	18	0	
Lepidoptera		Papilionidae	<i>Graphomya maculata</i>	3	0	3
			<i>Phaonia rufiventris</i>	0	24	24
	<i>Papilio polytes javanus</i>		8	4	3	
	<i>Losaria coon</i>		8	4	6	
	<i>Atrophaneura priapus</i>		4	3	8	
	<i>Graphium sarpedon</i>		20	7	28	
	<i>Graphium agamemnon</i>		12	5	0	
	<i>Gandaca harina</i>		49	2	0	
	<i>Eurema andersoni</i>		29	2	0	
	<i>Eurema blanda</i>		18	0	0	
	<i>Eurema hecabe</i>		15	0	0	
	<i>Catopsilia pyraute</i>		17	0	0	
	<i>Catopsilia pomona</i>		17	0	0	
	<i>Leptosia nina</i>	21	8	0		
	<i>Delias pasithoe</i>	10	1	0		
	<i>Prioneris autothisbe</i>	8	0	0		
	Satyridae	<i>Ypthima nigricans</i>	0	17	45	
		<i>Mycalesis moori</i>	0	10	36	
		<i>Ragadia makuta</i>	0	20	52	
	Amathusidae	<i>Melanitis leda</i>	0	16	49	
		<i>Faunis canens canens</i>	0	7	23	
	Nymphalidae	<i>Elymnias hypermnestra</i>	0	4	4	
		<i>Junonia hedonia</i>	10	9	0	
		<i>Junonia atlites</i>	14	5	0	
		<i>Junonia almana</i>	11	7	0	
		<i>Junonia ipthima</i>	9	3	0	
		<i>Hypolimnas bolina</i>	13	6	0	
		<i>Hypolimnas misippus</i>	10	2	0	
		<i>Euploea climens</i>	7	8	0	
		<i>Euploea tulliolus</i>	8	5	0	
		<i>Euploea mulciber</i>	9	5	0	
		<i>Neptis hylas</i>	9	9	0	
		<i>Neptis nisaea</i>	10	4	0	
<i>Tanaecia trigerta</i>		12	7	0		
<i>Euthalia monina</i>	12	6	0			

Table 2. Diversity parameters of insects at three different distances from the forest edge

Parameter	0 - 50 m	50 - 100 m	100 - 150 m
Number of species	55	53	36
Number of individuals	597	409	595
Dominance_D	0.02877	0.02838	0.05088
Shannon_H	3.767	3.756	3.197
Evenness_E	0.7867	0.8072	0.6792




Figure 2. Similarities among the distance range from the forest edge




Figure 3. Species richness and abundance of three insect orders at various distances from the forest edge

The distribution pattern of species richness across the three distance ranges from the forest edge within the Diptera order differed with that of the Lepidoptera. The composition of species in the Diptera did not show any significant difference ($F=12.72$ $p=0.972$) across distances. This is probably due to the feeding behavior and type of food source needed, such as the *Sarcophaga carnaria* (Sarcophaga) which is a carcass feeder (Dao et al. 2017) and *Episyrphus balteatus* (Syrpidae) which is aphidopagous, or aphid eating; thus, its abundance depends on the presence of aphids in plants (Odermatt et al. 2017).

The species richness of the Hymenoptera order differed significantly ($F=12.72$ $p=0.0495$) across the distance ranges from the edge of the forest. The number of individuals was higher at the distance of 100-150 m from the edge of the forest, indicating that members of the Hymenoptera order tend to prefer forest habitats. Except for pollinator species, this result corroborates the findings of Schuepp et al. (2012) that wasp species richness is directly related to tree frequency. Bee pollinating species are more commonly found in habitats that have a greater diversity of flowering plants (Schuepp et al. 2012; Andrieu et al. 2018), but the habitat surrounding this nature reserve is agricultural. The results of this study are in line with the findings of Rubene et al. (2014), Ferreira et al. (2015) and Withaningsih et al. (2018), who found that the diversity of wild bee pollinators

in isolated habitats is influenced not only by the condition of the forest where they are found, but also by the surrounding habitat.

The results of this study suggest that small nature reserves, in general, can support a diversity of pollinating insects, especially from the Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Hymenoptera. However, small reserves have limited conservation potential because of the significant impact of forest edges on species composition, especially that of butterfly species in forest habitats. We conclude that the Bantarbolang Nature Reserve can support a diversity of insects, especially pollinating insects, but is unable to support the conservation of light-sensitive butterflies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the Dean of Faculty of the Biology Department Universitas Jenderal Soedirman for the supports in securing funding for this research and to all the students who assisted in collecting field data. This research was supported by Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Purwokerto, Central Java, in Indonesia. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Adelusi SM, Ada TR, Omudu EA. 2018. Diversity and abundance of insect species in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. *Int J New Technol Res* 4 (6): 52-57.
- Andrieu E, Cabanettes A, Alignier A, Van-Halder I, Archaux F, Barbaro L, Bouget C, Bailey S, Alard D, Corcket E, Deconchat M, Vigan A, Villemey A, Ouin A. 2018. Edge contrast does not modulate edge effect on plants and pollinators. *Basic Appl Ecol* 27: 83-95. DOI: 10.1016/j.baae.2017.11.003
- Astiani D, Curran LM, Mujiman, Ratnasari D, Salim P, Lisnawaty N. 2018. Edge effects on biomass, growth, and tree diversity of a degraded peatland in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 19 (1): 272-278. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190137
- Basavarajappa S, Krishna GV, Santhosh S. 2018. Butterfly species composition and diversity in a protected area of Karnataka, India. *Int J Biodivers Conserv* 10 (10): 432-443.
- Bossart JL, Antwi JB. 2016. Limited erosion of genetic and species diversity from small forest patches: Sacred forest groves in an Afrotropical biodiversity hotspot have high conservation value for butterflies. *Biol Conserv* 198: 122-134. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2016.03.029
- Buchori D, Rizali A, Rahayu GA, Mandur I. 2018. Insect diversity in post-mining areas: Investigating their potential role as bioindicator of reclamation success. *Biodiversitas* 19 (5): 1996-1702. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190515
- Bunyan M, Jose S, Fletcher R. 2012. Edge effects in small forest fragments: Why more is better?. *Am J Plant Sci* 3: 869-878. DOI: 10.4236/ajps.2012.37104
- Chung AYC, Japir R, Dg. Fazrinah AD, John LY, Bakhtiar E, Rahman H. 2019. Insect diversity of Sg. Rawog Conservation Area in Segaliud Lokan Forest Reserve, Sabah. Paper presented at the Seminar on Sg. Rawog Conservation Area Scientific Expedition, Le Meridien, Kinabalu, 21 February 2019.
- Dao H, Abauoa LRN, Kofft AF, Yovo YCE. 2017. Biological parameters of *Sarcophaga camaria* L (Diptera, Sarcophagidae) necrophagous fly breeding at the National Floristic Center, Abidjan, Ivory Coast. *IJRDO-J Biol Sci* 3 (1): 1-16
- De Fries R, Hansen A, Turner BL, Reid R, Liu J. 2007. Land use change around protected areas: management to balance human needs and ecological function. *Ecol Appl* 17 (4): 1031-1038.
- Deb JB, Anindita RB, Wahedunnabi MD. 2015. Structure and composition of understory treelets and overstory trees in a protected area of Bangladesh. *For Sci Technol* 11 (2): 76-85.
- Dodonov P, Harper KA, Silva-Matos DM. 2013. The role of edge contrast and forest structure in edge influence: Vegetation and microclimate at edges in the Brazilian cerrado. *Plant Ecol* 214 (11): 1-15. DOI: 10.1007/s11258-013-0256-0
- Eldegard K, Totland O, Moe SR. 2015. Edge effects on plant communities along power line Clearings. *J Appl Ecol* 52: 871-880. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2664.12460
- Erdos L, Krstonosic D, Kiss PJ, Batori Z, Tolgyesi C, Skvorc Z. 2019. Plant composition and diversity at edges in a semi-natural forest-grassland mosaic. *Plant Ecol* 2019 (220): 279-292. DOI: 10.1007/s11258-019-00913-4
- Esseen PA, Ringvall AH, Harper KA, Christensen P, Svensson J. 2016. Factors driving structure of natural and anthropogenic forest edges from temperate to boreal ecosystems. *J Veg Sci*. DOI: 10.1111/jvs.12387.
- Ferreira S, Sithole H, Daemane M, Bezuidenhout H. 2013. Efficient evaluation of biodiversity concerns in protected areas. *Int J Biodivers* 2013: 298968. DOI: 10.1155/2013/298968
- Filgueiras BK, Freitas AVL, Douglas HA, Iannuzzi, AL. 2016. Fruit-feeding butterflies in edge-dominated habitats: Community structure, species persistence and cascade effect. *J Insect Conserv* 20 (2): 539-548. DOI: 10.1007/s10841-016-9888-4
- Francoso RD, Brandão R, Nogueira CC, Ricardo YBS, Machado B, Colli GR. 2015. Habitat loss and the effectiveness of protected areas in the Cerrado Biodiversity Hotspot. *Natureza and Conservacao* 13 (1): 35-40. DOI: 10.1016/j.ncon.2015.04.001
- Harisha MN, Harisha P, Hosei B, Kumara V. 2019. Diversity of butterflies of the Shettihalli Wildlife Sanctuary, Shivamogga District, Karnataka, India. *J Threatened Taxa* 11 (10): 349-357.
- Harsh S, Jena J, Sharma T, Sarkar PK. 2015. Diversity of butterflies and their habitat association in four different habitat types in Kanha-pench corridor, Madhya Pradesh, India. *Int J Adv Res* 3 (1): 779-785.
- Kemp JE, Ellis AG. 2017. Significant local-scale plant-insect species richness relationship independent of abiotic effects in the temperate cape floristic region biodiversity hotspot. *PLoS ONE* 12 (1): e0168033. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0168033
- Koneri R, Nangoy MJ, Siahaan P. 2019. The abundance and diversity of butterflies (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) in Talaud Islands, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 20 (11) 3275-3283. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d201121
- Koneri R, Nangoy MJ. 2020. Butterfly community structure and diversity in Sangihe islands, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Appl Ecol Environ Res* 17 (2): 2501-2517. DOI: 10.15666/aecr/1702_25012517
- Kyerematen R, Owusu EH, Acquah-Lampsey D, Anderson RS, Ntiamo-Baidu Y. 2014. Species composition and diversity of insects of the Kogyae Strict Nature Reserve in Ghana. *Open J Ecol* 2014 (4): 1061-1079.
- McAleece N, Lamshead PJD, Paterson GLJ. 1997. *Biodiversity Pro. The Natural History Museum, London.*
- Mead D. 2013. A guide to some bees and wasps of Indonesia. *SulangLexTopics011-v1*. <http://sulang.org>
- Odermatt J, Frommen JG, Myles H, Menz M. 2017. Consistent behavioural differences between migratory and resident hoverflies. *Anim Behav* 127: 187-195. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2017.03.015
- Orimaye JO, Ojo VA, Ogunyemi OO, Agbelusi TO, Okosodo EF. 2016. Butterfly species diversity in protected and unprotected habitat of Ise Forest Reserve, Ise Ekiti. *Ekiti State Adv Ecol* 2016: 7801930. DOI: 10.1155/2016/7801930
- Orlandin E, Piovesan M, D'Agostini FM, Carneiro E. 2019. Use of microhabitats affects butterfly assemblages in a rural landscape. *Pap Avulsos Zool* 59: e20195949. DOI: 10.11606/1807-0205/2019.59.49
- Pe'er G, Maanen CV, Turbe A, Matsino YG, Kark S. 2011. Butterfly diversity at the ecotone between agricultural and semi-natural habitats across a climatic gradient. *Divers Distrib* 17 (6): 1186-1197. DOI: 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00795.x
- Perry J, Lojka B, Ruiz Q, Van Dame P, Houska J, Cusimamani EF. 2016. How natural forest conversion affects insect biodiversity in the Peruvian Amazon: Can agroforestry help?. *For* 7 (4): 82. DOI: 10-3390/f7040082
- Rands SA, Whitney HM. 2011. Field margins, foraging distances and their impacts on nesting pollinator success. *PLoS ONE* 6 (10): e25971. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0025971
- Roberts HP, King DI, Milam J. 2017. Factors affecting bee communities in forest openings and adjacent mature forest. *For Ecol Manag* 394: 111-121. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2017.03.027
- Rubene D, Ove Wikars L, Ranius T. 2014. Importance of high quality early-successional habitats in managed forest landscapes to rare beetle species. *Biodivers Conserv* 23: 449-466
- Sarwar M. 2020. Typical flies: Natural history, lifestyle and diversity of Diptera. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91391>
- Schuepp C, Rittiner S, Entling MH. 2012. High bee and wasp diversity in a heterogeneous tropical farming system compared to protected forest. *PLoS One* 7 (12): e52109. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0052109
- Schulze CH. 2009. Identification guide for butterflies of West Java: Families Papilionidae, Pieridae and Nymphalidae. Chapman Hall, London.
- Subahar TSS, Yuliana A. 2010. Butterfly diversity as a data base for the development plan of butterfly garden at Bosscha Observatory, Lembang, West Java. *Biodiversitas* 11 (1): 24-28. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d110106
- Sundarapandian S, Karoor PJ. 2013. Edge effects on plant diversity in tropical forest ecosystems at Periyar Wildlife sanctuary in the Western Ghats of India. *J For Res* 24 (3): 403-418
- Van Halder I, Barbaro L, Jactel H. 2011. Conserving butterflies in fragmented plantation forests: Are edge and interior habitats equally important. *J Insect Conserv* 13: 591-601
- Vina A, Liu J. 2017. Hidden roles of protected areas in the conservation of biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Ecosphere* 8 (6): e01864. DOI: 10.1002/ecs2.1864
- Vu VL, Bonebrake TC, Vu MQ, Nguyen NT. 2015. Butterfly diversity and habitat variation in a disturbed forest in northern Vietnam. *Pan Pac Entomol* 91 (1): 29-38.

- Welti E, Helzer C, Joern A. 2017. Impacts of plant diversity on arthropod communities and plant-herbivore network architecture. *Ecosphere* 8 (10): e01983. DOI: 10.1002/ecs2.1983
- Widhiono I, Sudiana E, Trisucianto E. 2017. Insect pollinator diversity along a habitat quality gradient on Mount Slamet, Central Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 17 (2): 746-752. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d170250
- Widhiono I. 2015. Diversity of butterflies in four different forest types in Mount Slamet, Central Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 6 (2): 196-204. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d160215
- Withaningsih S, Andari CD, Parikesit, Fitriani N. 2018. The effect of understory plants on pollinators visitation in coffee plantations: Case study of coffee plantations in West Bandung District, West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 19 (2): 604-612. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190231
- Xu W, Xiao Y, Zhang J, Yang W, Zhang L, Hull V, Wang Z, Zheng H, Polasky S, Jiang L, Xiao Y. 2017. Strengthening protected areas for biodiversity and ecosystem services in China. *PNAS* 114 (7): 1601-1606.

TURNITIN

Insect diversity in various
distances to forest edge in small
nutur reserve : A case study of
Bantarbolang Nature
Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia
by Edy Riwidiharso

Submission date: 30-Sep-2020 10:56AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1400998646

File name: Artikel_Darsono_smujo-1.id.pdf (656.4K)

Word count: 5467

Character count: 28871

Insect diversity in various distances to forest edge in small nature reserve: A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia

DARSONO^{*}, EDY RIWIDIHARSO, SLAMET SANTOSO, EMING SUDIANA, EDY YANI,
ERIE KOLLYA NASUTION, HEXA APRILLIANA, TITI CHASANAH

33

Faculty of Biology, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Jl. Dr. Soeparno No. 63, Purwokerto Utara, Banyumas 53122, Central Java, Indonesia.
Tel.: +62-281-638794, Fax.: +62-281-638794, *email: darsonoadi98@yahoo.co.id

Manuscript received: 29 August 2020. Revision accepted: 25 September 2020.

Abstract. Darsono, Riwidiharso E, Santoso S, Sudiana E, Yani E, Nasution EK, Aprilliana H, Chasanah T. 2020. Insect diversity in various distances to forest edge in small nature reserve: A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 21: 4821-4828. A nature reserve is a conservation area with the objective of conserving the biodiversity of the flora and fauna within its boundaries. However, many nature reserves are fragmented into small extent and isolated from natural habitat, causing the so-called edge effect. This research aimed to investigate insect diversity across various distances to forest edge in small and isolated protected areas. Research was conducted in the Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Pemalang District, Central Java, Indonesia. A survey was conducted to determine the diversity of insects, especially those from the Diptera (flies), Lepidoptera (butterfly), and Hymenoptera (bees and wasp) orders. The environmental parameters recorded were temperature, humidity, and flowering plant diversity and abundance. Line transects were laid at a length of 100 m, parallel to the forest edge at three different distance ranges from the edge: 0-50 m, 50-100 m, and 100-150 m. Species diversity was measured using the Shannon-Wiener index, evenness index, and Sorensen similarity index. Over the 4-month study period, 1713 individual insects from 63 species and from the following three orders were found: Lepidoptera (Rhopalocera; 33 species, 5 families, 932 individuals); Hymenoptera (20 species, 423 individuals) and Diptera (10 species, 7 families, 376 individuals). The highest diversity was found at the edge of the forest and the lowest at the distance of 100-150 m from the forest edge. While the study area can support a diversity of insects, especially pollinating insects, but it is unable to support the conservation of light-sensitive butterflies. The results of this study suggest that small nature reserve can support a diversity of pollinating insects, especially from the Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Hymenoptera. However, it has limited conservation potential because of the significant impact of forest edges on species composition, especially on specialist butterfly species with habitat in forest interior.

Keywords: Diptera, forest edge, Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera, line transect, polyculture agriculture

INTRODUCTION

22

Based on the Act of the Republic of Indonesia No. 5 of 1990 concerning the conservation of biological natural resources and their ecosystems, a nature reserve is a protected ecosystem in its natural state containing unique plants and animals. The IUCN classification system states nature reserves as strictly protected areas to support the preservation of its species' populations and allow ecological processes to occur with as few obstacles as possible. The function of a nature reserve is to preserve biodiversity and life-supporting systems; therefore, conservation is the primary management objective.

However, while many nature reserves throughout the world have been set aside for the protection of particular species (Vina and Liu 2017), they are currently threatened by pressures from surrounding communities (Francoso et al. 2015). This condition also happens in Indonesia in which many protected nature reserves in the country have experienced many challenges, mainly due to limited resources for management and extensive utilization of resources by surrounding communities, leading to changes in land use and vegetation condition. Eventually, these

changes in land use and type of land cover bordering the nature reserve lead to the fragmentation and isolation of existing forest plots. These forests are characterized by their small area, isolation from other forests, and high edge/core area ratio (Xu et al. 2017).

Forest edges are defined as the transition zone between open habitats and forests. There can be significant variability in their three-dimensional structure, such as width, shape, and tree stem density (Esseen et al. 2016). The quantity and quality of available habitats in the edges depend in part on forest structure (Dodonov et al. 2013). Edge size is an important factor in evaluating the environmental impacts within a forest fragment. Data from the relevant literature indicate that edges can range from 50 to 500 m, and that edge effects typically extend 150 m into a fragment (Roberts et al. 2017).

The impact of forest edges puts pressure on the role and function of nature reserves. According to Astiani et al. (2018), forest fragmentation due to changes in land use and vegetation cover causes temporary or permanent damage, and produces forest edges that affect the population and structure of vegetation as well as the composition of associated species. Increasing edge effects increases

disturbed habitat types compared with stable habitats (De Fries et al. 2007). Forest edges cause physical and environmental changes that are different from those within the forest (Van Halder et al. 2011), as well as edaphic factors that result in changes in the structure and composition of supporting species (Bunyan et al. 2012; 13p et al. 2015; Welti et al. 2017). Negative impacts from edge effects include prevention of migration, decline in effective population sizes, loss of genetic variability, and invasion of exotic species (Sundarapandian and Karoor 2013; Bossart and Antwi 2016). Forest edges can be seen by altered plant species richness and community composition (Eldegard et al. 2015). Since the tree layer and its species composition have been shown to influence herb layer composition, changes in tree species composition or richness may weaken or strengthen edge effects in forest ecosystems (Erdos et al. 2019).

The changes in vegetation composition and richness in forest edge affect other biotic elements, including insects. Insects play an essential ecological role in forest ecosystems by ensuring the delivery of various ecosystem services, such as plant pollination (Adelusi et al. 2018). According to Perry et al. (2016) and Buchori et al. (2018), insects are ideal indicators of biodiversity since they are closely related to the environment and vegetation cover and are sensitive to habitat disturbances. The variety of flowering plants at forest edges is related to the diversity of pollinating insects, especially from the Hymenoptera (Apoidea) (Widhiono et al. 2017), and plays a vital role as a food resource for wild pollinating bees (Widhiono et al. 2017). According to Figueiras et al. (2016), Pe'er et al. (2011), Widhiono (2015), Orlandin et al. (2019), and Koneri et al. (2020), the diversity and abundance of butterfly populations are related to the diversity and abundance of flowering plants. In addition, forest edges also influence the diversity of wild bees (Rands and Whitney 2011; Roberts et al. 2017).

Research has been conducted on the diversity of butterflies and other pollinator insects in relation to habitat quality. Subahar et al. (2010) examined the diversity of butterflies in Boscha Bandung. Widhiono (2015) evaluated the diversity of butterflies on Mount Slamet, Central Java. Harmonis and Saud (2017) conducted analyses in Central Kalimantan and Koneri et al. (2019) in the Talauds Islands of North Sulawesi. In general, the results showed that butterfly diversity is higher in habitats with moderate disturbance than in stable habitats. The relationship between the diversity of pollinating wild bees and habitat quality was also evaluated by Widhiono et al. (2017) on Mount Slamet, Central Java. Rands and Whitney (2011), Bayle et al. (2013), Widhiono et al. (2017), and Roberts et al. (2017) assessed the impact of forest edges on the diversity of pollinating wild bees and showed that forest edges significantly affect their diversity.

Various studies on insect diversity in conservation areas have been carried out such as Kyerematen et al. (2014) at Kogyae Strict Nature Reserve in Ghana (386 km²), Adelusi et al. (2018) in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria (34.5 km²), Chung et al. (2019) in Sg. The Rawog Conservation Area

in Segaliud Lokan Forest Reserve, Sabah, Malaysia (57474 ha). The results of the study showed that insect diversity is higher in the conservation areas compared to the non-conservation areas. Other studies specializing in butterfly diversity, such as those conducted by Basavarajappa et al. (2018) in Karnataka, India (643.99 km²), Orimaye et al. (2016) in Ise Forest Reserve, Ise Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, and Harisha et al. (2019) at Shettihalli Wildlife Sanctuary, Shivamogga District, Karnataka, India (777 km²), show that butterfly diversity is very high in conservation areas compared to non-conservation areas. While those studies were conducted on large nature reserves (more than a thousand hectare), limited analyses were focused on small reserves (less than a hundred hectare). As such, it is essential to do similar analysis but in the context of small nature reserve.

The Bantarbolang Nature Reserve is one of several nature reserves in Central Java, Indonesia, located in Kebon Gede village, Bantarbolang Sub-district, Pemalang District. It has an area of 24.7 ha, and its primary purpose is for the conservation of teak tree (*Tectona grandis*). When the reserve was initially established, it was in the middle of teak forest, but it is now directly adjacent to residential areas, rice fields, roads, and agricultural fields. As such, this nature reserve provides an excellent case study to investigate the impacts of habitat fragmentation and isolation on insect diversity in small and isolated protected areas. The results of this study can fill the gap on the knowledge of the factors that influence the diversity in fragmented habitats and the effects on native populations so that it can help to establish the appropriate strategies and control mechanisms for the management of these areas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and period

Research was conducted at Bantarbolang Nature Reserve (7°11'53".8 S and 109°39'56".33 E) in Pemalang District, Central Java, Indonesia from April to August 2019. The reserve has an area of 25.6 ha with a length of 707.73 m and a width of 361.9 m. Bantarbolang nature reserve has borders with the newly logged (2017) teak forest in the north and east, in the west bordering residential zones and rice fields, and the southern part bordering on dryland agricultural areas (Figure 1).

Sampling method

Sampling was conducted on the north, south, east, and west sides of the forest. On each side of the forest, three plots were set at distance ranges of 0-50 m, 50-100 m, and 100-150 m (Figure 1). For each field, ten transect lines were made with length of 100 m and width of 5 m starting from the edge of the forest into the forest interior. In total, there were 30 transect lines. The diversity and abundance of flowering plants were recorded in a 5 × 5 m quadrat, with total of ten quadrats in each plot and laid randomly from edge forest into the forest interior.



Figure 1. Study site at Bantarbolang Nature Reserve in Pemalang, Central Java, Indonesia

Environmental parameters, such as air temperature, humidity, light intensity, and flowering plant diversity, were measured at each distance from the forest edge (0 m, 50 m, 100 m, and 150 m). Air temperature, humidity, and light intensity were measured three times per day, namely in the morning (8.00 am), noon (12.00 pm), and afternoon (3.00 pm).

Collection of Lepidoptera (butterfly)

Field survey of butterflies was conducted using the modified Pollard Walk method using kite netting at the three distinct distance ranges from the forest edge. Butterflies were captured on sunny days at a constant speed on each transect from 8 a.m. to 12 a.m. local time for 4 consecutive days. This process was repeated at 2-weeks intervals, maintaining the same spatial scale in each sampling site. For butterfly identification, the book by Schulze (2009) was used. Collected specimens are now housed at the Entomology Laboratory at Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Banyumas, Central Java, Indonesia.

Collection of Hymenoptera (bees and wasp)

Hymenoptera (wild bees and wasps) were recorded in the morning between 6:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. in a standardized manner along the transects. Sampling was conducted twice a month (with a total sampling of six times per transect) by using sweep-netting in the herbaceous layers. Where possible, all observed bees were captured. For identification, the book by Mead (2013) was used.

Collected specimens are now housed at the Entomology Laboratory, Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Banyumas, Central Java, Indonesia.

Collection Diptera (flies)

Flies were sampled in the morning between 6:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. in a standardized manner along the transects. Sampling was conducted twice a month (with a total sampling of six times per transect) by sweeping-netting in the herbaceous layers. Where possible, all observed flies were captured. For identification, the book by Sarwar (2020) was used. Collected specimens are now housed at the Entomology Laboratory, Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Indonesia.

Collection of wild plants

Following each insect survey, wild plant species and densities in each subplot were recorded. Samples of the wild plants were stored for identification in the Plant Taxonomy Laboratory, Faculty of Biology, Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Banyumas, Central Java, Indonesia. Wild plant species were identified with the help of a plant taxonomy expert.

Data analysis

The Shannon-Wiener diversity index was used to estimate the diversity of butterfly, bees, and wasp, and flies in each habitat. This index was calculated using the equation:

$$H' = \sum_{i=1}^s p_i \ln p_i$$

Where: p_i is the proportion of individuals found in the i th species, and 'ln' denotes the natural logarithm. Evenness (E) was calculated using the equation:

$$E = \frac{H'}{\ln S}$$

Species dominance across habitats was estimated using Simpson's dominance index to determine the proportion of more common species in a community or area using the following formula:

$$D = \sum (p_i)^2 = \sum \left(\frac{n_i}{N} \right)^2$$

Comparisons of insect species composition among the various distance range from the forest edge were estimated using single linkage cluster analysis based on Bray-Curtis similarity. Biodiversity Pro version 2 (McAleece et al. 1997) was used for data analysis. Statistical analysis (ANOVA) was done to compare the difference between distances from forest edge using SPSS 23.0 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results revealed 1713 individual insects from 63 species within three orders: Lepidoptera (Rhopalocera; 33 species, 5 families, 932 individuals), Hymenoptera (20 species, 423 individuals), and Diptera (10 species, 7 families, 376 individuals). The greatest number of species and individuals were found at a distance of 0-50 m from the edge of the forest (55 species, 597 individuals), followed by 50-100 m (53 species, 409 individuals) and 100-150 m (36 species, 595 individuals) (Table 1).

The results indicated that the difference in insect diversity at various distances from the forest edge is caused by differences in the number and species of flowering plants. Measurements of environmental parameters showed that there were significant differences in the number of flowering plants according to the distance from the edge of the forest, especially between 0-50 m or 50-100 m and 100-150 m ($F_{12,72} p < 0.0178$). Some or all of the insect species found were related to flowering plants. This is consistent with the conclusion of Filgueiras et al. (2016) that plant diversity is related to insect diversity, especially at a local scale, and reinforced by the findings of Kemp and Ellis (2017) and Welti et al. (2017) that the diversity of herbivore insect spread is limited by the diversity of flowering plants. According to Widhiono et al. (2017), the

number of flowering plants greatly influences the diversity of pollinating insects because it is the main source of food for wild bees. Subahar (2010), Widhiono (2015), and Koneri et al. (2019) found a higher diversity of butterfly species in open land because of the greater diversity of flowering plants. However, in terms of conservation values, especially for insects with a high conservation value - namely rare insect species (or open-endemics) - open areas are not very supportive of butterfly diversity (Widhiono, 2015).

Based on the diversity index, the highest diversity was observed at 0-50 m from the forest edge and the lowest was at 100-150 m (Table 2). However, the highest dominance index was observed at 100-150 m from the edge of the forest, indicating that more dominant insect species were found in interior forest habitats.

Cluster analysis based on the Bray-Curtis single linkage similarity value showed the percent similarity between species composition across three distance from forest edge. Distance of 0-50 m showed linkage of 49.59% with 50-100 m, and represents the lowest similarity with 100-150 m. Distance of 50-100 m was linked at 53.30% similarity to the distance 100-150 m (Figure 2). This result indicates that distance from forest edges resulting different diversity of insects.



Species diversity within an order changes with the distance from the forest edge, and this was reflected in the order Lepidoptera (Rhopalocera) (butterflies) (Figure 3). At the distance of 0-50 m from the forest edge, species composition was dominated by flower visitors, namely within the families of Pieridae and Nymphalidae, whereas at the distance of 100-150 m, species composition was dominated by species within the families of Satyridae and Amathusidae. This is likely because both families tend to prefer habitats that are protected from sunlight (Vu et al. 2015). Species in the Satyridae and Amathusidae, including the Morphinae and Satyrinae, exclusively feed on monocotyledonous plants, which are restricted to lower forest layers in Southeast Asian rainforests (Harsh et al. 2015). Vu et al. (2015) found that fruit-feeding Satyrinae and Morphinae, with relatively uniform phenotypes that feed on a comparatively small set of larval food plants, are restricted mostly to lower vegetation layers, and many are sensitive to changes in humidity. We also found that four species of satyrid were restricted to forest habitats, including *Ragadia makuta*, *Ypthima nigricans*, *Mycalesis moori*, *Melanitis leda*, and one species from Amathusidae (*Faunis canens canens*). The former group primarily feeds on a small set of larval food plants, such as *R. makuta*, which depend only on Selaginella, occur only in closed forests, and are highly sensitive to humidity (Vu et al. 2015). The latter group depends exclusively on grasses as food plants, which tend to be abundant in all habitats, especially open areas.

Table 1. Species composition and abundance of insects at various ranges of distance from the forest edge

Order	Family	Species	0 - 50 m	50 - 100 m	100 - 150 m	
Hymenoptera	Vespidae	<i>Delta campaniforme</i>	1	9	12	
		<i>Ropalidia romandi</i>	12	8	13	
		<i>Ropalidia fasciata</i>	7	9	14	
		<i>Ropalidia stigma</i>	9	7	14	
		<i>Polistes aurifer</i>	6	8	10	
		<i>Polistes dorsalis</i>	3	3	4	
		<i>Vespa tropica</i>	2	4	6	
	Scoliidae	<i>Vespula sp.</i>	3	4	5	
		<i>Campsomeris plumipes</i>	15	5	2	
	Apidae	<i>Apis cerana</i>	10	23	31	
		<i>Tetragonula laeviceps</i>	13	23	44	
		<i>Xylocopa confusa</i>	10	0	10	
		<i>Xylocopa latipes</i>	6	0	6	
		<i>Amegilla zonata</i>	3	9	0	
		<i>Ceratina negrolateralis</i>	6	10	9	
		<i>Ceratina cognata</i>	2	2	0	
	Halictidae	<i>Nomia strigata</i>	4	8	2	
		<i>Nomia melanderi</i>	0	4	5	
		<i>Nomia thorarica</i>	3	5	0	
		<i>Augochlora pura</i>	7	6	2	
	Diptera	Syrphidae	<i>Episyrphus balteatus</i>	14	10	30
<i>Syrphus rostrata</i>			9	4	13	
Sarcophagidae		<i>Sarcophaga carnaria</i>	35	8	43	
Calliphoridae		<i>Lucilia sp.</i>	14	8	22	
Dolichopodidae		<i>Psilopus bituberculatus</i>	8	0	8	
Lonchaeidae		<i>Lonchaea sp.</i>	4	4	8	
Empididae		<i>Empis livida</i>	1	0	1	
Muscidae		<i>Musca sp.</i>	17	18	0	
Lepidoptera		Papilionidae	<i>Graphomya maculata</i>	3	0	3
			<i>Phaonia rufiventris</i>	0	24	24
	<i>Papilio polytes javanus</i>		8	4	3	
	<i>Losaria coon</i>		8	4	6	
	<i>Atrophaneura priapus</i>		4	3	8	
	<i>Graphium sarpedon</i>		20	7	28	
	<i>Graphium agamemnon</i>		12	5	0	
	<i>Gandaca harina</i>		49	2	0	
	<i>Eurema andersoni</i>		29	2	0	
	<i>Eurema blanda</i>		18	0	0	
	<i>Eurema hecabe</i>		15	0	0	
	<i>Catopsilia pyraute</i>		17	0	0	
	<i>Catopsilia pomona</i>		17	0	0	
	<i>Leptosia nina</i>	21	8	0		
	<i>Delias pasithoe</i>	10	1	0		
	<i>Prioneris autothisbe</i>	8	0	0		
	Satyridae	<i>Ypthima nigricans</i>	0	17	45	
		<i>Mycalesis moori</i>	0	10	36	
		<i>Ragadia makuta</i>	0	20	52	
	Amathusidae	<i>Melanitis leda</i>	0	16	49	
		<i>Faunis canens canens</i>	0	7	23	
		<i>Elymnias hypermnestra</i>	0	4	4	
	Nymphalidae	<i>Junonia hedonia</i>	10	9	0	
		<i>Junonia atlites</i>	14	5	0	
		<i>Junonia almana</i>	11	7	0	
		<i>Junonia ipthima</i>	9	3	0	
		<i>Hypolimnas bolina</i>	13	6	0	
		<i>Hypolimnas misippus</i>	10	2	0	
		<i>Euploea climena</i>	7	8	0	
		<i>Euploea tulliolus</i>	8	5	0	
		<i>Euploea mulciber</i>	9	5	0	
		<i>Neptis hylas</i>	9	9	0	
		<i>Neptis nisaea</i>	10	4	0	
<i>Tanaecia trigerta</i>		12	7	0		
<i>Euthalia monina</i>		12	6	0		

Table 2. Diversity parameters of insects at three different distances from the forest edge

Parameter	0 - 50 m	50 - 100 m	100 - 150 m
Number of species	55	53	36
Number of individuals	597	409	595
Dominance_D	0.02877	0.02838	0.05088
Shannon_H	3.767	3.756	3.197
Evenness_E	0.7867	0.8072	0.6792

**Figure 2.** Similarities among the distance range from the forest edge**Figure 3.** Species richness and abundance of three insect orders at various distances from the forest edge

The distribution pattern of species richness across the three distance ranges from the forest edge within the Diptera order differed with that of the Lepidoptera. The composition of species in the Diptera did not show any significant difference ($F=12.72$, $p=0.972$) across distances. This is probably due to the feeding behavior and type of food source needed, such as the *Sarcophaga carnaria* (Sarcophaga) which is a carcass feeder (Dao et al. 2017) and *Episyrphus balteatus* (Syrpidae) which is aphidopagous, or aphid eating; thus, its abundance depends on the presence of aphids in plants (Odermatt et al. 2017).

The species richness of the Hymenoptera order differed significantly ($F=12.72$, $p=0.0495$) across the distance ranges from the edge of the forest. The number of individuals was higher at the distance of 100-150 m from the edge of the forest, indicating that members of the Hymenoptera order tend to prefer forest habitats. Except for pollinator species, this result corroborates the findings of Schuepp et al. (2012) that wasp species richness is directly related to tree frequency. Bee pollinating species are more commonly found in habitats that have a greater diversity of flowering plants (Schuepp et al. 2012; Andrieu et al. 2018), but the habitat surrounding this nature reserve is agricultural. The results of this study are in line with the findings of Rubene et al. (2014), Ferreira et al. (2015) and Withaningsih et al. (2018), who found that the diversity of wild bee pollinators

in isolated habitats is influenced not only by the condition of the forest where they are found, but also by the surrounding habitat.

The results of this study suggest that small nature reserves, in general, can support a diversity of pollinating insects, especially from the Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Hymenoptera. However, small reserves have limited conservation potential because of the significant impact of forest edges on species composition, especially that of butterfly species in forest habitats. We conclude that the Bantarbolang Nature Reserve can support a diversity of insects, especially pollinating insects, but is unable to support the conservation of light-sensitive butterflies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the Dean of Faculty of the Biology Department Universitas Jenderal Soedirman for the supports in securing funding for this research and to all the students who assisted in collecting field data. This research was supported by Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Purwokerto, Central Java, in Indonesia. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Adelusi SM, Ada TR, Omudu EA. 2018. Diversity and abundance of insect species in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. *Int J New Technol Res* 4 (6): 52-57.
- Andrieu E, Cabanettes A, Alignier A, Van-Halder I, Archaux F, Barbaro L, Bouget C, Bailey S, Alard D, Corcket E, Deconchat M, Vigan A, Villemey A, Ouin A. 2018. Edge contrast does not modulate edge effect on plants and pollinators. *Basic Appl Ecol* 27: 83-95. DOI: 10.1016/j.baae.2017.11.003
- Astiani D, Curran LM, Mujiman, Ratnasari D, Salim P, Lisnawaty N. 2018. Edge effects on biomass, growth, and tree diversity of a degraded peatland in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 19 (1): 272-278. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190137 32
- Basavarajappa S, Krishna GV, Santhosh S. 2018. Butterfly species composition and diversity in a protected area of Karnataka, India. *Int J Biodivers Conserv* 10 (10): 432-443.
- Bossart JL, Antwi JB. 2016. Limited erosion of genetic and species diversity from small forest patches: Sacred forest groves in an Afrotropical biodiversity hotspot have high conservation value for butterflies. *Biol Conserv* 198: 122-134. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2016.03.029 17
- Buchori D, Rizali A, Rahayu GA, Mandur I. 2018. Insect diversity in post-mining areas: Investigating their potential role as bioindicator of reclamation success. *Biodiversitas* 19 (5): 1996-1702. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190515 6
- Bunyan M, Jose S, Fletcher R. 2012. Edge effects in small forest fragments: Why more is better?. *Am J Plant Sci* 3: 869-878. DOI: 10.4236/ajps.2012.37104
- Chung AYC, Japir R, Dg. Fazrinah AD, John LY, Bakhtiar E, Rahman H. 2019. Insect diversity of Sg. Rawog Conservation Area in Segaliud Lokan Forest Reserve, Sabah. Paper presented at the Seminar on Sg. Rawog Conservation Area Scientific Expedition, Le Meridien, Kinabalu, 21 February 2019. 34
- Dao H, Abaoua JRN, Koffi AF, Yovo YCE. 2017. Biological parameters of *Sarcophaga carnaria* L (Diptera, Sarcophagidae) necrophagous fly breeding at the National Floristic Center, Abidjan, Ivory Coast. *IJRDO-J Biol Sci* 3 (1): 1-16 5
- De Fries R, Hansen A, Turner BL, Reid R, Liu J. 2007. Land use change around protected areas: management to balance human needs and ecological function. *Ecol* 12, pl 17 (4): 1031-1038.
- Deb JB, Anindita RB, Wahedunnabi MD. 2015. Structure and composition of understory treelets and overstory trees in a protected area of Bangladesh. *For Sci Technol* 11 (2): 76-85.
- Dodonov P, Harper KA, Silva-Matos DM. 2013. The role of edge contrast and forest structure in edge influence: Vegetation and microclimate at edges in the Brazilian cerrado. *Plant Ecol* 214 (11): 1-15. DOI: 10.1007/s11258-013-0256-0 20
- gard K, Totland O, Moe SR. 2015. Edge effects on plant communities along power line Clearings. *J Appl Ecol* 52: 871-880. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2664.12460 30
- Erdos L, Krstonosic D, Kiss PJ, Batori Z, Tolgyesi C, Skvorc Z. 2019. Plant composition and diversity at edges in a semi-natural forest-grassland mosaic. *Plant Ecol* 2019 (220): 279-292. DOI: 10.1007/s11258-019-00913-4 4
- Esseen PA, Ringvall AH, Harper KA, Christensen P, Svensson J. 2016. Factors driving structure of natural and anthropogenic forest edges from temperate to boreal ecosystems. *J Veg Sci*. DOI: 10.1111/jvs.12387.
- Ferreira S, Sithole H, Daemane M, Bezuidenhout H. 2013. Efficient evaluation of biodiversity concerns in protected areas. *Int J Biodivers* 2013: 298968. DOI: 10.1155/2013/298968 3
- Filgueiras BK, Freitas AVL, Douglas HA, Iannuzzi, AL. 2016. Fruit-feeding butterflies in edge-dominated habitats: Community structure, species persistence and cascade effect. *J Insect Conserv* 20 (2): 539-548. DOI: 10.1007/s10841-016-9888-4 44
- Franco 16, Brandão R, Nogueira CC, Ricardo YBS, Machado B, Colli GR. 2015. Habitat loss and the effectiveness of protected areas in the Cerrado Biodiversity Hotspot. *Natureza and Conservacao* 13 (1): 35-40. DOI: 10.1016/j.ncon.2015.04.001 15
- Harisha MN, Harisha P, Hoseni B, Kumara V. 2019. Diversity of butterflies of the Shetihalli Wildlife Sanctuary, Shivamogga District, Karnataka, India. *J Threatened Taxa* 11 (10): 349-357.
- Harsh S, Jena J, Sharma T, Sarkar PK. 2015. Diversity of butterflies and their habitat association in four different habitat types in Kanha-pench corridor, Madhya Pradesh, India. *Int J Adv Res* 3 (1): 779-785.
- Kemp JE, Ellis AG. 2017. Significant local-scale plant-insect species richness relationship independent of abiotic effects in the temperate cape floristic region biodiversity hotspot. *PLoS ONE* 12 (1): e0168033. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0168033
- Koneri R, Nangoy MJ, Siahaan P. 2019. The abundance and diversity of butterflies (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) in Talaud Islands, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 20 (11) 3275-3283. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d201121 35
- Koneri R, Nangoy MJ. 2020. Butterfly community structure and diversity in Sangihe islands, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Appl Ecol Environ Res* 17 (2): 2501-2517. DOI: 10.15666/aer/1702_25012517
- Kyerematen R, Owu 25 H, Acquah-Lampsey D, Anderson RS, Ntiamao-Baidu Y. 2014. Species composition and diversity of insects of the Kogyae Strict Nature Reserve in Ghana. *Open J Ecol* 2014 (4): 1061-1079. 29
- McAleece N, Lamshead PJD, Paterson GLJ. 1997. *Biodiversity Pro. The Natural History Museum, London.*
- Mead D. 2013. A guide to some bees and wasps of Indonesia. *SulangLexTopics011-v1*. <http://sulang.org> 24
- Odermatt J, Frommen JG, Myles H, Menz M. 2017. Consistent behavioural differences between migratory and resident hoverflies. *Anim Behav* 127: 187-195. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2017.03.015
- Orit 19, JO, Ojo VA, Ogunyemi OO, Agbelusi TO, Okosodo EF. 2016. Butterfly species diversity in protected and unprotected habitat of Ise Forest Reserve, Ise Ekiti. *Ekiti State Adv Ecol* 2016: 7801930. DOI: 10.1155/2016/7801930
- Orlandin E, Piovesan M, D'Agostini FM, Carneiro E. 2019. Use of microhabitats affects butterfly assemblages in a rural landscape. *Pap Avulsos Zool* 59: e20195949. DOI: 10.11606/1807-0205/2019.59.49
- Pe'er G, Maanen CV, Turbe A, Matsino YG, Kark S. 2011. Butterfly diversity at the ecotone between agricultural and semi-natural habitats across a climatic gradient. *Divers Distrib* 17 (6): 1186-1197. DOI: 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00795.x
- Perr 28, Lojka B, Ruiz Q, Van Dame P, Houska J, Cusimamani EF. 2016. How natural forest conversion affects insect biodiversity in the Peruvian Amazon: Can agroforestry help?. *For* 7 (4): 82. DOI: 10-3390/7040082 18
- Rands SA, Whitney HM. 2011. Field margins, foraging distances and their impacts on nesting pollinator success. *PLoS ONE* 6 (10): e25971. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0025971 27
- Roberts HP, King DI, Milam J. 2017. Factors affecting bee communities in forest openings and adjacent mature forest. *For Ecol Manag* 394: 111-121. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2017.03.023 23
- Rubene D, Ove Wikars L, Ranius T. 2014. Importance of high quality early-successional habitats in managed forest landscapes to rare beetle species. *Biodivers Conserv* 23: 449-466 11
- Sarwar M. 2020. Typical flies: Natural history, lifestyle and diversity of Diptera. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91391>
- Schuepp C, Rittner S, Entling MH. 2012. High bee and wasp diversity in a heterogeneous tropical farming system compared to protected forest. *PLoS One* 7 (12): e52109. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0052109 38
- Schulze CH. 2009. Identification guide for butterflies of West Java: Families Papilionidae, Pieridae and Nymphalidae. Chapman Hall, London.
- Subahar TSS, Yuliana A. 2010. Butterfly diversity as a data base for the development plan of butterfly garden at Bosscha Observatory, Lembang, West Java. *Biodiversitas* 11 (1): 24-28. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d110106 2
- Sundarapandian S, Karoor PJ. 2013. Edge effects on plant diversity in tropical forest ecosystems at Periyar Wildlife sanctuary in the Western Ghats of India. *J For Res* 24 (3): 403-418 10
- Van Halder I, Barbaro L, Jactel H. 2011. Conserving butterflies in fragmented plantation forests: Are edge and interior habitats equally important? *Insect Conserv* 13: 591-601 9
- Vina A, Liu J. 2017. Hidden roles of protected areas in the conservation of biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Ecosphere* 8 (6): e01864. DOI: 10.1002/ecs2.1864 14
- Vu VL, Bonebrake TC, Vu MQ, Nguyen NT. 2015. Butterfly diversity and habitat variation in a disturbed forest in northern Vietnam. *Pan Pac Entomol* 91 (1): 29-38.

- Welti E, Helzer C, Joern A. 2017. ²⁶ Impacts of plant diversity on arthropod communities and plant-herbivore network architecture. *Ecosphere* 8 (10): e01983. DOI: 10.1002/ecs2.1983
- Widhiono I, Sudiana E, Trisucianto E. 2017. Insect pollinator diversity along a habitat quality gradient on Mount Slamet, Central Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 17 (2): 746-752. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d170250
- Widhiono I. 2015. Diversity of butterflies in four different forest types in Mount Slamet, Central Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 6 (2): 196-204. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d160215
- Withaningsih S, Andari CD, Parikesit, Fitriani N. 2018. The effect of understory plants on pollinators visitation in coffee plantations: Case study of coffee plantations in West Bandung District, West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 19 (2): 604-612. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190231
- ¹ Xu W, Xiao Y, Zhang J, Yang W, ¹Zhang L, Hull V, Wang Z, Zheng H, Polasky S, Jiang L, Xiao Y. 2017. Strengthening protected areas for biodiversity and ecosystem services in China. *PNAS* 114 (7): 1601-1606.

Insect diversity in various distances to forest edge in small nature reserve : A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia

ORIGINALITY REPORT

12%

SIMILARITY INDEX

9%

INTERNET SOURCES

5%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

hpkx.cnjournals.com

Internet Source

<1%

2

slideheaven.com

Internet Source

<1%

3

Patrícia E. Gueratto, Junia Y. O. Carreira, Jessie P. Santos, André Tacioli, André V. L. Freitas. "Effects of forest trails on the community structure of tropical butterflies", Journal of Insect Conservation, 2019

Publication

<1%

4

diva-portal.org

Internet Source

<1%

5

DAVID A. HENNESSY. "BUYING ECOLOGICAL SERVICES: FRAGMENTED RESERVES, CORE AND PERIPHERY NATIONAL PARK STRUCTURE, AND THE AGRICULTURAL EXTENSIFICATION DEBATE : BUYING ECOLOGICAL SERVICES", Natural

<1%

Resource Modelling, 02/18/2010

Publication

6

Submitted to University of Western Sydney

Student Paper

<1%

7

Noe Woin, Christa Volkmar, K.M. Djonmaïla, Venassius Wirnkar Lenzemo, Sali Bourou, Tite Bebom Noudji. "Evidence and population diversity of the rice yellow mottle virus (RYMV) insect vectors and some of their natural antagonists in paddy fields in the north of Cameroon", Archives Of Phytopathology And Plant Protection, 2010

Publication

<1%

8

repo.unsrat.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

9

Submitted to University of Cambridge International Examinations

Student Paper

<1%

10

Submitted to Bath Spa University College

Student Paper

<1%

11

Muhammad Sarwar. "Chapter 1 Typical Flies: Natural History, Lifestyle and Diversity of Diptera", IntechOpen, 2020

Publication

<1%

12

Hervé R. Memiaghe, James A. Lutz, Lisa Korte, Alfonso Alonso, David Kenfack. "Ecological

<1%

Importance of Small-Diameter Trees to the Structure, Diversity and Biomass of a Tropical Evergreen Forest at Rabi, Gabon", PLOS ONE, 2016

Publication

13

www.jove.com

Internet Source

<1%

14

Submitted to University of Hong Kong

Student Paper

<1%

15

threatenedtaxa.org

Internet Source

<1%

16

repositorio.ufpe.br

Internet Source

<1%

17

ejournal.stkip-pgri-sumbar.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

18

Marcos Monasterolo, Santiago L. Poggio, Diego Medan, Mariano Devoto. "Wider road verges sustain higher plant species richness and pollinator abundance in intensively managed agroecosystems", Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 2020

Publication

<1%

19

www.hindawi.com

Internet Source

<1%

20

era.library.ualberta.ca

Internet Source

<1%

21 indianmandirs.blogspot.com <1%
Internet Source

22 Submitted to Tunas Muda International School <1%
Student Paper

23 www.semanticscholar.org <1%
Internet Source

24 Karl R. Wotton, Boya Gao, Myles H.M. Menz, Roger K.A. Morris et al. "Mass Seasonal Migrations of Hoverflies Provide Extensive Pollination and Crop Protection Services", Current Biology, 2019 <1%
Publication

25 www.scirp.org <1%
Internet Source

26 www.journals.uchicago.edu <1%
Internet Source

27 udspace.udel.edu <1%
Internet Source

28 iatrikikriti.blogspot.com <1%
Internet Source

29 Robert Stryjecki, Andrzej Zawal, Edyta Stępień, Edyta Buczyńska et al. "Water mites (Acari, Hydrachnidia) of water bodies of the Krąpiel River valley: interactions in the spatial

arrangement of a river valley", Limnology, 2016

Publication

30

Submitted to Brisbane State High School

Student Paper

<1%

31

Submitted to University of Hull

Student Paper

<1%

32

M. Mukeka Joseph, O. Ogutu Joseph, Kanga Erustus, Røskaft Eivin. "Trends in compensation for human-wildlife conflict losses in Kenya", International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation, 2019

Publication

<1%

33

M Umami, Y Sistina, G E Wijayanti. " spermatogenesis of shark minnow fish (Valenciennes 1842) as a potential fish reproductive biotechnology ", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020

Publication

<1%

34

ijcrar.com

Internet Source

<1%

35

suche.thulb.uni-jena.de

Internet Source

<1%

36

pudji.widodo.blog.unsoed.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

37

www.tlsr.usm.my

Internet Source

<1%

38 Sustainable Development and Biodiversity, 2015. <1%
Publication

39 zenodo.org <1%
Internet Source

40 ijrdo.org <1%
Internet Source

41 nida.nih.gov <1%
Internet Source

42 Qin Liu, Kun Yan, Ya-feng Lu, Ming Li, Yang-yang Yan. "Conflict between wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) and farmers: distribution, impacts, and suggestions for management of wild boars in the Three Gorges Reservoir Area", *Journal of Mountain Science*, 2019 <1%
Publication

43 Susanne Winter, Markus Klemens Zaplata, Michael Rzanny, Wolfgang Schaaf, Anton Fischer, Werner Ulrich. "Increasing ecological multifunctionality during early plant succession", *Plant Ecology*, 2019 <1%
Publication

44 Marco Ribeiro-Júnior, Silvana Amaral. "Diversity, distribution, and conservation of lizards (Reptilia: Squamata) in the Brazilian Amazonia", *Neotropical Biodiversity*, 2017 <1%

Publication

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography Off

Exclude matches Off