

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEPP) is the international academic journal, and is a double-blind, peer-reviewed academic journal publishing high quality conceptual and measure development articles in the areas of energy economics, energy policy and related disciplines. ISSN: 2146-4553

Ranking: 2020 SJR: 0.449

20/65 Energy: General Energy (Scopus®)

2020 CiteScore: 3.5

Editorial Board: 78 editors in 27 countries/regions

Current Issue

Vol. 11 No. 6 (2021)

Published: 2021-11-03

Articles

The Causal Relationship between Fuel Consumption, Exchange Rates and Economic Growth in South East Sulawesi, Indonesia

Manat Rahim, Pasrun Adam, Heppi Millia, La Ode Suriadi, La Ode Ode Saidi

1-6



Renewable Energies Resources Evaluation using a Geographic Information Systems in the Colombian Caribbean Region

Gonzalo Romeno Garcia, Jhan Piero Rojas, Dora Villada Castillo

7-15



Energy and Environmental Analysis of Solar Air Cooling with 2-Stages Adsorption Chiller in Jordan

Mohammed Issa Shahateet, Ghani Albaali, Abdul Ghafoor Saidi

16-26

[Home](#) / [Aims and Scope](#)

Aims and Scope

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEEP) is the international academic journal, and is a double-blind, peer-reviewed academic journal publishing high quality conceptual and measure development articles in the areas of energy economics, energy policy and related disciplines. The journal has a worldwide audience. The journal's goal is to stimulate the development of energy economics, energy policy and related disciplines theory worldwide by publishing interesting articles in a highly readable format.

The journal is published bimonthly (6 issues per year) and covers a wide variety of topics including (but not limited to):

Energy Consumption	Energy Politics	Energy Systems
Electricity Consumption	Energy Financing	Renewable Energy
Economic Growth - Energy	Energy Efficiency	Energy Sources
Energy Policy	Energy Modelling	Environmental Economics
Energy Planning	Energy Use	Environmental Management
Energy Forecasting	Energy - Environment	Oil & Gas
Energy Pricing	Climate Change	Energy Economics

PUBLICATION FREQUENCY

The journal is published Bimonthly (6 issues per year: January, March, May, July, September, November).

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

This journal provides immediate OPEN ACCESS to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public supports a greater global exchange of knowledge. All articles published by IJEEP are made immediately available worldwide under an open access license. This means

that everyone has free and unlimited access to the full-text of *all* articles published.

Open Access business model is adopted by IJEEP. Thus, IJEEP follows the APC publishing model, whereby accepted articles have to pay an Article Processing Charge (APC) before they are published.

ARCHIVING

This journal utilizes the LOCKSS system to create a distributed archiving system among participating libraries and permits those libraries to create permanent archives of the journal for purposes of preservation and restoration. [More...](#)

[Submit Your Paper](#)



Information

[For Readers](#)

[For Authors](#)

[For Librarians](#)

[Home](#) / Editorial Team

Editorial Team

EDITORS

Ilhan Ozturk, Editor-in-Chief, Cag University, Mersin, Turkey

Ali ACARAVCI, Co-Editor, Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey

SECTION EDITORS

Serkan Yilmaz KANDIR, Co-Editor, Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey

Muhittin KAPLAN, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

Alper ASLAN, Nevsehir Hacı Bektas Veli University, Nevsehir, Turkey

Seyfettin ARTAN, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey

Gazi Salah UDDIN, Linkoping University, Sweden

Constantinos ALEXIOU, Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, United Kingdom

Abdulnasser Hatemi-J, UAE University, United Arab Emirates

Hooi Hooi Lean, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia

Muhammad Shahbaz, School of Management and Economics, Beijing Institute of Technology, China

Cem SAATCIOGLU, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

Faik BILGILI, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey

Abu N.M. WAHID, Tennessee State University, United States

Chor Foon TANG, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia

Yunke YU, Louisiana State University, Louisiana, United States

Yu Hsing, Southeastern Louisiana University, United States

Yue-Jun ZHANG, Business School of Hunan University, China

Aviral Kumar Tiwari, ICFAI University Tripura, India

Nicholas Apergis, University of Derby, United Kingdom

Mohamed El Hedi Arouri, EDHEC Business School, France

Ali AHMED, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

Usama Al-mulali, Multimedia University, Melaka, Malaysia

Mohammad SALAHUDDIN, Trent University (Canada) & University of Southern Queensland, Australia

Abdul JALIL, Quaid-i-Azam University, Pakistan

Diana Mihaela Pociovalisteanu, "Constantin Brancusi" University of Targu-Jiu, Romania

Vincenzo Bianco, University of Genoa, Italy

Mita Bhattacharya, Monash University, Australia

Seyed Ehsan Hosseini, Arkansas Tech University, United States

Burcu Ozcan, Firat University, Elazig, Turkey

Rabindra Nepal, University of Wollongong, Australia

Mohammad H. Ahmadi, Shahrood University of Technology, Iran, Islamic Republic of

Roula Inglesi-Lotz, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Songül Kakilli ACARAVCI, Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey

Victor M.F. Moutinho, Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal

Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie, Nord University, Business School, Norway

Abdul Rauf, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, China

Ardi Gunardi, Universitas Pasundan, Indonesia

Qazi Muhammad Adnan Hye, Mohammad Ali Jinnah University, Karachi, Pakistan

Solarin Sakiru Adebola, Multimedia University, Melaka, Malaysia

Abbas Ali Chandio, Sichuan Agricultural University Chengdu, Chengdu, China

Arshian Sharif, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia

Hoang Phong Le, University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City & Ho Chi Minh City University of Law, Viet Nam

Festus Victor Bekun, Istanbul Gelisim University, Turkey

Oludele Folarin, University of Ibadan, Nigeria

Festus Adedoyin, Bournemouth University, United Kingdom

Adedoyin I. Lawal, Landmark University, Omu Aran, Nigeria

Muddassar Sarfraz, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China

Ionel Bostan, Ștefan cel Mare University of Suceava, Romania

Bashar H. Malkawi, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

Andrew Adewale Alola, University of Vaasa, Vaasa, Finland

Fabio Pizzutilo, University of Bari "Aldo Moro", Italy

Sana Ullah, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Nuno Carlos Leitão, Évora University, Évora, Portugal

Idiano D'Adamo, Sapienza Università di Roma, Italy

Fayyaz Ahmad, Lanzhou University - Lanzhou, Gansu, China

Akbar Maleki, Shahrood University of Technology, Iran, Islamic Republic of

Shah Fahad, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

Muhammad Tariq Majeed, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Muhammad Hafeez, University of Sialkot, Sialkot, Pakistan

Muntasir Murshed, North South University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Daniel Balsalobre-Lorente, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

Danish Khan, University of Foreign Studies, India

Avik Sinha, Goa Institute of Management, India

Nisar Ahmad, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman

Kazi Sohag, Ural Federal University, Russian Federation

Phouphet - Kyophilavong, National University of Laos, Lao People's Democratic Republic

Angeliki N. Menegaki, Agricultural University of Athens-EU Conexus, Greece

Kamil Sertoglu, Eastern Mediterranean University, Famagusta, Cyprus

Danish Iqbal Godil, Dar-ul-Madina International University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Dinh Tran Ngoc Huy, Binh Duong University, Viet Nam

Larisa Ivascu, Politehnica University of Timisoara, Romania

Lucian-Ionel Cioca, Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Romania

Sobia Naseem, Shijiazhuang Tiedao University, China

Aura Domil, West University of Timisoara, Romania

Muhammad Mohsin, Hunan University of Humanities, Science and Technology, China

Ayfer Gedikli, Duzce University, Duzce, Turkey

Seyfettin Erdogan, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey

[Submit Your Paper](#)

[Home](#) / [Archives](#) / Vol. 10 No. 4 (2020)

Vol. 10 No. 4 (2020)

Published: 2020-05-16

Articles

Did the US Shale Oil Revolution Ruin Oil Industry Stock Market Returns?

Samuel D. Barrows

1-8



Do Electricity Consumption and International Trade Openness Boost Economic Growth in Sudan? Empirical Analysis from Bounds Test to Cointegration Approach

Khalid Eltayeb Elfaki, Nurul Anwar, Arintoko Arintoko

9-16



Social and Environmental Contributions, Board Size and Cash Holding: The Case of Energy Firms

Nguyen Thanh Liem, Nguyen Vinh Khuong, Phung Anh Thu

17-22



Feasibility Study for Biogas Generation from Household Digesters in Bangladesh: Evidence from a Household Level Survey

Samira Salam, Rehana Parvin, Md. Abdus Salam, S. M. Nasim Azad

23-30



Geothermal Energy Development in Iceland

Alexey Mikhaylov

31-35



Study of Trends in the Formation of Energy Intensity of Production and the Structure of "Energy Portfolio"

Tatyana V. Malysheva, Izida I. Ishmuradova, Alla A. Yarlychenko

36-42



Can Energy Consumption and Benefit Programs Explain One's Living Standards Afterwards? Evidence from Northern Sulawesi, Indonesia

Hizkia H. D. Tasik

43-50



Analysis of the Time-frequency Connectedness between Gold Prices, Oil Prices and Hungarian Financial Markets

Ngo Thai Hung

51-59



Assessing Sustainable Manufacturing Practices and Sustainability Performance Among Oil and Gas Industry in Iraq

Yousif Munadhil Ibrahim, Norsiah Hami, Susan Sabah Abdulameer

60-67



Energy Efficiency Development in Indonesia: An Empirical Analysis of Energy Intensity Inequality

Dhani Setyawan, Irwanda Wisnu Wardhana

68-77



The Impact of Innovation, Knowledge Spillovers and Oil Prices on Economic Growth of the Regions of Kazakhstan

Bulat Mukhamediyev, Lazat Spankulova

78-84



Causality Between Tourism Industry Development and the Ecological Sustainability In Marine Environment: A Convergence and Divergence among Stakeholder With Mactor Analysis

Izza Mafruhah, Supriyono Supriyono, Nunung Sri Mulyani, Nurul Istiqomah

85-92



PDF

BRICS on the African Energy Market: Current Situation and Prospects for Mutual Cooperation

Olga V. Panina, Anna A. Bakulina, Lyubov A. Ploticyna, Natalia L. Krasnyukova

93-101



PDF

Energy Security Concept in Russia and South Korea

Uyeh Daniel Dooyum, Alexey Mikhaylov, Igor Varyash

102-107



PDF

The Causality Analysis of the Effect of Oil and Natural Gas Prices on Ukraine Stock Index

Javid Elkhan Suleymanli, Etimad Munasib Rahimli, Nurkhodzha Nazirkhodzha Akbulaev

108-114



PDF

Are Carbon Leader Indexes Related with Carbon Prices under Different Regimes?

Ayben Koy, Güçlü Okay

115-121



PDF

Assessing the Energy Subsidy Reform in Indonesia through Different Scenarios

Ahmadi Murjani

122-134



PDF

Price-based Demand-side Management Model for Industrial and Large Electricity Consumers

Anatolyy Dzyuba, Irina Solovyeva

135-149



PDF

Profit Decomposition: Analyzing the Pathway from Carbon Dioxide Emission Reduction to Revenues and Costs

Andewi Rokhmawati

150-160



PDF

Investigating the Efficiency of Government Expenditure on Energy Consumption (Fuel) Subsidy Policy in Indonesia: An Application of Stochastic Frontier Model

Saiful Badli, Raja Masbar, Nazamuddin Nazamuddin, Muhammad Nasir, T. Zulham, Jumadil Saputra, Syahril Syahril, Helmi Noviar

161-165



Relations Between Turkey and Russia in the Context of Energy Partnership

Adilbek Yermekbayev, Venera Khairullayeva, Venera Iztayeva, Bibazhar Zhuztayeva, Akmaral Doszhanova

166-171



The Nexus between Type of Energy Consumed, CO2 Emissions, and Carbon-Related Costs

Andewi Rokhmawati

172-183



Reform in a Limbo: The Politics and Politicization of Reforms in Nigeria's Petroleum Sector

Agaptus Nwozor, John Shola Olanrewaju, Solomon Adebayo Adedire, Ejalonibu Ebenezer Lawal

184-193



Levers of Eco-control and Green Behavior in Medical Waste Management

Eka Ardhani Sisdyani, Bambang Subroto, Erwin Saraswati, Zaki Baridwan

194-204



The Use of Real Options in Assessing the Development of Small Energy in Russia

Natalia Morozko, Nina Morozko, Valentina Didenko

205-211



Oil Price Fluctuation and Health Outcomes in an Oil Exporting Country: Evidence from Nigeria

Gabriel Oduyemi, Taiwo Owoeye

212-220



Planning Strategy of Operation Business and Maintenance by Analytical Hierarchy Process and Strength, Weakness, Opportunity, and Threat Integration for Energy Sustainability

Suriyanti Suriyanti, Ahmad Firman, Nurlina Nurlina, Gunawan Bata Ilyas, Aditya Halim Perdana Kusuma Putra
221-228



Household Electricity Demand in South Sulawesi, Indonesia

Buyung Romadhoni, Akhmad Akhmad
229-233



The Effects of Environment, Society and Governance Scores on Investment Returns and Stock Market Volatility

Bharat Kumar Meher, Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar, Latasha Mohapatra, Cristi Spulbar, Ramona Birau
234-239



Development of Economic Mechanism for Ensuring Ecological Security in Kazakhstan

Laura Igaliyeva, Saule Yegemberdiyeva, Kansulu Utepkaliyeva, Aigul Bakirbekova
240-250



A Necessity or A Premature Move? The Shift of Indonesian Production Sharing Contract in the Oil and Gas Industry

Mailinda Eka Yuniza, Aicha Grade Rebecca, Rahmah Candrika Ramadhaniati
251-257



Petroleum Subsidy Withdrawal, Fuel Price Hikes and the Nigerian Economy

Henry Egbezien Inegbedion, Emmanuel Inegbedion, Eseosa Obadiaru, Abiola Asaleye
258-265



An Analysis of the Possible Financial Savings of a Carbon Capture Process through Carbon Dioxide Absorption and Geological Dumping

Ronald Ssebadduka, Kyuro Sasaki, Yuichi Sugai
266-270



Energy Industry Performance in Saudi Arabia: Empirical Evidence

Khaled Salmen Aljaaidi, Waddah Kamal Hassan

271-277



The Effects of External Uncertainties against Monetary Policy Uncertainty on IRANIAN Stock Return Volatility Using GARCH-MIDAS Approach

Seyedeh Fatemeh Razmi, Bahareh Ramezani Bajgiran, Seyed Mohammad Javad Razmi, Kiana Baensaf Oroumeh

278-281



Renewable Energy Access Challenge at Household Level for the Poor in Rural Zimbabwe: Is Biogas Energy a Remedy?

Tafadzwa Clementine Maramura, Eugene Tafadzwa Maziriri, Tinashe Chuchu, David Mago, Rumbidzai Mazivisa

282-292



Energy Security, Economics and Environment in the Eurasian Economic Union: Current and Future Scenarios

Meiramkul Saiymova, Aliya Shakharova, Aliya Rakaeva, Madina Serikova, Aslan Tasmaganbetov, Yuliya Tyurina, Zhibek Bimagambetova

293-299



Fuel-Mining Exports and Growth in a Developing State: The Case of the UAE

Athanasia Stylianou Kalaitzi, Trevor William Chamberlain

300-308



The Dynamic Link of Electricity Consumption, Internet Access and Economic Growth in 33 Provinces of Indonesia

Amaluddin Amaluddin

309-317



Analysis of the Relationship between Energy Consumption and Economic Growth in the Commonwealth of Independent States

Aziza Syzdykova, Gulmira Azretbergenova, Khairulla Massadikov, Aigul Kalymbetova, Darkhan Sultanov

318-324



A Bi-level Multi-objective Optimization Model for the Planning, Design and Operation of Smart Grid Projects. Case Study: An Islanded Microgrid

Ricardo Echeverri Martínez, Eduardo Caicedo Bravo, Wilfredo Alfonso Morales, Juan David Garcia-Racines
325-341



Mathematical Modeling and Optimization of the Monopoly Heating Market

Andrey Penkovskii, Valery Stennikov
342-351



Oil Price and Earnings Management: Evidence from Crude Oil and Gas Companies in Indonesian Stock Exchange

Nanda Fito Mela, Adhitya Agri Putra
352-355



Economic Cost of the Feed - in - Tariff (FiT) in Thailand

Kaesinee Tharisung
356-363



Environmental Kuznets Curve in Greece in the period 1960-2014

Erasmia Kotroni, Dimitra Kaika, Efthimios Zervas
364-370



Do Various Sectors Respond to Oil Price Shocks? New Evidence for Indonesia as Emerging Market

Subiakto Sukarno, Marla Setiawati
371-376



The Effect of Fuel Mix, Moderated by Indonesia Crude Price and Foreign Exchange, and Power Losses on Profitability of PT PIN (PERSERO)

Ahmad Rofik, Tantri Yanuar Rahmat Syah
377-383



Energy Consumption and Economic Growth in Newly Industrialised Countries of Asia

Karen Fernandes, Y. V. Reddy

384-391



Fuzzy Information and Z-number-based Approaches to Energy Resource Selection

Mahammad Nuriyev

392-398



Evaluation of the Economic Efficiency of Heat Recovery from Exhaust Gas of Diesel Power Plants in Kazakhstan

Zhanar M. Orynkanova, Diana I. Stepanova

399-404



Coal Prices in Poland: Is the Domestic Market Separated from the International Market?

Jerzy Rembeza

405-410



Forecasting European Union CO2 Emissions Using Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average-autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity Models

Melina Dritsaki, Chaido Dritsaki

411-423



Gross Domestic Product Energy Intensity Level as a Criterion for Evaluating the Energy Security of National Economy

Nadiia Pysar, Dergachova Viktoria, Olena Vynogradova, Olga Guseva

424-429



Examining the Effects of Oil Price Long Memory and Exchange Rate Long Memory on Stock Market Behavior in Nigeria

Adedoyin Isola Lawal, Samuel Olatunde Dahunsi, Abiola Ayopo Babajide, Abiola John Asaleye, Joseph Ojo Iseolorunkanmi, Henry Inegbedion, Charles O. Manasseh, Bukola, B. Lawal-Adedoyin

430-436



Crude Oil Prices, Household Spending and Economic Growth in the ASEAN-4 Region: An Analysis of Nonlinear Panel Autoregressive Distributed Lag

Wali Aya Rumbia, Abd Azis Muthalib, Bakhtiar Abbas, Pasrun Adam, Heppi Millia, La Ode Saidi, Muh. Irfandy Azis

437-442



Energy Consumption, Economic Growth and Trade Balance in East Asia: A Panel Data Approach

Trong Nguyen Tran, Thu Thuy Nguyen, Van Chien Nguyen, Thi Thu Huong Vu

443-449



The Impact of Oil Factor on the Car Import in Azerbaijan

Sugra Ingilab Humbatova, Natig Gadim-Ogli Hajiyev

450-462



The Role of Natural Gas in Achieving Sustainable Development Goals

Oleg E. Aksyutin, Alexander G. Ishkov, Konstantin V. Romanov, Vladimir A. Grachev

463-472



Testing the Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis in Uruguay using Ecological Footprint as a Measure of Environmental Degradation

Selim Jürgen Ergun, Maria Fernanda Rivas

473-485



Energy Consumption, Information and Communication Technology and Economic Growth in an African Context

Bester Chimbo

486-493



Russian South Gas Corridor Prospects

Gul'nar Osmanovna Khalova, Pavel Pavlovich Pilipenko, Elena Andreevna Isayeva, Alexander Emilovich Petkov

494-499



Decomposition of Growth Factors in High-tech Industries and CO2 Emissions: After the World Financial Crisis in 2008

Yu-Chen Yang, Cheng-Yih Hong, Syamsiyatul Muzayyanah, Rishan Adha

500-506



The Relationship between Electricity Consumption, Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth: Case of Benin

Desire Wade Atchike, Zhen-Yu Zhao, Geriletu Bao

507-515



The Impact of Petroleum Tax Incentives on Foreign Direct Investment Inflow: Evidence from Nigeria

Adam Konto Kyari

516-524



Energy Consumption of Bitcoin Mining

Mikhail Bondarev

525-529



Implementation of Sustainable Development Goals to Makassar Zero Waste and Energy Source

Haeruddin Saleh, Batara Surya, H. Hamsina

530-538



Factors of the Formation of Modern Energetic Reality in North Western Europe

Fe Amor Parel Gudmundsson, Sergey Prosekov, Natalia Sokolinskaya, Sergey Tarakanov, Evgeniy Lopatin

539-544



Overview of Policies for the Generation from Renewable Energy Focused in Central Tower Concentrating Solar Power

Jhon A. Pabón Leán, JosÃ© O. GarcÃa Mendoza, Sofia Orjuela Abril

545-552





Do Electricity Consumption and International Trade Openness Boost Economic Growth in Sudan? Empirical Analysis from Bounds Test to Cointegration Approach

Khalid Eltayeb Elfaki^{1,2*}, Nurul Anwar³, Arintoko Arintoko³

¹Ph.D Student in Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Indonesia, ²Faculty of Commercial Studies, University of Gezira, Al Hilaliya, Sudan, ³Faculty of Economics and Business, Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Indonesia. *Email: abuarabsk@gmail.com

Received: 06 February 2020

Accepted: 03 May 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijee.9374>

ABSTRACT

Electricity power plays a significant role as an engine factor in boosting economic growth, particularly in developing countries. This paper utilized the autoregressive distributed lag model to study the interrelationship among electricity consumption, international trade openness, and economic growth in Sudan data spanning 1975-2014. The cointegration test result confirms the presence of long run cointegration relationship among electricity consumption, international trade openness, and economic growth. The estimated results of the long run point out that electricity consumption and international trade openness showed a significant positive relationship relating to economic growth. The estimated error correction term coefficient is found to be significant at a 1% significance level with an expected sign. The findings of this paper suggest that Sudan's economy takes advantage of promoting electricity production and more open international trade. Therefore, the policies that support and encourage electricity generation for effective uses are highly recommended. The engagement in international trade creates opportunities for Sudan's economy to benefit from the comparative advantage in exporting some goods that have a comparative advantage in Sudan as well as to import modern technologies particularly, the machineries and equipment relating to agriculture and industrial sectors recently to improve economic growth.

Keywords: Economic Growth, Trade Openness, Electricity Consumption, Autoregressive Distributed Lag, Sudan

JEL Classifications: F43, F16, L94

1. INTRODUCTION

The dramatic changes in energy issues and increasing demand recently sparked up the attention of national and international institutions and governments both in developed and developing countries to switch to energy use from renewable sources and to reduce the economic and environmental impacts such as pollution consequences, environment conservation and achieving sustainable economic development. Therefore, Electricity energy became one of the most essential factors influencing the development process particularly the development of agricultural, industrial, and infrastructure sectors. According to Pao (2009)

Energy represent the basic ground for economic development. Electricity exemplifies as the most resilient types of energy and shapes one of the basic inputs of social and economic development infrastructure. Kasperowicz (2014) stated that electricity is the most foundation factor that economic growth depends on for Poland. Investment deficiency in infrastructure energy and services consider as one factor that interpret poverty in Africa countries.

In Sudan, the electricity sector represents one of the main industries, where electricity production share raised from 8% to 33% in the total gross domestic product in the period 1970-2012. The year 1925 witnessed the initiation of hydroelectricity

generation in Sudan by adopted inclusive policies to producing an adequate supply of electricity for irrigated projects and the manufacturing sector (Awad and Yossof, 2016).

The interaction relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth has been widely discussed in the empirical literature. For instance, (Zhong et al., 2019; Iyke and Odhiambo, 2014; Bekun and Agboola, 2019). While, the relationship among electricity consumption, trade openness, and economic growth was limitedly studied in economic literature (Opeyemi and Paul-Francois, 2019; Acaravci et al., 2015; Khan et al., 2018; Ohlan, 2018). Opeyemi and Paul-Francois (2019) investigated the short term and long term relationship among electricity consumption, trade openness, and economic growth in addition to causality relationship in South Africa by applying the autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model. The result indicates that consumption of electricity and international trade openness are positively affected the long term economic growth. The causality test result demonstrates the presence of unidirectional causality flow from electricity consumption and trade openness to economic growth. Khan et al. (2018) applied the ARDL model and VECM to study the association between electricity consumption and economic growth empirical data from Kazakhstan. The study incorporated trade openness in the model. The empirical findings reveal that electricity consumption and openness of trade are associated with the growth of the economy positively. The result of VECM indicates the presence of one-way causal relationship from electricity consumption to economic growth, whereas the two-way causality was found between trade openness and economic growth. For the case of Sudan, Awad and Yossof (2016) tested the link among the production of electricity, employment, and economic growth by utilizing bounds test to cointegration and Wald test to measure the causality direction. The findings indicate the existence of two-way direction Relationship between electricity production and economic growth in the long and short run. Elfaki et al. (2018) utilized the ARDL model to investigate the nexus between energy consumption and economic growth whereas trade openness incorporated in the model as a control variable. The findings reveal that in the long term energy consumption is negatively connected to economic growth. While trade openness is found to be positively influenced economic growth.

To the best of our knowledge that, this paper provides a contribution to the literature on this topic as a pioneering empirical work through examining the relationship among electricity consumption, trade openness, and economic growth in Sudan by applying the ARDL model. For this purpose, the remaining parts of this paper are structured as follows: Section 2 presents the relevant literature. Section 3 illustrates the methodology and data. Section 4 devoted to discuss the empirical results. Section 5 specified to conclude the paper.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Electricity energy represents the backbone and one of the most significant factors in modern economies, where the availability of electricity energy contributes to support economic growth, sustainable development, and environmental conservation.

Electricity represents the main source of energy and basic policy attention to achieve sustainable development (Khan et al., 2018). The electricity consumption and economic growth relationship has featured as an issue of massive attention between economic researchers and policymakers recently (Zhong et al., 2019).

The issues of efficient and optimal use of energy have taken the attention of all world countries particularly in developed one, the reason behind that is to reduce CO₂ emission, control the environmental degradation and pollution, and energy sources conservation. Therefore, electric power considers as one of the energy sources that can help in environment conservation and reduce environmental degradation, and then contribute to achieve the ultimate goal of stable economic growth and sustainable development through reducing the economic consequences generated by the inefficient use of energy.

Several studies have been conducted to investigate the relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth. Some of these studies applied the ARDL model to cointegration approach to estimate the long and short run relationship while the other studies used vector error correction model VECM to explore the causality relationship direction. The outputs of these studies varied between the positive and negative relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth, also the bidirectional causality and unidirectional causality relationships were established in some other studies. For example, the studies found unidirectional relationship flow from electricity consumption to economic growth, Ahamad and Islam (2011); Chandran et al. (2010); Shengfeng et al. (2012). The studies supported the existence of two-way causality between electricity consumption and economic growth Hamdi et al. (2014); Polemis and Dagoumas (2013); Tang (2008); Osman et al. (2016).

By applying ARDL and causality test Acaravci et al. (2015) checked the causal relationship among electricity consumption per capita, foreign direct investment, trade openness, and real GDP in Turkey. The empirical results of ADRL in long run reveal that electricity consumption is positively connected with per capita real GDP. Granger causality results show the presence of unidirectional causation from electricity consumption to real GDP in the short run. In the long run, there is a unidirectional causation relationship was found running from electricity consumption, trade openness, and foreign direct investment to real GDP. Ohlan (2018) used the ARDL regression and VECM to test the relationship among electricity consumption, trade openness, and economic growth empirical data from India. The results showed that the long and short term electricity consumption has a significant positive correlation with economic growth. While trade openness it was found insignificant. The causal relationship was found in the long run running from trade openness and electricity consumption to economic growth. In the short term, the causal relationship has established from Economic growth to electricity consumption. Chandran et al. (2010) examined the link between electricity consumption and real GDP in Malaysia using the ARDL and Granger causality. The positive association was found between electricity consumption and real GDP. The causality result points out the presence of unidirectional relationship causality

from electricity consumption to economic growth in the short run. Acaravci and Ozturk (2012) found a positive connection between per capita electricity consumption and per capita GDP in the long run data evidence from Turkey. Granger causality test indicates the presence of unidirectional causal relationship from electricity consumption to real GDP and not vice versa. Iyke (2015) applied a trivariate vector error correction model for the case of Nigeria 1971-2011. The result demonstrates the existence of a unidirectional causal relationship run from electricity consumption to economic growth. Bildirici and Kayikçi (2012) found a unidirectional causal relationship running from electricity consumption to economic growth in the former Soviet Republic 1990-2009.

Hamdi et al. (2014) studied the link between electricity consumption and economic growth empirical data from Bahrain 1980Q1 to 2010Q4 whereas foreign direct investment and capital use incorporated in the model for their relevant influences to determine economic growth. The study used the ARDL model and VECM. The result reveals that electricity consumption positively contributes to economic growth. Capital use and foreign direct investment promote economic growth in the long term. Electricity consumption and foreign direct investment and were found insignificant in the short run. The result of the VECM analysis indicates the presence of two-way causality direction between electricity consumption and economic growth. Bashier (2016) applied the ARDL model and vector error correction model VECM to test the relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in Jordan 1976-2013. The result reveals that electricity consumption is positively affected economic growth, similarly, economic growth was found positively connected with electricity consumption. The causality test results showed a bidirectional causality direction between electricity consumption and economic growth. Al-mulali et al. (2014) studied the impact of renewable electricity consumption and non-renewable electricity consumption on economic growth data from 18 Latin American countries spanning the period 1980-2010. This study utilized dynamic ordinary least squares (DOLS) method and vector error correction model VECM. The empirical results of DOLS show that renewable and nonrenewable electricity consumption has a positive influence on economic growth in examined samples. The labor force, gross fixed capital formulation, and total trade as control variables are found positively contribute to economic growth. The findings of VECM causality illustrate the presence of a bidirectional relationship between renewable electricity consumption and economic growth, total trade and economic growth. Although the one-way causal relationship was found running from non-renewable electricity consumption to economic growth. Aslan (2014) applied the ARDL model and causality test to explore the linkage between electricity consumption and economic growth empirical evidence from Turkey 1968 to 2008. The estimated results confirm the presence of a positive correlation between electricity consumption and economic growth. Also, a positive connection was established between economic growth and electricity consumption. The result of Granger causality indicates the presence of a bidirectional relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in the long run. Bélaïd and Abderrahmani (2013) confirmed the bidirectional

long run and short run causality relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in Algeria 1971-2010. Bayar and Özel (2014) applied the DOLS and fully modified ordinary least squares (FMOLS) methods to examine the connection between economic growth and electricity consumption empirical data from the emerging countries 1970-2011. The results of (FMOLS) and (DOLS) point out that electricity consumption positively influenced economic growth. The estimated results of Granger causality reveal the existence of a bidirectional correlation between economic growth and electricity consumption. Lu (2017) confirm the positive contribution of electricity consumption to economic growth case of 17 Taiwan's Industries 1998-2014. Granger causality confirms the bidirectional relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth. Recently, Churchill and Ivanovski (2019) analyzed the electricity consumption in 7 Australian states economy 1990-2015 by applying FMOLS, DOLS, and ARDL methods. The empirical result established a positive association between electricity consumption and economic output. Based on causality results there is a bidirectional between electricity consumption and economic growth. Odhiambo (2009) found a bidirectional relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in South Africa 1971-2006. For the case of Portugal, Shahbaz et al. (2011) found two-way relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in the long run. Tang et al. (2013) confirm the presence of two-way causality between electricity consumption and economic growth in Portugal 1974-2009. Ciarreta and Zarraga (2010) used the VECM causality for a group of 12 European countries 1970-2007 by applying a generalized method of moment (GMM). The findings reveal a unidirectional relationship from electricity consumption to economic growth.

Bah and Azam (2017) found there is no causality between electricity consumption and economic growth by using the ARDL model and Toda-Yamamoto causality test empirical data from South Africa 1971 to 2012. Faisal et al. (2018) applied ARDL and VECM to analyze the connection among d economic growth, electricity consumption, trade openness, and urbanization empirical data from Iceland. The findings demonstrate that trade openness, economic growth, and urbanization are positively influencing the long and short term electricity consumption. However, the causality result indicates there is no causality between economic growth and electricity consumption. Ozturk and Acaravci (2011) applied ARDL and VECM to study the link between electricity consumption and economic growth data from 11 of the North Africa and Middle East countries. The cointegration relationship has not found in Morocco, Iran, and Syria. The empirical results indicate a unidirectional causality flow from electricity consumption to real GDP in Egypt and Saudi Arabia in the long run.

The interrelationship between international trade and economic growth has been extensively discussed in the literature. The findings of these studies are varied between positive and negative influences of trade to economic growth due to the various in analysis methodologies, time period, country situations (Khobai et al., 2018). Frankel and Romer (1999) used instrumental variables of geographic component proxy for trade to examine

the International trade openness and economic growth nexus. The result points out a positive correlation between trade openness and economic growth. Ma et al. (2019) found a positive contribution of trade openness to gross domestic product in china provinces. Hassen et al. (2013) established a positive relationship between openness and economic growth in Tunisia 1975 and 2010. Khobai et al. (2018) studied the association between openness and economic growth in Ghana and Nigeria 1980-2016 by using ARDL. The findings were mixed, the positive relationship was found in Ghana while the estimated result from Nigeria reveals that trade openness negatively influenced economic growth. Shahbaz (2012) confirms that trade openness shows a positive connection with economic growth in Pakistan 1971-2011 by applying ARDL. On the other hand, the negative linkage between trade openness and economic growth has been found among several studies. Vlastou (2010) found that trade openness negatively correlated to economic growth empirical data from 34 African countries 1960 to 2003. Kim et al. (2011) demonstrate that openness positively influenced economic growth in developed countries, however in developing countries trade openness is negatively interrelated to economic growth. Lawal et al. (2016) established that international trade associated negatively with economic growth in the long run while in the short term this relationship is found to be positive for the case of Nigeria by applying the ARDL model.

3. METHODOLOGY AND DATA

3.1. Data

The paper employed annual data from Sudan during 1975 to 2014. The model included three variables particularly electricity consumption, trade openness, and real GDP per capita. Per capita real GDP (constant 2010US\$) used to measure the growth of the economy, electric power consumption utilized as a proxy for electricity consumption, while trade as a percentage of GDP (trade % of GDP) used to capture trade openness. All the data are extracted from the World Bank Indicators (WBI), World Bank database. All the variables are converted into logarithmic form.

3.2. Model Specification

The paper applied the ARDL model, which provides helpful econometrics tools for analyzing the interrelationship among macroeconomic variables since it was developed Pesaran and Shin (1999) and Pesaran et al. (2001). The ARDL model ARDL to cointegration adopted to test the interrelationship among electricity consumption, trade openness, and real gross domestic product in Sudan. The ARDL model could be applied irrespective of whether whole the variables under consideration are integrated to order $I(0)$ or $I(1)$. However, this paper used the unit root tests to confirm that the overall variables under consideration are nonintegrated at the order $I(2)$. Therefore, Phillips-Perron (PP) and Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) tests were employed to check the stationary of the variables.

In order to examine the association among electricity consumption, trade openness, and economic growth. First, the simple functional formula to explain this relationship is presented in the following model:

$$GDP_t = F(EC_t, OP_t) \quad (1)$$

Where GDP refers to real gross domestic product per capita; EC: electricity consumption; and OP stands for trade openness; t is referred to time.

Equation (1) can be formulated into the logarithmic linear econometric model as following:

$$LnGDP_t = LnEC_t + LnOP_t + \mu_t \quad (2)$$

From equation 2 the ARDL model applied to test the linkage among electricity consumption, trade openness, and economic growth. The ARDL model is expressed in the following equation:

$$\Delta LnGDP = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=0}^q \gamma_i \Delta LnGDP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^q \delta_i \Delta LnEC_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^q \phi_i \Delta LnOP_{t-i} + \beta_1 LnGDP_{t-1} + \beta_2 LnEC_{t-1} + \beta_3 LnOP_{t-1} + \mu_t \quad (3)$$

Where Δ refers to the first difference operator; μ is an error term. Akaike information criterion (AIC) has been utilized to choose the optimal lag length. γ_i , δ_i , and ϕ_i are represent the coefficients of the short run; while β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 are utilized to examine the effect in the long run. To test the cointegration relationship among the variables; the null hypothesis of no cointegration relationship $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ tested in contradiction of the alternative hypothesis $H_1: \beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \neq \beta_3 \neq 0$ which indicate the existence of cointegration relationship among the variables. The establishment of a cointegration relationship among the model's variables gives enough evidence for the long term relationships among electricity consumption, international trade openness, and economic growth. Accordingly, the error correction model is useful to capture the short run influence of consumption of electricity and openness of trade on economic growth. Therefore, the error correction model (ECM) is presented in the following model:

$$\Delta LnGDP = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=0}^q \gamma_i \Delta LnGDP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^q \delta_i \Delta LnEC_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^q \phi_i \Delta LnOP_{t-i} + \psi ECM_{t-1} + v_t \quad (4)$$

ECM denotes the error correction term; ψ is the coefficient of error correction model which measures the convergence speed to equilibrium point; v_t is the error term.

Finally, the diagnostic tests have been conducted to test the stabilization of estimated coefficients in the long run and short run by using cumulative sum (CUSUM) of recursive residual and cumulative sum of squares (CUSUMSQ) of recursive residual tests.

4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

The main reason for conducting the unit root test is to confirm that the time series data have unit roots or not, in addition, to recognize

that all the variables are stationary at the level, the first difference, or at mix order of integration. For this purpose, the Augmented Dicky-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP) were applied. The results of ADF and PP are reported in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

Referring to Augmented Dickey-Fuller's result the null hypothesis has been rejected for gross domestic per capita and openness at level at 1% and 5% respectively meaning that real gross domestic product and trade openness are not content a unit root at level. On the other hand, the alternative hypothesis has been accepted at the first difference for and electricity consumption, trade openness, and real GDP. Accordingly, from the result of Phillips-Perron (PP), the null hypothesis cannot be rejected for real GDP, trade openness, and electricity consumption at 1% level; this provides enough evidence that real GDP, trade openness, and electricity consumption are stationary at first difference at 1% significance level. Likewise, for the purpose of testing the presence of cointegration relationship among electricity consumption, trade openness, and economic growth the cointegration bounds test has been applied. The results are summarized in Table 3.

The results of bounds test as in Table 3 provide enough evidence that null hypothesis of no cointegration relationship among electricity consumption, international trade openness, and economic growth has been rejected and accepted the alternative hypothesis as indicated by F-statistic (8.30) of bounds test which is exceeded the upper bounds test critical value (5) this illustrate the existence of cointegration relationship in the long term among electricity consumption, trade openness, and economic growth at 1% significance level. Once the cointegration relationship among the variables has been found the next step is to estimate the long

run effect of electricity consumption, international trade openness on economic growth in Sudan. The results are reported in Table 4.

Referring to the results in Table 4 the long run coefficients of electricity consumption and trade openness are found to have a positive connection with economic growth and significance at 1% and 5% level respectively. This results implying that 1 percentage rise in electricity consumption will reflect in economic growth rising by 0.76%. While the increase of trade openness by 1% will cause a 0.15% rise in economic growth. These results are consistent with (Opeyemi and Paul-Francois, 2019; Khan et al., 2018). However, inconsistent with (Acaravci et al., 2015; Ohlan, 2018) regarding trade openness. From these empirical results, the action toward high economic growth in Sudan is to use the electricity efficiently and the economy be more open. Following the long run process, the short run effect of electricity consumption, international trade openness on economic growth has been tested by utilizing the error correction model (ECM). The findings are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5 illustrates the results of the short term influence of electricity consumption and trade openness on economic growth through estimating the error correction model ECM. The ECM results explain that trade openness positively influenced economic growth. While electricity consumption found to have insignificant positive impact on economic growth. The error correction coefficient is significant at the 1% level with a negative sign as predicted. These findings explain that the short run variation of economic growth from equilibrium caused by electricity consumption and trade openness shock will be corrected automatically by 22.16% per year. The value of coefficient of determination (R^2) indicates that a 0.62% deviation in economic growth has been explained

Table 1: The results of unit root (ADF)

Constant and trend specification		At level		
		LOG(GDP)	LOG(EC)	LOG(OP)
With constant	t-Statistic	-0.4681	1.9446	-2.9711**
With constant and trend	t-Statistic	-5.2503***	-0.7665	-3.0455
Without constant and trend	t-Statistic	1.7700	2.4771	-0.5521
		At first difference		
		d(LOG[GDP])	d(LOG[EC])	d(LOG[OP])
With constant	t-Statistic	-1.1539	-5.7847***	-7.6720***
With constant and trend	t-Statistic	-7.7298***	-5.5318***	-7.5566***
Without constant and trend	t-Statistic	-0.1204	-2.2252**	-7.7522***

(*), (**), and (***) significant at 10%, 5%, and 1% respectively

Table 2: Phillips-Perron (PP) unit root test

Constant and trend specification		At level		
		LOG(GDP)	LOG(EC)	LOG(OP)
With constant	t-Statistic	2.1284	2.1345	-1.8086
With constant and trend	t-Statistic	-0.4429	-0.5299	-1.9149
Without constant and trend	t-Statistic	1.8933	2.4888	-0.6611
		At first difference		
		d(LOG[GDP])	d(LOG[EC])	d(LOG[OP])
With constant	t-Statistic	-4.9696***	-5.7679***	-7.5655***
With constant and trend	t-Statistic	-7.8166***	-6.7727***	-7.4592***
Without constant and trend	t-Statistic	-4.6758***	-5.2005***	-7.6419***

(***), (**), and (*), significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% respectively

Table 3: The cointegration bounds test results

Bounds test		Critical values of bounds test		
Test statistic	Value	Significant (%)	I(0)	I(1)
F-Statistic	8.302480	10	2.63	3.35
k	2	5	3.1	3.87
		2.5	3.55	4.38
		1	4.13	5

Table 4: The results of long run coefficients

Variable	Coefficient	Std. error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LOG(EC)	0.767577	0.226576	3.387721	0.0023
LOG(OP)	0.156175	0.077593	2.012745	0.0546
C	3.402739	0.815634	4.171896	0.0003

Table 5: The results of short run

Variables	Coefficients	Std. error	t-Statistic	Probability
DLOG(GDP[-1])	0.134772	0.120587	1.117631	0.2739
DLOG(GDP[-2])	0.091286	0.122051	0.747935	0.4612
DLOG(GDP[-3])	-0.457377	0.126831	-3.606196	0.0013
DLOG(EC)	0.034353	0.054492	0.630413	0.5339
DLOG(OP)	0.125806	0.027651	4.549840	0.0001
DLOG(OP[-1])	0.051072	0.027519	1.855907	0.0748
ECM(-1)	-0.221642	0.036417	-6.086200	0.0000
R-squared	0.621441	Adjusted R-squared		0.543118
Durbin-Watson stat.	2.258552			

Figure 1: Cumulative sum of recursive residual





Figure 2: Cumulative sum of squares of recursive residual



by the deviation in electricity consumption and trade openness. The value of Durbin-Watson test (2.25) confirms the absence of serial autocorrelation problem in the model.

The stability of the short run and long run of estimated coefficients gives robustness and reliability for the empirical analysis. Therefore, the diagnostic test has been conducted using cumulative sum (CUSUM) of recursive residuals and the cumulative sum of squares (CUSUMSQ) of recursive residuals. The results are illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.

Figures 1 and 2 explain that both cumulative sum of recursive residuals (CUSUM) and cumulative sum of squares of recursive residuals (CUSUMSQ) lines are not crossing the straight lines of critical bounds value at 5 significance level. This implied that the long and short run estimated coefficients are stable.

5. CONCLUSION

Electricity power considered as an essential factor to enhance economic activities, particularly in developing countries. Insufficient energy supply impeded economic activities, reduced economic growth, and restricted human well-being. This paper used the ARDL model to examine the long run and short run relationship among electricity consumption, international trade openness, and economic growth in Sudan data from 1975 to 2014. Bounds test result confirms the presence of cointegration relationships among electricity consumption, international trade openness, and economic growth. The empirical results reveal that electricity consumption and international trade openness have a positive significant long run relationship with economic growth at 1% and 5% level respectively. These findings suggest that electricity consumption and international trade openness have a substantial role to foster economic growth in Sudan. The electricity consumption in the short run shows an insignificant positive relationship with economic growth. However, trade openness is positively connected with economic growth. The coefficient of the error correction term is statistically significant at 1% level with an expected sign. This result indicates that the long run deviation from the equilibrium point due to the short run shock will be adjusted by 0.22% each year.

The findings of this paper suggest that Sudan's economy takes advantage of promoting electricity production and more open international trade. Therefore, the policies that support and encourage electricity generation for effective uses are highly recommended in order to improve the local production in the real sector, reducing environmental degradation, and boosting economic growth. The engagement in international trade creates opportunities for Sudan's economy to benefit from the comparative advantage in exporting some goods that have a comparative advantage in Sudan as well as to import modern technologies particularly, the machineries and equipment relating to agriculture and industrial sectors recently to improve economic growth.

REFERENCES

- Acaravcı, A., Erdogan, S., Akalin, G. (2015), The electricity consumption, real income, trade openness and FDI: The empirical evidence from Turkey. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 5(4), 1050-1057.
- Acaravci, A., Ozturk, I. (2012), Electricity consumption and economic growth nexus: A multivariate analysis for Turkey. *Amfiteatru Economic*, 14(31), 246-257.
- Ahamad, M.G., Islam, A.N. (2011), Electricity consumption and economic growth nexus in Bangladesh: Revisited evidences. *Energy Policy*, 39(10), 6145-6150.
- Al-Mulali, U., Fereidouni, H.G., Lee, J.Y. (2014), Electricity consumption from renewable and non-renewable sources and economic growth: Evidence from Latin American countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 30, 290-298.
- Aslan, A. (2014), Causality between electricity consumption and economic growth in Turkey: An ARDL bounds testing approach. *Energy Sources Part B: Economics Planning and Policy*, 9(1), 25-31.
- Awad, A., Yossof, I. (2016), Electricity production, economic growth and employment nexus in Sudan: A cointegration approach. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 6(1), 6-13.
- Bah, M.M., Azam, M. (2017), Investigating the relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth: Evidence from South Africa. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 80, 531-537.
- Bashier, A. (2016), Electricity consumption and economic growth in Jordan: Bounds testing cointegration approach. *European Scientific Journal*, 12(1), 429-443.
- Bayar, Y., Özel, H.A. (2014), Electricity consumption and economic growth in emerging economies. *Journal of Knowledge Management Economics and Information Technology*, 4(2), 1-18.
- Bekun, F.V., Agboola, M.O. (2019), Electricity consumption and economic growth nexus: Evidence from mali cointegration. *Energy Economics*, 30(1), 14-23.
- Bélaïd, F., Abderrahmani, F. (2013), Electricity consumption and economic growth in Algeria: A multivariate causality analysis in the presence of structural change. *Energy Policy*, 55, 286-295.
- Bildirici, M.E., Kayıkçı, F. (2012), Economic growth and electricity consumption in former Soviet republics. *Energy Economics*, 34(3), 747-753.
- Chandran, V.G.R., Sharma, S., Madhavan, K. (2010), Electricity consumption-growth nexus: The case of Malaysia. *Energy Policy*, 38(1), 606-612.
- Churchill, S.A., Ivanovski, K. (2019), Electricity consumption and economic growth across Australian states and territories. *Applied Economics*, 52, 1-13.
- Ciarreta, A., Zarraga, A. (2010), Economic growth-electricity consumption causality in 12 European countries: A dynamic panel data approach. *Energy Policy*, 38(7), 3790-3796.
- Elfaki, K.E., Poernomo, A., Anwar, N., Ahmad, A.A. (2018), Energy consumption and economic growth: Empirical evidence for Sudan. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 8(5), 35-41.
- Faisal, F., Tursoy, T., Resatoglu, N.G., Berk, N. (2018), Electricity consumption, economic growth, urbanization, and trade nexus: Empirical evidence from Iceland. *Economic Research Ekonomika Istraživanja*, 31(1), 664-680.
- Frankel, J.A., Romer, D.H. (1999), Does trade cause growth? *American Economic Review*, 89(3), 379-399.
- Hamdi, H., Sbiba, R., Shahbaz, M. (2014), The nexus between electricity consumption and economic growth in Bahrain. *Economic Modelling*, 38, 227-237.
- Hassen, S., Anis, O., Taha, Z., Yosra, S. (2013), Trade openness and economic growth: The case of Tunisia. *International Journal of Advances in Management and Economics*, 2(2), 24-32.
- Iyke, B.N. (2015), Electricity consumption and economic growth in Nigeria: A revisit of the energy-growth debate. *Energy Economics*, 51, 166-176.
- Iyke, B.N., Odhiambo, N.M. (2014), The dynamic causal relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in Ghana: A trivariate causality model. *Managing Global Transitions International Research Journal*, 12(2), 141-160.
- Kasperowicz, R. (2014), Electricity consumption and economic growth: Evidence from Poland. *Journal of International Studies*, 7(1), 46-57.
- Khan, S., Jam, F.A., Shahbaz, M., Mamun, M.A. (2018), Electricity consumption, economic growth, and trade openness in Kazakhstan: Evidence from cointegration and causality. *OPEC Energy Review*, 42(3), 224-243.
- Khobai, H., Kolisi, N., Moyo, C. (2018), The relationship between trade openness and economic growth: The case of Ghana and Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 8(1), 77-82.
- Kim, D.H., Lin, S.C., Suen, Y.B. (2011), Nonlinearity between trade openness and economic development. *Review of Development Economics*, 15(2), 279-292.
- Lawal, A.I., Nwanji, T.I., Asaleye, A., Ahmed, V. (2016), Economic growth, financial development, and trade openness in Nigeria: An application of the ARDL bound testing approach. *Cogent Economics and Finance*, 4(1), 1-15.
- Lu, W.C. (2017), Electricity consumption and economic growth: Evidence from 17 Taiwanese industries. *Sustainability*, 9(50), 1-15.
- Ma, J., Shi, J., Luo, D., Che, Y. (2019), Effect of trade openness on regional economic growth in China: Revisiting the discussion. *Applied Economics Letters*, 26, 1-4.
- Odhiambo, N.M. (2009), Electricity consumption and economic growth in South Africa: A trivariate causality test. *Energy Economics*, 31(5), 635-640.
- Ohlan, R. (2018), Relationship between electricity consumption, trade openness and economic growth in India. *OPEC Energy Review*, 42(4), 331-354.
- Opoyemi, A.Y., Paul-Francois, M. (2019), Electricity consumption, trade openness and economic growth in South Africa: An ARDL approach. *Journal of Economic Cooperation and Development*, 40(1), 135-156.
- Osman, M., Gachino, G., Hoque, A. (2016), Electricity consumption and economic growth in the GCC countries: Panel data analysis. *Energy Policy*, 98, 318-327.
- Ozturk, I., Acaravci, A. (2011), Electricity consumption and real GDP causality nexus: Evidence from ARDL bounds testing approach for 11 MENA countries. *Applied Energy*, 88(8), 2885-2892.
- Pao, H.T. (2009), Forecast of electricity consumption and economic growth in Taiwan by state space modeling. *Energy*, 34(11), 1779-1791.
- Pesaran, H.M., Shin, Y. (1999), Autoregressive distributed lag modelling approach to cointegration analysis. In: Storm, S., editor. *Econometrics and Economic Theory in the 20th Century: The Ragnar Frisch Centennial Symposium*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pesaran, M.H., Shin, Y., Smith, R.J. (2001), Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 16(3), 289-326.
- Polemis, M.L., Dagoumas, A.S. (2013), The electricity consumption and economic growth nexus: Evidence from Greece. *Energy Policy*, 62, 798-808.
- Shahbaz, M. (2012), Does trade openness affect long run growth? Cointegration, causality and forecast error variance decomposition tests for Pakistan. *Economic Modelling*, 29(6), 2325-2339.
- Shahbaz, M., Tang, C.F., Shabbir, M.S. (2011), Electricity consumption and economic growth nexus in Portugal using cointegration and causality approaches. *Energy Policy*, 39(6), 3529-3536.
- Shengfeng, X., Sheng, X., Tianxing, Z., Xuelli, Z. (2012), The relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in China. *Physics Procedia*, 24, 56-62.

- Tang, C.F. (2008), Are-examination of the relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in Malaysia. *Energy Policy*, 36(8), 3077-3085.
- Tang, C.F., Shahbaz, M., Arouri, M. (2013), Re-investigating the electricity consumption and economic growth nexus in Portugal. *Energy Policy*, 62, 1515-1524.
- Vlastou, I. (2010), Forcing Africa to open up to trade: Is it worth it? *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 44(1), 25-39.
- Zhong, X., Jiang, H., Zhang, C., Shi, R. (2019), Electricity consumption and economic growth nexus in China: An autoregressive distributed lag approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(14), 14627-14637.