


ISSN: 1412-033X
E-ISSN: 2085-4722

BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity

Volume 21 - Number 5 - May 2020



BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity
Volume 21 – Number 5 – May 2020

ISSN/E-ISSN:

1412-033X (printed edition), 2085-4722 (electronic)

EDITORIAL BOARD:

Abdel Fattah N.A. Rabou (Palestine), Agnieszka B. Najda (Poland), Ajay Kumar Gautam (India), Alan J. Lymbery (Australia), Annisa (Indonesia), Bambang H. Saharjo (Indonesia), Daiane H. Nunes (Brazil), Darlina Md. Naim (Malaysia), Ghulam Hassan Dar (India), Hassan Pourbabaei (Iran), Joko R. Witono (Indonesia), Kartika Dewi (Indonesia), Katsuhiko Kondo (Japan), Kusumadewi Sri Yulita (Indonesia), Livia Wanntorp (Sweden), M. Jayakara Bhandary (India), Mahdi Reyahi-Khoram (Iran), Mahendra K. Rai (India), Mahesh K. Adhikari (Nepal), Maria Panitsa (Greece), Mochamad A. Soendjoto (Indonesia), Mohib Shah (Pakistan), Mohamed M.M. Najim (Srilanka), Nurhasanah (Indonesia), Praptiwi (Indonesia), Rasool B. Tareen (Pakistan), Seyed Aliakbar Hedayati (Iran), Seyed Mehdi Talebi (Iran), Shahabuddin (Indonesia), Shahir Shamsir (Malaysia), Shri Kant Tripathi (India), Subhash C. Santra (India), Sugeng Budiharta (Indonesia), Sugiyarto (Indonesia), Taufiq Purna Nugraha (Indonesia), Yosep S. Mau (Indonesia)

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Sutarno

EDITORIAL MEMBERS:

English Editors: Graham Eagleton (grahameagleton@gmail.com), Suranto (surantouns@gmail.com); Technical Editor: Solichatun (solichatun_s@yahoo.com), Artini Pangastuti (pangastuti_tutut@yahoo.co.id); Distribution & Marketing: Rita Rakhmawati (oktia@yahoo.com); Webmaster: Ari Pitoyo (aripitoyo@yahoo.com)

MANAGING EDITORS:

Ahmad Dwi Setyawan (unsjournals@gmail.com)

PUBLISHER:

The Society for Indonesian Biodiversity

CO-PUBLISHER:

Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta

ADDRESS:

Jl. Ir. Sutami 36A Surakarta 57126. Tel. +62-271-7994097, Tel. & Fax.: +62-271-663375, email: editors@smujo.id

ONLINE:

biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id; smujo.id/biodiv



Society for Indonesia
Biodiversity



Sebelas Maret University
Surakarta

Published by Smujo International for The Society for Indonesian Biodiversity and Sebelas Maret University Surakarta

GUIDANCE FOR AUTHORS

Aims and Scope *Biodiversitas*, *Journal of Biological Diversity* or abbreviated as *Biodiversitas* encourages submission of manuscripts dealing with all biodiversity aspects of plants, animals and microbes at the level of the gene, species, and ecosystem as well as ethnobiology.

Article types The journal seeks original full-length research papers, reviews, and short communication. Manuscript of original research should be written in no more than 8,000 words (including tables and picture), or proportional with articles in this publication number. Review articles will be accommodated, while, short communication should be written at least 2,000 words, except for pre-study.

Submission The journal only accepts online submission, through open journal system (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/about/submissions>) or email to the editors at unsjournals@gmail.com. Submitted manuscripts should be the original works of the author(s). The manuscript must be accompanied by a cover letter containing the article title, the first name and last name of all the authors, a paragraph describing the claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge. Submission of a manuscript implies that the submitted work has not been published before (except as part of a thesis or report, or abstract); and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. When a manuscript written by a group, all authors should read and approve the final version of the submitted manuscript and its revision; and agree the submission of manuscripts for this journal. All authors should have made substantial contributions to the concept and design of the research, acquisition of the data and its analysis; drafting of the manuscript and correcting of the revision. All authors must be responsible for the quality, accuracy, and ethics of the work.

Ethics Author(s) must obedient to the law and/or ethics in treating the object of research and pay attention to the legality of material sources and intellectual property rights.

Copyright If and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the author(s) still hold the copyright and retain publishing rights without restrictions. Authors or others are allowed to multiply article as long as not for commercial purposes. For the new invention, authors are suggested to manage its patent before published.

Open access The journal is committed to free-open access that does not charge readers or their institutions for access. Readers are entitled to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of articles, as long as not for commercial purposes. The license type is CC-BY-NC-SA.

Acceptance The only articles written in English (U.S. English) are accepted for publication. Manuscripts will be reviewed by editors and invited reviewers(double blind review) according to their disciplines. Authors will generally be notified of acceptance, rejection, or need for revision within 1 to 2 months of receipt. The manuscript is rejected if the content does not in line with the journal scope, does not meet the standard quality, inappropriate format, complicated grammar, dishonesty (i.e. plagiarism, duplicate publications, fabrication of data, citations manipulation, etc.), or ignoring correspondence in three months. The primary criteria for publication are scientific quality and biodiversity significance. **Uncorrected proofs** will be sent to the corresponding author by email as .doc or .docx files for checking and correcting of typographical errors. To avoid delay in publication, corrected proofs should be returned in 7 days. The accepted papers will be published online in a chronological order at any time, but printed in the early of each month (12 times).

A charge Starting on January 1, 2019, publishing costs waiver is granted to authors of graduate students from **Least Developed Countries**, who first publish the manuscript in this journal. However, other authors are charged USD 250 (IDR 3,500,000). Additional charges may be billed for language editing, USD 75-150 (IDR 1,000,000-2,000,000).

Reprints The sample journal reprint is only available by special request. Additional copies may be purchased when ordering by sending back the uncorrected proofs by email.

Manuscript preparation Manuscript is typed on A4 (210x297 mm²) paper size, in a **single column**, single space, 10-point (10 pt) Times New Roman font. The margin text is 3 cm from the top, 2 cm from the bottom, and 1.8 cm from the left and right. Smaller lettering size can be applied in presenting table and figure (9 pt). Word processing program or additional software can be used, however, it must be PC compatible and Microsoft Word based (.doc or .rtf, not .docx). **Scientific names** of species (incl. subspecies, variety, etc.) should be written in italic, except for italic sentence. Scientific name (genera, species, author), and cultivar or strain should be mentioned completely for the first time mentioning it in the body text, especially for taxonomic manuscripts. Name of genera can be shortened after first mentioning, except generating confusion. Name of the author can be eliminated after first mentioning. For example, *Rhizopus oryzae* L. UICC 524, hereinafter can be written as *R. oryzae* UICC 524. Using trivial name should be avoided, otherwise generating confusion. **Biochemical and chemical nomenclature** should follow the order of the IUPAC - IUB. For DNA sequence, it is better used Courier New font. Symbols of standard chemical and abbreviation of chemistry name can be applied for common and clear used, for example, completely written butilic hydroxyl toluene (BHT) to be BHT hereinafter. **Metric measurement** use IS denomination, usage other system should follow the value of equivalent with the denomination of IS first mentioning. Abbreviations set of, like g, mg, mL, etc. do not follow by dot. Minus index (m⁻², L⁻¹, h⁻¹) suggested to be used, except in things like "per-plant" or "per-plot". **Equation of mathematics** does not always can be written

down in one column with text, in that case can be written separately. **Number** one to ten are expressed with words, except if it relates to measurement, while values above them written in number, except in early sentence. The fraction should be expressed in decimal. In the text, it should be used "%" rather than "percent". Avoid expressing ideas with complicated sentence and verbiage, and used efficient and effective sentence.

Title of the article should be written in compact, clear, and informative sentence, preferably not more than 20 words. Name of author(s) should be completely written. **Name and institution** address should also be completely written with street name and number (location), postal code, telephone number, facsimile number, and email address. Manuscript written by a group, author for correspondence along with address is required. First page of the manuscript is used for writing above information.

Abstract should not be more than 200 words. **Keywords** is about five words, covering scientific and local name (if any), research theme, and special methods which used; and sorted from A to Z. All important **abbreviations** must be defined at their first mention. **Running title** is about five words. **Introduction** is about 400-600 words, covering the background and aims of the research. **Materials and Methods** should emphasize on the procedures and data analysis. **Results and Discussion** should be written as a series of connecting sentences, however, for manuscript with long discussion should be divided into subtitles. Thorough discussion represents the causal effect mainly explains for why and how the results of the research were taken place, and do not only re-express the mentioned results in the form of sentences. **Concluding** sentence should be given at the end of the discussion. **Acknowledgments** are expressed in a brief; all sources of institutional, private and corporate financial support for the work must be fully acknowledged, and any potential conflicts of interest are noted.

Figures and Tables of maximum of three pages should be clearly presented. Title of a picture is written down below the picture, while title of a table is written above the table. Colored figures can only be accepted if the information in the manuscript can lose without those images; chart is preferred to use black and white images. Author could consign any picture or photo for the front cover, although it does not print in the manuscript. All images property of others should be mentioned source. **There is no appendix**, all data or data analysis are incorporated into Results and Discussions. For broad data, it can be displayed on the website as a supplement.

References Author-year citations are required. In the text give the authors name followed by the year of publication and arrange from oldest to newest and from A to Z. In citing an article written by two authors, both of them should be mentioned, however, for three and more authors only the first author is mentioned followed by et al., for example: Saharjo and Nurhayati (2006) or (Boonkerd 2003a, b, c; Sugiyarto 2004; El-Bana and Nijs 2005; Balagadde et al. 2008; Webb et al. 2008). Extent citation as shown with word "et al." should be avoided. Reference to unpublished data and personal communication should not appear in the list but should be cited in the text only (e.g., Rifai MA 2007, pers. com. (personal communication); Setyawan AD 2007, unpublished data). In the reference list, the references should be listed in an alphabetical order (better, if only 20 for research papers). Names of journals should be abbreviated. Always use the standard abbreviation of a journal's name according to the **ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations** (www.issn.org/2-22661-LTWA-online.php). The following examples are for guidance.

Journal:

Saharjo BH, Nurhayati AD. 2006. Domination and composition structure change at hemic peat natural regeneration following burning; a case study in Pelalawan, Riau Province. *Biodiversitas* 7: 154-158.

Book:

Rai MK, Carpinella C. 2006. Naturally Occurring Bioactive Compounds. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Chapter in book:

Webb CO, Cannon CH, Davies SJ. 2008. Ecological organization, biogeography, and the phylogenetic structure of rainforest tree communities. In: Carson W, Schnitzer S (eds) *Tropical Forest Community Ecology*. Wiley-Blackwell, New York.

Abstract:

Assaeed AM. 2007. Seed production and dispersal of *Rhazya stricta*. 50th annual symposium of the International Association for Vegetation Science, Swansea, UK, 23-27 July 2007.

Proceeding:

Alikodra HS. 2000. Biodiversity for development of local autonomous government. In: Setyawan AD, Sutarno (eds.) *Toward Mount Lawu National Park; Proceeding of National Seminary and Workshop on Biodiversity Conservation to Protect and Save Germplasm in Java Island*. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, 17-20 July 2000. [Indonesian]

Thesis, Dissertation:

Sugiyarto. 2004. Soil Macro-invertebrates Diversity and Inter-Cropping Plants Productivity in Agroforestry System based on Sengon. [Dissertation]. Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. [Indonesian]

Information from internet:

Balagadde FK, Song H, Ozaki J, Collins CH, Barnet M, Arnold FH, Quake SR, Yoo L. 2008. A synthetic *Escherichia coli* predator-prey ecosystem. *Mol Syst Biol* 4: 187. www.molecularsystemsbiology.com

Diversity of soil organic carbon and water characteristics under different vegetation types in northern Bengkulu, Indonesia
BANDI HERMAWAN, HERY SUHARTOYO, BAMBANG SULISTYO, BAMBANG GONGGO MURCITRO, WELLY HERMAN



Distribution of *Gyrinops versteegii* (Gilg.) Domke in varying vegetation structures, soil properties, and microclimates in Manggarai, Flores, East Nusa Tenggara
TITUT YULISTYARINI, ABBAN PUTRI FIQA, SUGENG BUDIHARTA, RIDESTI RINDYASTUTI



Short Communication: Isolation and characterization of the endophytic bacteria and their potential as maize diseases control
ENDANG MUGIASTUTI, SUPRAYOGI, NUR PRIHATININGSIH, LOEKAS SOESANTO



Coral transplantation on a multilevel substrate of Artificial Patch Reefs: effect of fixing methods on the growth rate of two *Acropora* species
MUNASIK, AGUS SABDONO, AZELIA N. ASSYFA, DIAH PERMATA WUAYANTI, SUGIYANTO, IRWANI, IRWANI, RUDHI PRIBADI



VISITORS
318,871 6,711
19,569 5,950
14,120 4,761
11,762 3,709
7,389 3,377
FLAG

Short Communication: Isolation and characterization of the endophytic bacteria, and their potential as maize diseases control

ENDANG MUGIASTUTI[✉], SUPRAYOGI, NUR PRIHATININGSIH, LOEKAS SOESANTO

Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman. Jl. Dr. Suparno, Karangwangkal, Purwokerto Utara, Banyumas 53123, Central Java, Indonesia.
Tel./fax.: +62-281-638791, ✉email: endangmugiastuti@gmail.com.

Manuscript received: 13 November 2019. Revision accepted: 6 April 2020.

Abstract. Mugiastuti E, Suprayogi, Prihatiningsih N, Soesanto L. 2020. Short Communication: Isolation And Characterization Of The Endophytic Bacteria, And Their Potential As Maize Diseases Control. *Biodiversitas* 21: 1809-1815. Sheath blight and bacterial wilt are diseases that can reduce maize production. Biological control with the endophytic bacteria offers environmentally friendly control for these pathogens. The study aimed to isolate and characterize the endophytic bacteria morphologically and biochemically and to study their potential to control maize diseases, especially sheath blight and bacterial wilt causing pathogens. The study was conducted at the Plant Protection Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Jenderal Soedirman University, from April to August 2019. The study consisted of four stages: isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria, the antagonism test of the endophytic bacteria to *R. solani*, the antagonism test of the endophytic bacteria to *Pantoea* sp., and the mechanical test of the endophytic bacteria as biological control agents and plant growth-promoting bacteria. Based on the research, four endophytic bacteria isolates have been successfully isolated, and characterized successfully and found have the potential to be developed as biopesticides to control maize disease, especially *R. solani* and *Pantoea* sp. *Bacillus* sp. endophytic from the root (BK.A1; BK.A3; PP.A5) and *Bacillus* sp. endophytic from the stem (PPD.B2) can suppress the growth of *R. solani* by more than 50%, have a strong antagonistic index against *Pantoea* sp (> 4), and can produce protease and lipase enzyme, and phosphate solubilization.

Keywords: *Bacillus*, fluorescent *Pseudomonas*, *Pantoea*, *Rhizoctonia solani*

INTRODUCTION

Maize is a strategic food commodity in the world. In Indonesia, the government seeks to achieve self-sufficiency in maize through increasing production of sustainable maize. However, these efforts have faced several obstacles; one of them is the presence of plant diseases such as sheath blight caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn, and bacterial wilt caused by *Pantoea stewartii*. *R. solani* can infect up to the midrib of the cob (Djaenuddin et al. 2017), resulting in up to 100% decrease in the yield (Muis 2007). *Pantoea* sp. can attack all stages of the plant causing wilting and leaf blight, and is known as Stewart's wilt (Pataky 2004; Ammar et al. 2014). The pathogens can cause 40-100% yield loss.

Over the past 3 decades, the concept of sustainable and environmentally friendly agriculture has been carried out by minimizing the use of chemicals, both synthetic fertilizers, and pesticides. In the management of pests and plant diseases, biological control is developed by applying biological agents including endophytic bacteria (Shanti and Vittal 2013). Many endophytic bacteria can pass the endodermic barrier across from the root cortex to the vascular system, and subsequently develop as endophytes in stems, leaves, tubers, and other organs (Compant et al. 2005). The use of endophytic bacteria as biological agents has an advantage compared to rhizosphere bacteria because

endophytic bacteria live and survive in the plant tissue during plant development, thus protecting the plants.

Bacillus sp. and fluorescent *Pseudomonas* are reported to be able to live as endophytes and are widely used as biological control agents for soil-borne and air-borne diseases. The endophytic bacteria could control plant diseases through several mechanisms including competition, hyperparasitism, producing microbial inhibiting compounds (antibiotics, lysis enzymes, other physical or chemical disorders), enhancing plant resistance, and promoting plant growth (Compant et al 2005, Pal and McSpadden-Gardener 2006; Rosenblueth and Martinez-Romero 2006).

Based on the mechanisms, the use of endophytic bacteria isolated from maize, both upland and lowland, suggested potentially alternative control for sheath blight (*R. solani*) and bacterial wilt (*Pantoea* sp). The research aimed to isolate and characterize morphologically and biochemically the endophytic bacteria as well as their potential to control pathogens that cause disease in maize especially *R. solani* and *Pantoea* sp.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was conducted at the Laboratory of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Jenderal Soedirman

University, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia, from April to August 2019

Isolation *Rhizoctonia solani*

Rhizoctonia solani was isolated from maize with sheath blight symptoms and there was sclerotium as a resistant structure from the pathogenic fungi in Banyumas. *R. solani* isolation was carried out based on Al-Fadhal et al. 2019. Disease samples were cut 0.5 x 0.5 cm, then sterilized with NaOCl (1%) for 2 min, and rinsed with sterile water 3 times. Disease samples pieces were then dried using sterile filter papers, and transferred to Petri dishes containing PDA medium to obtain pure *R. solani* isolates.

Isolation *Pantoea* sp.

Pantoea sp. was isolated from diseased maize samples taken from the maize growing area in Banyumas Regency according to Coplin et al. (2012); Aini et al. (2013) and Desi et al. (2014). Diseased leaves or stems were washed with running water, then dried with a tissue. Diseased samples were cut 1.5 x 5 cm, then sterilized with ethanol 70% and rinsed with sterile water 3 times. Furthermore, the sample was crushed with 5 ml of sterile distilled water using a sterile mortar. The bacterial suspension was streaked on nutrient agar and incubated 3-5 days. Bacterial colonies that exhibit the character of *Pantoea* sp. were yellow, shiny, slimy, flat or convex, then separated as pure cultures of *P. stewartii* candidates. The culture was then tested by Gram Reaction (KOH test), Hugh-Leifson test, pigment production in YDC medium, oxidase test, hypersensitivity test, and pathogenicity test on maize.

Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria

Sampling for the isolation of endophytic bacteria was carried out in Banyumas and Purbalingga, Central Java, Indonesia, with purposive stratified random sampling. Samples were taken from two areas of altitude, i.e., low-moderate lands (0-500 m above sea level), and highlands (> 500 m above sea level) (Nuryanto et al., 2014). In each district, 2 locations were selected for the low-medium lands, and 1 location for the highlands. Age of maize plants was 20-30 days after planting, when the number of endophytic microbial populations that can be cultured is in the highest population (Cavaglieri et al. 2009).

The endophytic bacteria were isolated from the roots and stems of healthy maize plants. Roots and stems were washed, sterilized with 70% ethanol (1 minute), 20% sodium hypochlorite (5 minutes) and Ringer's thiosulfate solution (5 minutes). Separately, the roots and stems of 10 g each were crushed with 90 ml PBS on a sterile mortar. Subsequently, samples were plated on NA and Kings B media (Cavaglieri et al. 2009). To isolate *Bacillus* sp., the suspension was heated for 10 minutes at 80 ° C, before plating on NA. Bacterial isolates were further purified and characterized, such as morphological characteristics, gram properties, catalase tests, and hypersensitivity tests

The antagonism test of endophytic bacterial to *Rhizoctonia solani*

The antagonism test of endophytic bacteria on *R. solani* was carried out using the dual culture method. The level of inhibition of antagonist is calculated using the formula (Abidin et al. 2015).

$$I = \frac{C-T}{C} \times 100\%$$

Where:

I : The level of inhibition of antagonist (%)

C: The radius of pathogen colonies opposite antagonist

T: The radius of the colony of pathogens towards antagonist

The antagonism test of endophytic bacterial to *Pantoea* sp.

Antagonism testing was carried out using the double-layer test method (Santiago et al. 2015). Endophytic bacteria to be tested were grown on the nutrient agar medium, incubated at 28 °C for 48 hours. In the upside-down position, 1 ml of chloroform was added to the cup lid and left for 2 hours. Next, add 5 mL so that 0.6% water containing 0.5 mL of *Pantoea* sp. bacterial suspension. The culture was re-incubated for 24 hours, and there were clear zones around the antagonistic bacterial colony. The antibiotic activity was assessed based on the diameter of the clear zone compared to the diameter of the colony. Characterization of the type of antibiosis can be divided into bactericidal and bacteriostatic types based on Djatmiko et al. (2007).

The mechanism test of endophytic bacteria as controlling agents biological and plant growth-promoting microbial

The testing mechanism of endophytic bacteria was carried out for bacteria that have the potential in testing the antagonism of the fungus *R. solani* and *Pantoea* sp.

Protease test

The activity of the ability of antagonistic bacteria to produce extracellular protease enzymes was tested using Skim Milk Agar (SMA) medium. Each bacterium to be tested was grown in a medium SMA and incubated at 28 °C for 24-48 hours. The presence of clear zones around the colony shows that positive bacteria produce protease enzymes (Abed et al. 2016). The protease activity index is assessed based on the diameter of the clear zone compared to the diameter of the colony.

$$\text{Protease index} = \frac{(\text{clear zone diameter} - \text{colony diameter})}{\text{Colony diameter}}$$

Lipase test

Detection of the ability of bacteria to produce the enzyme lipase was done by growing the antagonistic bacteria on a medium containing 1% Tween 80. The presence of lipase enzyme activity was demonstrated by milky white sediment around the bacterial colony, after incubating at 28 °C for 4-7 days. The lipolytic index was measured using a formula Djuric et al. (2011).

$$\text{Lipolytic index} = \frac{(\text{Milky white diameter-colony diameter})}{\text{Colony diameter}}$$

Phosphatase test

Detection of the ability of bacteria to produce the enzyme phosphatase was done by growing bacterial isolates on Pikovskaya medium. After incubating for 7 days at 28 °C, the presence of a clear zone around the bacterial colony shows that the bacteria has the ability to produce the phosphatase enzyme to dissolve phosphates. The solubility index is measured using a formula (Farooq and Bano 2013)

$$\text{Phosphatase Index} = \frac{(\text{Clear zone diameter-Colony diameter})}{\text{Colony diameter}}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria

The results of the exploration, isolation, and characterization of endophytic bacteria obtained 23 isolates of endophytic bacteria, consisted of 9 isolates of the fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and 14 isolates of *Bacillus* sp. (Table 1). Fluorescent *Pseudomonas* colony on King's B was round, with a flat edge, and yellowish-white, to greenish-yellow, gram-negative, rod-shaped, non-spore and fluorescent under ultraviolet light. Singh et al. 2017 reported fluorescent *Pseudomonas* showed light green, yellowish, creamy, circular, slimy, regular-irregular characteristics. Bacteria have short-long rod forms. The Fluorescent *Pseudomonas* isolates is gram-negative, which can form catalase, a positive oxidase, needed to grow aerobes. *Bacillus* sp. was observed with a spherical colony having cell rod-shaped, gram-positive, and endospores within cells (Table 1.). Slepecky and Hempill (2006); Amin et al. (2015) reported *Bacillus* sp. has the characteristics of a circular colony and punctiform (small round), variations in the entire margin and lobate, white dull, non-slimy, gram-positive, has endospores, flagellum and some are motile.

Based on its distribution, fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* sp. found in all sampling locations, high or low-medium lands. This shows that fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* sp. spread and can live in various altitudes, both high and low-medium land. Bacon and Hilton 2002; Ganeshan and Kumar 2005 reported *P. fluorescens* and *Bacillus* sp., are species of bacteria with a wide range of life and are very adaptive in various environments. Both types of bacteria are also found in the roots or corn stalks. *Bacillus* sp. and *Pseudomonas* sp. including a group of endophytic bacteria have a wide range of life and more

isolated in maize (Ganeshan and Kumar 2005; Orole and Adejumo 2011; Costa et al. 2013)

Antagonism test between the endophytic bacteria against *R. solani*

Based on the results of *in vitro* tests (Table 2), 24 isolates of the endophytic bacteria were able to inhibit the growth of *R. solani*, with varying degrees of inhibition. The endophytic bacteria that have inhibition rates above 50%, i.e. fluorescent *Pseudomonas* BK.A1 (51%), *Bacillus* sp. B.K.A1 (55.39%), *Bacillus* sp. BK.A3 (51.52%), PP.A5 (50.66%), and PPD.B2 (50.8%). The effect of the endophytic bacteria in inhibiting the growth of *R. solani* is inversely proportional to the dry weight mycelium. The greater the percentage of inhibition of endophytic bacteria to the growth of *R. solani*, the smaller the dry weight mycelium (Table 2). Endophytic bacteria can inhibit the growth of *R. solani*, which were shown by the inhibitory zone in the area bordering the bacterial streak (Figure 1.A).

The endophytic bacteria have anti-pathogenic properties and can produce antibiotic compounds. The ability of the endophytic bacteria to control plant pathogens occurs through the mechanism of antibiosis, competition, lysis, inducing resistance and producing growth substances. Bacteria capable of producing secondary metabolites that can inhibit growth or damage pathogens (Hastuti et al. 2014). These compounds, including alkaloids, phenols, flavonoids, glycosides, and phytoalexin (Soesanto et al. 2010). Fluorescent *Pseudomonas* can produce various types of antibiotics including phenazine-1-carboxylic acid, pyocyanin, pyrrolnitrin, and pyoluteorin, 2,4-diacetyl phloroglucinol (Phl). Phl is a phenolic metabolite with antibacterial and antifungal (Jain and Das 2016). *Bacillus* species can produce various kinds of volatile compounds and diffusible with strong inhibitory activity against plant pathogens (Lim et al. 2017).




Figure 1. Antagonism test between the endophytic bacteria against *R. solani* (A) and *Pantoea* sp. (B)

Table 1. Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria.

Land	Sampling location	Sample	Gram test	Catalase test	Oxidase test	Colony morphology	Colony pigment	Fluorescence on KB medium	Cell morphology	Endospores	Isolate
Highland	Purbalingga, Pratin 7.13'33" S, 109.17'21" E, 1.190 m asl	Root	-	+	+	Round	yellowish-white	+	Medium rod	-	fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PP.A1
		Root	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Small rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PP.A3
		Root	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Medium rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PP.A5
		Stem	-	+	+	Round	yellowish-white	+	Medium rod	-	fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PP. B4
	Banyumas, Baturaden 7.19"1" S, 109.14'29" E, 520 m asl	Root	-	+	+	Round	Greenish-yellow	+	Medium rod	-	fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> BB.A2
		Root	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Small rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BB.A3
		Stem	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Medium rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BB.B4
Medium-Lowland	Banyumas, Sumbang 7.21'54" S, 109.17'33"E, 200 m asl	Root	-	+	+	Round	yellowish-white	+	Medium rod	-	fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> BS.A2
		Root	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Medium rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BS.A1
		Root	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Small rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BS.A3
		Stem	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Small rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BS. B1
	Purbalingga, Bojongsari 7.20'12" S, 109.20'22" E, 190 m asl	Root	-	+	+	Round	Greenish-yellow	+	Small rod	-	fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PB. A 4
		Stem	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Small rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PB. B1
		Stem	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Medium rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BB. B3
	Purbalingga, Padamara 7.22'28" S, 109.13'24" E, 180 m asl	Root	-	+	+	Round	Greenish-yellow	+	Small rod	-	fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PPD A1
		Stem	-	+	+	Round	yellowish white	+	Medium rod	-	fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PPD. B1
		Stem	-	+	+	Round	yellowish-white	+	Medium rod	-	fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PPD. B5
		Stem	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Small rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PPD. B2
		Stem	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Small rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PPD. B4
	Banyumas, Kembaran 7.23'47" S, 109.17'9" E, 110 m asl	Root	-	+	+	Round	yellowish-white	+	Medium rod	-	fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> BK. A1
		Root	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Medium rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BK.A1
		Root	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Medium rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BK.A3
		Stem	+	+	+	Round	White	-	Medium rod	+	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BK.B3

Table 2. Inhibition of endophytic bacteria against *R. solani*.

Isolate	Inhibition rate (%)	Dry weight mycelium
Control	0	0.093
Endophytic bacteria from the root		
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> BB.A2	49.00	0.038
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> BS.A 2	45.00	0.027
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> BK.A1	51.00	0.017
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PPD.A1	10.33	0.059
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PP.A1	38.33	0.017
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PB.A4	18.00	0.037
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.BB.A3	40.42	0.030
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.BS.A1	48.73	0.016
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BSA3	37.42	0.039
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. B.K.A1	55.39	0.002
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. B.K.A3	51.52	0.003
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.PP.A3	46.65	0.019
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.PP.A5	50.66	0.009
Endophytic bacteria from the stem		
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PPD.B1	27.00	0.020
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PPD.B5	49.33	0.013
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PP.B4	65.67	0.004
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BB.B4	44.44	0.026
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BS.B1	49.74	0.012
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BK. B3	40.36	0.031
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.PPD.B2	50.8	0.007
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PPD.B4	39.44	0.036
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PB.B1	37.29	0.047
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PB.B3	44.9	0.022

The endophytic bacterial antagonism test against *Pantoea* sp.

The results of antagonism between the endophytic bacteria and *Pantoea* sp. show varied results. The endophytic bacteria that can inhibit bacterial growth were indicated by the presence of clear zones around the endophytic bacterial colonies (Figure1). From the nine isolate fluorescent, *Pseudomonas* were tested, only three isolates were able to inhibit the growth of the *Pantoea* sp., i.e fluorescent *Pseudomonas* (Pf) BS.A2, Pf BK.A1 Pf PPD.B5. While the isolates Pf BB.A2, Pf PPD.A1, Pf PP.A1, Pf PPD.B1, and Pf PP.B4 are not able to inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria. Meanwhile, all isolate *Bacillus* sp. tested (thirteen isolates) were able to inhibit the growth of *Pantoea* sp. (Table 3).

The presence of clear zones around endophytic bacterial colonies showed the ability of endophytic bacteria to produce antibiotics to inhibit the growth of *Pantoea* sp. *P. fluorescens* P60 can produce antibiotics that inhibit the growth of pathogens (Soesanto 2011). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* is reported to produce phenazine-1-carboxylic acid (PCA) and other derivatives, 2,4 diacetyl phloroglucinol (Phl), pyrrolnitrin (PRN) and or pyoluteorin (Plt) (Heydari and Pessarakli 2010). Nasrun and Burhanudin (2016) mention that *P. fluorescens* produce secondary metabolites, i.e. antimicrobial, cyanide acid and 2,4-diacetyl phloroglucinol phenazine, pyrrolnitrin, pyoluteorin antibiotics.


Table 3. Inhibition of endophytic bacteria against *Pantoea* sp.

Isolate	Antagonism	Antagonism index	Antagonism category*	Antagonism activity
Endophytic bacteria from the root				
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> BB.A2	-	0	-	-
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> BS.A 2	+	4.91	Strong	Bacteriostatic
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> BK.A1	+	4.42	Strong	Bacteriostatic
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PPD.A1	-	0	-	-
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PP.A1	-	0	-	-
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PB.A4	+	5.29	Strong	Bactericidal
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.BB.A3	+	8.17	Strong	Bacteriostatic
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.BS.A1	+	4.00	Strong	Bacteriostatic
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BSA3	+	5.07	Strong	Bactericidal
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. B.K.A1	+	4.01	Strong	Bacteriostatic
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. B.K.A3	+	4.91	Strong	Bacteriostatic
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.PP.A3	+	6.63	Strong	Bactericidal
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.PP.A5	+	6.56	Strong	Bactericidal
Endophytic bacteria from the stem				
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PPD.B1	-	0	-	-
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PPD.B5	+	5.86	Strong	Bactericidal
fluorescent <i>Pseudomonas</i> PP.B4	-	0	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BB.B4	+	7.80	Strong	Bactericidal
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BS.B1	+	6.22	Strong	Bacteriostatic
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. BK. B3	+	5.33	Strong	Bacteriostatic
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.PPD.B2	+	5.00	Strong	Bacteriostatic
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PPD.B4	+	8.75	Strong	Bacteriostatic
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PB.B1	+	1.67	Weak	Bacteriostatic
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PB.B3	+	5.67	Strong	Bactericidal

Note: •Based on Davis and Stout (1971)

Table 4. Test results of proteases, lipases and phosphate solubilization

Isolate	Protease test		Lipase test		Phosphate solubilization	
	Activity	Index	Activity	Index	Activity	Index
Endophytic bacteria from the root						
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. B.K.A1	+	3.75	+	3.23	+	1.17
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. B.K.A3	+	3.20	+	3.73	+	1.27
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. PP.A5	+	5.00	+	4.40	+	1.46
Endophytic bacteria from the stem						
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.PPD.B2	+	3.00	+	3.90	+	2.60

**Figure 2.** Hydrolysis enzyme activity, (A) protease, (B) lipase and (C) phosphate solubilization

The level of bacteria's ability to inhibit growth can be shown by the large diameter of the clear zone. The results showed that the antagonism index ranged from 1.67-8.17. Based on this index, most endophytic bacteria have a strong antagonism (index of antagonism > 4) (Davis and Stout 1971). Furthermore, bacterial isolates that showed antagonistic activity were tested for types of antagonism based on Djatmiko (2007). Based on the type of antagonistic activity, ten isolates the endophytic bacteria were bacteriostatic and nine isolates the endophytic bacteria were bactericidal. Bacteriostatic activity, growth inhibition is temporary, it is shown that regrowth of bacteria after being transferred to a new medium, which is free from the influence of antagonistic bacteria. Bactericidal activity, inhibition is permanent. Bacteria were unable to grow even though they are transferred to new medium.

Test the mechanism of endophytic bacteria as biological control agents and plant growth-promoting microbes

The mechanism test was carried out on endophytic bacteria that have the potential to control the fungus *R. solani* and *Pantoea* sp., i.e. *Bacillus* sp. B.K.A1, *Bacillus* sp. B.K.A3, *Bacillus* sp. PP.A5, *Bacillus* sp. PPD.B2. The production of compounds related to biocontrol of pathogens and promotion of plant growth in bacterial isolates was evaluated by measuring the production of antimicrobial compounds and hydrolytic enzymes (amylases, lipases, proteases, and chitinases) and phosphate solubilization. The results of enzyme activity tests are as shown in Table 4. The four isolates *Bacillus* sp. tested were able to produce protease, lipase and phosphatase enzymes, with varied activity indexes. All isolates of *Bacillus* sp.

those tested had a high index of protease and lipase enzymes (> 3) (Table 4, Figure 2). Protease and lipase enzymes, related to the ability of the endophytic bacteria as biological control agents. Based on the protease and lipase indexes, *Bacillus* sp. PP.A5 can produce the highest proteases and lipase enzymes compared to other isolates. The isolates that have high protein and fat hydrolysis enzymes have the potential as biological control agents because proteins and fats are constituents of pathogen cells (Mota et al 2016). Besides, the protease enzyme is thought to degrade antibiotics produced by fungal or bacterial pathogens. According to Anderson et al. (2014), the extracellular protease enzyme produced by *P. fluorescens* can inactivate antibiotic compounds produced by *Pantoea agglomerans*.

Bacillus sp. PPD.B2 has the highest phosphate solubility index. The phosphate solubilization is related to the ability of endophytic bacteria as a plant growth promoter, providing phosphates for plants. Microbes with high phosphate solubility activity are capable of producing and releasing metabolites such as organic acids that chelate cations that are bound to phosphate (especially calcium) and converting them into soluble forms. Solubilization of different forms of phosphate by microbes associated with plants, and increasing its availability for plants, will increase growth and production of the plant (Djuric et al., 2011).

In conclusion, based on research carried out, it has been successfully isolated, morphologically and biochemically characterized four the endophytic bacteria that have the potential to be developed as biopesticides to control maize disease, especially *R. solani* and *Pantoea* sp. They can suppress the growth of *R.solani* by more than 50%, have a

strong antagonistic index against *Pantoea* sp (> 4), and can produce protease and lipase enzyme, and phosphate solubilization.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was a part of the main study funded by Doctoral Dissertation Grant from the Indonesian Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education; for that, I deeply thank for the financial support.

REFERENCES

- Abed HN, Rouag N, Mouatassef D, Rouabhi A. 2016. Screening for *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* antagonistic rhizobacteria strains for the biocontrol of fusarium wilt of chickpea. *Eurasian J Soil Sci* 5 (3): 182-191.
- Abidin Z, Aini LQ, Abadi AL. 2015. Effect of *Bacillus* sp. and *Pseudomonas* sp. On the growth of the pathogenic fungus *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. causes of seedling diseases in soybean plants. *Jurnal HPT* 3 (1): 1-10.
- Aini LQ, Suryani L, Sugiharto AN, Abadi A. 2013. Identification of bacterial wilt and leaf blight disease on maize (*Zea Mays*) found in Kediri, Indonesia. *Agrivita* 35 (1): 1-7.
- Al-Fadhal FA, AL-Abedy AN, Alkhafijel DA. 2019. Isolation and molecular identification of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium solani* isolated from cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) and their control feasibility by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *Egypt J Biol Pest Control* 29 (47): 1-11. DOI: 10.1186/s41938-019-0145-5
- Amin M, Rakhisi Z, Ahmady AZ. 2015. Isolation and Identification of *Bacillus* Species From Soil and Evaluation of Their Antibacterial Properties. *Avicenna J Clin Microb Infec* 2 (1): e23233. DOI: 10.17795/ajcmi-23233.
- Ammar, E, Correa VR, Hogenhout SA, Redinbaugh MG. 2014. Immunofluorescence localization and ultrastructure of Stewart's wilt disease bacterium *Pantoea stewartii* in maize leaves and in its flea beetle vector *Chaetocnema pulicaria* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *J Microsc Ultrastructure* 2: 28-33.
- Anderson LM, Stockwell VO, Loper JE. 2004. An extracellular protease of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* inactivates antibiotics of *Pantoea agglomerans*. *Phytopathology* 94: 1228-1234
- Bacon CW, Hinton DM. 2002. Endophytic and Biological Control Potential Of *Bacillus Mojavensis* And Related Species. *Biol Control* 23: 274-284.
- Cavaglieri L, Orlando J, Etcheverry M. 2009. Rhizosphere microbial community structure at different maize plant growth stages and root locations. *Microbiol Res* 164 (4): 391-399.
- Compant SB, Duffy, Nowak J, Clement C, Barka EA. 2005. Use of plant growth-promoting bacteria for biocontrol of plant diseases: principles, mechanism of action, and future prospects. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71 (9): 4951-4959.
- Coplin DL, Redinbaugh MG. 2012. The bacterium *Pantoea stewartii* uses two different Type III secretion systems to colonize its plant host and insect vector. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 78 (17): 6327-6336.
- Costa FG, Zucchi TD, de Melo IS. 2013. Biological control of phytopathogenic fungi by endophytic actinomycetes isolated from maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Braz. Arch Biol Technol* 56 (6): 948-955.
- Davis WW, Stout TR. 1971. Disc plate methods of microbiological antibiotic assay. *Appl Microbiol* 22 (4): 659-665.
- Desi Y, Habazar T, Agustian, Khairul U, Syamsuwirman, Novia P. 2014. Morphological and physiological characterization of *Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* from maize. *Jurnal Fotopatologi Indonesia* 10 (2): 45-52. DOI: 10.14692/jfi.10.2.45. [Indonesian]
- Djaenuddin N, Nonci N, Muis A. 2017. Effectiveness of the formula *Bacillus subtilis* TM4 for disease control in maize plants. *Jurnal Fitopatologi Indonesia* 13 (4): 113-118. [Indonesian]
- Djarmiko HA, Arwiyanto T, Hadisutrisno B, Sunarminto BH. 2007. Potential of three bacterial genera from three plant rhizosphere as biological agents controlling Lincat disease. *Jurnal ilmu-ilmu Pertanian* 9 (1): 40-47. [Indonesian]
- Djuric S, Pavic A, Jarak M, Pavlovic S, Starovic M, Pivic R, Josic D. 2011. Selection of indigenous fluorescent pseudomonad isolates from maize rhizospheric soil in Vojvodina as possible PGPR. *Romanian Biotechnol Lett* 16 (5): 6580-6590. DOI: 10.1094/PHI-I-2004-0113-01.
- Farooq U, Bano A. 2013. Screening of indigenous bacteria from rhizosphere of maize (*Zea mays* L.) for their plant growth promotion ability and antagonism against fungal and bacterial pathogens. *J Anim Plant Sci* 23 (6): 1642-1652.
- Ganeshan G, Kumar AM. 2005. *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, a potential bacterial antagonist to control plant diseases. *J Plant Interact* 1 (3): 123-134.
- Hastuti RD, Saraswati R, Sari AP. 2014. The effectiveness of the endophytic microbes in promoting plant growth and controlling leaf blight disease in the lowland rice. *Jurnal Tanah dan Iklim* 38 (2): 109-118. [Indonesian]
- Heydari A, Pessarakhi M. 2010. A review on biological control of fungal plant pathogens using microbial antagonists. *J Biol Sci* 10 (4): 273-290
- Jain A, Das S. 2016. Insight into the interaction between plants and associated fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. *Intl J Agron*. DOI: 10.1155/2016/4269010
- Lim SM, Yoon M, Choi GJ, Choi YH, Jang KS, Shin TS, Park HW, Yu NH, Kim YH, Kim J. 2017. Diffusible and volatile antifungal compounds produced by an antagonistic *Bacillus velezensis* G341 against various phytopathogenic fungi. *Plant Pathol J* 33 (5): 488-498. DOI: 10.5423/PPJ.OA.04.2017.0073
- Motaa MS, Gomes CB, Júniora ITS, Moura AB. 2017. Bacterial selection for biological control of plant disease: criterion determination and validation. *Braz J Microbiol* 48: 62-70
- Muis A. 2007. Management of sheath blight disease (*Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn.) in maize. *Jurnal Litbang Pertanian* 26 (3): 100-103 Desi 2014 [Indonesian]
- Nasrun, Burhanudin. 2016. Evaluation of the efficacy of the formula *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for controlling bacterial wilt (*Ralstonia solanacearum*) patchouli. *Buletin Penelitian Tanaman Rempah dan Obat*, 27 (1): 67-76. [Indonesian]
- Nuryanto B, Priyatmojo A, Hadisutrisno B. 2014. Pengaruh tinggi tempat dan tipe tanaman padi terhadap keparahan penyakit hawar pelepah. *Penelitian Pertanian Tanaman Pangan* 33 (1): 1-8. [Indonesian]
- Orole OO, Adejumo TO. 2011. Bacterial and fungal endophytes associated with grains and roots of maize. *J Ecol Nat Environ* 3 (9): 298-303.
- Pal KK, McSpadden-Gardener B. 2006. Biological Control of Plant Pathogens. *The Plant Health Instructor*. DOI: 10.1094/PHI-A-2006-1117-02
- Pataky JK. 2004. Stewart's wilt of corn. *The Plant Health Instructor*. DOI: 10.1094/PHI-I-2004-0113-01.
- Rosenbluth M, Martinez-Romero E. 2006. Bacterial endophytes and their interaction with hosts (Review). *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 19 (8): 827-837.
- Santiago TR, Grabowski C, Rossato M, Romeiroa RS, Mizubuti ESG. 2015. Biological control of eucalyptus bacterial wilt with rhizobacteria. *Biol Contr* 80: 14-22. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.09.007.
- Shanti AT, Vittal RR. 2013. Biocontrol potencies of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria against *Fusarium* wilt disease of cucurbit. *J Plant Pathol* 2 (3): 155-161.
- Singh S, Dutta U, Bhat AK, Gupta S, Gupta V, Jamwal S. 2017. Morphological and biochemical identification of *Pseudomonas* sp. isolated from the rhizosphere of different vegetable crops and study its efficacy on *Solanum melongena* (Brinjal). *J Pharmacogn Phytochem* 6 (2): 22-28
- Slepecky R.A., Hemphill H.E. 2006. The Genus *Bacillus*—Nonmedical. In: Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer KH, Stackebrandt E. (eds.). *The Prokaryotes*. Springer, New York.
- Soesanto L, Mugiausti E, Rahayuniati RF. 2010. Study of the antagonistic mechanism of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* P60 against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *Lycopersici* in tomatoes in vivo. *Jurnal HPT Tropika* 10 (2): 108-115
- Soesanto L, Mugiausti E, Rahayuniati RF. 2011. Utilization of some animal broths as a liquid formula for *Pseudomonas fluorescens* P60 to control *Sclerotium rolfsii* in cucumber plants. *Jurnal Perlindungan Tanaman Indonesia* 17 (1): 7-17.