

ISSN: 1412-033X
E-ISSN: 2085-4722

BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity

Volume 21 - Number 10 - October 2020



Front cover: *Leucopsar rothschildi* Stresemann, 1912
(PHOTO: SHEAU TORNG LIM)

Published monthly

PRINTED IN INDONESIA

ISSN: 1412-033X

E-ISSN: 2085-4722



9 771412 033818



9 772085 472812

BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity
Volume 21 – Number 10 – October 2020

ISSN/E-ISSN:

1412-033X (printed edition), 2085-4722 (electronic)

EDITORIAL BOARD:

Abdel Fattah N.A. Rabou (Palestine), **Agnieszka B. Najda** (Poland), **Ajay Kumar Gautam** (India), **Alan J. Lymbery** (Australia), **Annisa** (Indonesia), **Bambang H. Saharjo** (Indonesia), **Daiane H. Nunes** (Brazil), **Darlina Md. Naim** (Malaysia), **Ghulam Hassan Dar** (India), **Hassan Pourbabaie** (Iran), **Joko R. Witono** (Indonesia), **Kartika Dewi** (Indonesia), **Katsuhiko Kondo** (Japan), **Kusumadewi Sri Yulita** (Indonesia), **Livia Wanntorp** (Sweden), **M. Jayakara Bhandary** (India), **Mahdi Reyahi-Khoram** (Iran), **Mahendra K. Rai** (India), **Mahesh K. Adhikari** (Nepal), **Maria Panitsa** (Greece), **Mochamad A. Soendjoto** (Indonesia), **Mohib Shah** (Pakistan), **Mohamed M.M. Najim** (Srilanka), **Nurhasanah** (Indonesia), **Praptiwi** (Indonesia), **Rasool B. Tareen** (Pakistan), **Sayed Aliakbar Hedayati** (Iran), **Sayed Mehdi Talebi** (Iran), **Shahabuddin** (Indonesia), **Shahir Shamsir** (Malaysia), **Shri Kant Tripathi** (India), **Subhash C. Santra** (India), **Sugeng Budiharta** (Indonesia), **Sugiyarto** (Indonesia), **Taufiq Purna Nugraha** (Indonesia), **Yosep S. Mau** (Indonesia)

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

S u t a r n o

EDITORIAL MEMBERS:

English Editors: **Graham Eagleton** (grahameagleton@gmail.com), **Suranto** (surantouns@gmail.com); Technical Editor: **Solichatun** (solichatun_s@yahoo.com), **Artini Pangastuti** (pangastuti_tutut@yahoo.co.id); Distribution & Marketing: **Rita Rakhmawati** (oktia@yahoo.com); Webmaster: **Ari Pitoyo** (aripitoyo@yahoo.com)

MANAGING EDITORS:

Ahmad Dwi Setyawan (unsjournals@gmail.com)

PUBLISHER:

The Society for Indonesian Biodiversity

CO-PUBLISHER:

Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta

ADDRESS:

Jl. Ir. Sutami 36A Surakarta 57126. Tel. +62-271-7994097, Tel. & Fax.: +62-271-663375, email: editors@smujo.id

ONLINE:

biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id; smujo.id/biodiv

.....



**Society for Indonesia
Biodiversity**



**Sebelas Maret University
Surakarta**

GUIDANCE FOR AUTHORS

Aims and Scope *Biodiversitas*, *Journal of Biological Diversity* or abbreviated as *Biodiversitas* encourages submission of manuscripts dealing with all biodiversity aspects of plants, animals and microbes at the level of the gene, species, and ecosystem as well as ethnobiology.

Article types The journal seeks original full-length research papers, reviews, and short communication. Manuscript of original research should be written in no more than 8,000 words (including tables and picture), or proportional with articles in this publication number. Review articles will be accommodated, while, short communication should be written at least 2,000 words, except for pre-study.

Submission The journal only accepts online submission, through open journal system (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/about/submissions>) or email to the editors at unsjournals@gmail.com. Submitted manuscripts should be the original works of the author(s). The manuscript must be accompanied by a cover letter containing the article title, the first name and last name of all the authors, a paragraph describing the claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge. Submission of a manuscript implies that the submitted work has not been published before (except as part of a thesis or report, or abstract); and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. When a manuscript written by a group, all authors should read and approve the final version of the submitted manuscript and its revision; and agree the submission of manuscripts for this journal. All authors should have made substantial contributions to the concept and design of the research, acquisition of the data and its analysis; drafting of the manuscript and correcting of the revision. All authors must be responsible for the quality, accuracy, and ethics of the work.

Ethics Author(s) must obedient to the law and/or ethics in treating the object of research and pay attention to the legality of material sources and intellectual property rights.

Copyright If and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the author(s) still hold the copyright and retain publishing rights without restrictions. Authors or others are allowed to multiply article as long as not for commercial purposes. For the new invention, authors are suggested to manage its patent before published.

Open access The journal is committed to free-open access that does not charge readers or their institutions for access. Readers are entitled to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of articles, as long as not for commercial purposes. The license type is CC-BY-NC-SA.

Acceptance The only articles written in English (U.S. English) are accepted for publication. Manuscripts will be reviewed by editors and invited reviewers(double blind review) according to their disciplines. Authors will generally be notified of acceptance, rejection, or need for revision within 1 to 2 months of receipt. The manuscript is rejected if the content does not in line with the journal scope, does not meet the standard quality, inappropriate format, complicated grammar, dishonesty (i.e. plagiarism, duplicate publications, fabrication of data, citations manipulation, etc.), or ignoring correspondence in three months. The primary criteria for publication are scientific quality and biodiversity significance. **Uncorrected proofs** will be sent to the corresponding author by email as .doc or .docx files for checking and correcting of typographical errors. To avoid delay in publication, corrected proofs should be returned in 7 days. The accepted papers will be published online in a chronological order at any time, but printed in the early of each month (12 times).

A charge Starting on January 1, 2019, publishing costs waiver is granted to authors of graduate students from **Least Developed Countries**, who first publish the manuscript in this journal. However, other authors are charged USD 250 (IDR 3,500,000). Additional charges may be billed for language editing, USD 75-150 (IDR 1,000,000-2,000,000).

Reprints The sample journal reprint is only available by special request. Additional copies may be purchased when ordering by sending back the uncorrected proofs by email.

Manuscript preparation Manuscript is typed on A4 (210x297 mm²) paper size, in a single column, single space, 10-point (10 pt) Times New Roman font. The margin text is 3 cm from the top, 2 cm from the bottom, and 1.8 cm from the left and right. Smaller lettering size can be applied in presenting table and figure (9 pt). Word processing program or additional software can be used, however, it must be PC compatible and Microsoft Word based (.doc or .rtf; not .docx). **Scientific names** of species (incl. subspecies, variety, etc.) should be written in italic, except for italic sentence. Scientific name (genera, species, author), and cultivar or strain should be mentioned completely for the first time mentioning it in the body text, especially for taxonomic manuscripts. Name of genera can be shortened after first mentioning, except generating confusion. Name of the author can be eliminated after first mentioning. For example, *Rhizopus oryzae* L. UICC 524, hereinafter can be written as *R. oryzae* UICC 524. Using trivial name should be avoided, otherwise generating confusion. **Biochemical and chemical nomenclature** should follow the order of the IUPAC - IUB. For DNA sequence, it is better used Courier New font. Symbols of standard chemical and abbreviation of chemistry name can be applied for common and clear used, for example, completely written butilic hydroxyl toluene (BHT) to be BHT hereinafter. **Metric measurement** use IS denomination, usage other system should follow the value of equivalent with the denomination of IS first mentioning. Abbreviations set of, like g, mg, mL, etc. do not follow by dot. Minus index (m⁻², L⁻¹, h⁻¹) suggested to be used, except in things like "per-plant" or "per-plot". **Equation of mathematics** does not always can be written

down in one column with text, in that case can be written separately. **Number** one to ten are expressed with words, except if it relates to measurement, while values above them written in number, except in early sentence. The fraction should be expressed in decimal. In the text, it should be used "%" rather than "percent". Avoid expressing ideas with complicated sentence and verbiage, and used efficient and effective sentence.

Title of the article should be written in compact, clear, and informative sentence, preferably not more than 20 words. Name of author(s) should be completely written. **Name and institution** address should also be completely written with street name and number (location), postal code, telephone number, facsimile number, and email address. Manuscript written by a group, author for correspondence along with address is required. First page of the manuscript is used for writing above information.

Abstract should not be more than 200 words. **Keywords** is about five words, covering scientific and local name (if any), research theme, and special methods which used; and sorted from A to Z. All important **abbreviations** must be defined at their first mention. **Running title** is about five words. **Introduction** is about 400-600 words, covering the background and aims of the research. **Materials and Methods** should emphasize on the procedures and data analysis. **Results and Discussion** should be written as a series of connecting sentences, however, for manuscript with long discussion should be divided into subtitles. Thorough discussion represents the causal effect mainly explains for why and how the results of the research were taken place, and do not only re-express the mentioned results in the form of sentences. **Concluding** sentence should be given at the end of the discussion. **Acknowledgments** are expressed in a brief; all sources of institutional, private and corporate financial support for the work must be fully acknowledged, and any potential conflicts of interest are noted.

Figures and Tables of maximum of three pages should be clearly presented. Title of a picture is written down below the picture, while title of a table is written above the table. Colored figures can only be accepted if the information in the manuscript can lose without those images; chart is preferred to use black and white images. Author could consign any picture or photo for the front cover, although it does not print in the manuscript. All images property of others should be mentioned source. **There is no appendix**, all data or data analysis are incorporated into Results and Discussions. For broad data, it can be displayed on the website as a supplement.

References Author-year citations are required. In the text give the authors name followed by the year of publication and arrange from oldest to newest and from A to Z. In citing an article written by two authors, both of them should be mentioned, however, for three and more authors only the first author is mentioned followed by et al., for example: Saharjo and Nurhayati (2006) or (Boonkerd 2003a, b, c; Sugiyarto 2004; El-Bana and Nijs 2005; Balagadde et al. 2008; Webb et al. 2008). Extent citation as shown with word "cit" should be avoided. Reference to unpublished data and personal communication should not appear in the list but should be cited in the text only (e.g., Rifai MA 2007, pers. com. (personal communication); Setyawan AD 2007, unpublished data). In the reference list, the references should be listed in an alphabetical order (better, if only 20 for research papers). Names of journals should be abbreviated. Always use the standard abbreviation of a journal's name according to the **ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations** (www.issn.org/2-22661-LTWA-online.php). The following examples are for guidance.

Journal:

Saharjo BH, Nurhayati AD. 2006. Domination and composition structure change at hemic peat natural regeneration following burning; a case study in Pelalawan, Riau Province. *Biodiversitas* 7: 154-158.

Book:

Rai MK, Carpinella C. 2006. Naturally Occurring Bioactive Compounds. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Chapter in book:

Webb CO, Cannon CH, Davies SJ. 2008. Ecological organization, biogeography, and the phylogenetic structure of rainforest tree communities. In: Carson W, Schnitzer S (eds) *Tropical Forest Community Ecology*. Wiley-Blackwell, New York.

Abstract:

Assaeed AM. 2007. Seed production and dispersal of *Rhazya stricta*. 50th annual symposium of the International Association for Vegetation Science, Swansea, UK, 23-27 July 2007.

Proceeding:

Alikodra HS. 2000. Biodiversity for development of local autonomous government. In: Setyawan AD, Sutarno (eds.) *Toward Mount Lawu National Park; Proceeding of National Seminary and Workshop on Biodiversity Conservation to Protect and Save Germplasm in Java Island*. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, 17-20 July 2000. [Indonesian]

Thesis, Dissertation:

Sugiyarto. 2004. Soil Macro-invertebrates Diversity and Inter-Cropping Plants Productivity in Agroforestry System based on Sengon. [Dissertation]. Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. [Indonesian]

Information from internet:

Balagadde FK, Song H, Ozaki J, Collins CH, Barnet M, Arnold FH, Quake SR, You L. 2008. A synthetic *Escherichia coli* predator-prey ecosystem. *Mol Syst Biol* 4: 187. www.molecularsystemsbiology.com

BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity
Volume 21 - Number 10 - October 2020

- Ethnobotanical investigation of spice and condiment plants used by the Taming tribe in Aceh, Indonesia** 4467-4473
ZIDNI ILMAN NAVIA, DITA AUDIRA, NURUL AFIFAH, KASANOVA TURNIP, NURAINI, ADI BEJO SUWARDI
- Daily activity, diet and habitat of Bali myna (*Leucopsar rothschildi*) in Nusa Penida, Bali, Indonesia** 4474-4482
FRANSISCUS XAVERIUS SUDARYANTO, SATYAWAN PUDYATMOKO, TJUT SUGANDAWATY DJOHAN, JUSUP SUBAGJA, I WAYAN SUANA, LALU ACHMAD TAN TILAR WANGSAJATI SUKMARING KALIH, JUNITA HARDINI, JOBNICO SUBAGIO
- Culturable gut bacteria of Ikan Batak (*Neolissochilus sumatranus* Weber & de Beaufort, 1916) collected in Toba Samosir, Indonesia** 4483-4488
ACHMAD DINOTO, RINI HANDAYANI, NINU SETIANINGRUM, HEDDY JULISTIONO
- A synopsis of Bambusoideae (Poaceae) in Lombok, Indonesia** 4489-4500
I PUTU GEDE P. DAMAYANTO, HIMMAH RUSTIAMI, MIFTAHUDIN, TATIK CHIKMAWATI
- Selection of stain fungi on rubberwood (*Hevea brasiliensis*) and its growth response against chitosan** 4501-4508
ALI BIN ABITHALIB SALMAN, LISDAR IDWAN SUDIRMAN, DODI NANDIKA
- Short Communication: Herpetofauna diversity at the University of Palangka Raya, Indonesia** 4509-4514
ANDRI MAULIDI, TITIN PURNANINGSIH, ANITA MAULINA, YOHANES EDY GUNAWAN, MUHAMMAD RIZKI
- Diet composition and neighboring prey community of the Phuping newt (*Tylototriton uyenoi*) in Maesa-Kogma Biosphere Reserve, Chiang Mai Province, northern Thailand** 4515-4523
THANSUDA DOWWIANGKAN, YODCHAIY CHUAYNKERN, PONGRAT DUMRONGROJWATTANA, PRATEEP DUENGKAE
- Short Communication: Effect of cryopreservation on ultrastructure and mitochondrial function of albino *Pangasius catfish* spermatozoa** 4524-4528
USWATUN HASANAH, ABINAWANTO, A. ALIMUDDIN, ARIEF BOEDIONO, ENI KUSRINI
- The practice and plants used in *Besale* ritual healing by The Anak Dalam Tribe in Nyogan Village, Jambi, Indonesia** 4529-4536
REVIS ASRA, MARINA SILALAH, IZU ANDRY FIJRIDIYANTO
- Resistance level of several soybean lines of M6 generation to stem rot disease *Athelia rolfsii*** 4537-4542
DIANA SOFIA HANAFIAH, IRDA SAFNI, LUTHFI A.M. SIREGAR, REVANDY I.M. DAMANIK, ANGGRIA LESTAMI, MIKA MATONDANG
- Response of parasitoids to invasive pest *Phenacoccus manihoti* Matile-Ferrero (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) on cassava crop in Bali, Indonesia** 4543-4549
I WAYAN SUPARTHA, I KADEK WISMA YUDHA, PUTU ANGGA WIRADANA, I WAYAN SUSILA
- Phenotypic plasticity of eddoe and dasheen taro genotypes in response to saturated water and dryland cultivations** 4550-4557
CARECA SEPDIHAN RAHMAT HIDAYATULLAH, EDI SANTOSA, DIDY SOPANDIE, ARIEF HARTONO

Seed germination characteristics in different storage time of <i>Gmelina arborea</i> treated with ultrafine bubbles priming ISKANDAR Z. SIREGAR, KARIMA FAUZIAH MUHARAM, Y. ARIS PURWANTO, DEDE J. SUDRAJAT	4558-4564
Genetic structure of the <i>Capoeta aculeata</i> populations inferred from microsatellite DNA loci HABIBOLLAH GANDOMKAR, SEYED PEZHMAN HOSSEINI SHEKARABI, HOSSEIN ALI ABDOLHAY, SAJAD NAZARI, MEHDI SHAMSAEI MEHRJAN	4565-4570
Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia FEMY M. SAHAMI, RENE CHARLES KEPEL, ABDUL HAFIDZ OLII, SILVESTER BENNY PRATASIK, RIDWAN LASABUDA, ADNAN WANTASEN, SITTY AINSYAH HABIBIE	4571-4581
Heavy metals contaminants in the eggs and temperatures of nesting beaches of sea turtles in Kaimana, West Papua, Indonesia RICARDO F. TAPILATU, HENGKI WONA, RIMA HS. SIBURIAN, SEFRIANTO T. SALEDA	4582-4590
The quality of fermented goat milk produced by <i>Pediococcus acidilactici</i> BK01 on refrigerator temperature SRI MELIA, INDRI JULIYARSI, YULIANTI FITRI KURNIA, YUDHA ENDRA PRATAMA, DHIVA REZZY PRATAMA	4591-4596
Ethnomedicinal plants and practices related to pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum healthcare of Minangkabau ethnic group, West Sumatra, Indonesia MARINA SILALAH, ARDIAN KHAIRIAH, NISYAWATI	4597-4605
Benthic macrofaunal assemblage in seagrass-mangrove complex and adjacent ecosystems of Punang-Sari Estuary, Lawas, Sarawak, Malaysia ABDULLA AL-ASIF, HADI BIN HAML, ABU HENA MUSTAFA KAMAL, MOHD HANAFI IDRIS, GEOFFERY JAMES GERUSU, JOHAN BIN ISMAIL, NURUL ULFAH KARIM	4606-4615
Chemical compounds contained in young and mature leaves of agarwood species <i>Wikstroemia tenuiramis</i> and its antioxidant properties RIDWANTI BATUBARA, TENGKU ISMANELLY HANUM, ODING AFFANDI, HENNY SRI WAHYUNI	4616-4622
Diversity and honey properties of stingless bees from meliponiculture in East and North Kalimantan, Indonesia SYAFRIZAL, RICO RAMADHAN ,8, IRAWAN WIJAYA KUSUMA, SAAT EGRA, KUNIYOSHI SHIMIZU,9, MAMORU KANZAKI, ENOS TANGKE ARUNG	4623-4630
Short Communication: Pattern of antibiotic resistance on extended-spectrum beta-lactamases genes producing <i>Escherichia coli</i> on laying hens in Blitar, Indonesia FRESHINTA JELLIA WIBISONO, BAMBANG SUMIARTO, TRI UNTARI, MUSTOFA HELMI EFFENDI, DIAN AYU PERMATASARI, ADIANA MUTAMSARI WITANINGRUM	4631-4635
Diversity and distribution of mollusks at three zones of mangrove in Pejarakan, Bali, Indonesia I KETUT GINANTRA, I KETUT MUKSIN, IDA BAGUS MADE SUASKARA, MARTIN JONI	4636-4641
Detection and prevalence of multidrug-resistant <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> strains isolated from poultry farms in Blitar, Indonesia DIAN AYU PERMATASARI, ADIANA MUTAMSARI WITANINGRUM, FRESHINTA JELLIA WIBISONO, MUSTOFA HELMI EFFENDI	4642-4647
The ecology of <i>Aedes aegypti</i> and <i>Aedes albopictus</i> larvae habitat in coastal areas of South Sulawesi, Indonesia ARINI RATNASARI, ARIF RAHMAN JABAL, NUR RAHMA, SRI NUR RAHMI, MILA KARMILA, ISRA WAHID	4648-4654
Changes in microbial populations during co-composting of dewatered sewage sludge with pruning wastes in windrow piles AMIR HOSSEIN NAFEZ, MAHNAZ NIKAEEN, AKBAR HASSANZADEH, SAFOORA KADKHODAEI	4655-4662

Maximum entropy modeling for the conservation of <i>Hopea odorata</i> in riparian forests, central Thailand	4663-4670
LAMTHAI ASANOK, TORLARP KAMYO, DOKRAK MAROD	
Short Communication: Variations of morphology, anatomy, and metabolite profiles of <i>Citrus reticulata</i> Blanco cv. Tawangmangu grafts produced by shoot tip grafting using several rootstocks	4671-4676
EINSTIVINA NURYANDANI, RATNA SUSANDARINI, ARI INDRIANTO, TRI RINI NURINGTYAS, ARTNICE MEGA FATHIMA, SITI SUBANDIYAH	
The use of effector gene based-markers to facilitate identification of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. infected shallot in Java, Indonesia	4677-4685
LINA HERLINA, BONJOK ISTIAJI	
Biology, morphology and damage of the lesser Coconut weevil, <i>Diocalandra frumenti</i> (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in southern Vietnam	4686-4694
HONG-UNG NGUYEN, THI-HIEN NGUYEN, NGUYEN-QUOC-KHANH CHAU, VAN-VANG LE, VAN-HAI TRAN	
The effectiveness of silvofishery system in water treatment in intensive whiteleg shrimp (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>) ponds, Probolinggo District, East Java, Indonesia	4695-4701
MUHAMMAD MUSA, EVELLIN DEWI LUSIANA, NANIK RETNO BUWONO, SULASTRI ARSAD, MOHAMMAD MAHMUDI	
Consortium of endophytic bacteria and rhizobacteria effectively suppresses the population of <i>Pratylenchus coffeae</i> and promotes the growth of Robusta coffee	4702-4708
IIS NUR ASYIAH, IMAM MUDAKIR, MOHAMMAD HOESAIN, ANKARDIANSYAH PANDU PRADANA, ACHMAD DJUNAIDY, RIZA FAHLEVIA SARI	
Short Communication: Genetic variation of <i>Coelogyne pandurata</i>, <i>C. rumphii</i> and their hybrids based on RAPD markers	4709-4713
SRI HARTATI, ENDANG S. MULIAWATI	
Short Communication: The physical and chemical properties of nipah (<i>Nypa fruticans</i>) frond as an alternative feed for ruminants in Indonesia	4714-4718
MUHAMMAD AFDAL, TEJA KASWARI, SAITUL FAKHRI, HENI SURYANI	
Molecular identification of cellulase and protease producing <i>Bacillus tequilensis</i> UTMSA14 isolated from the geothermal hot spring in Lau Sidebuk Debuk, North Sumatra, Indonesia	4719-4725
EDY FACHRIAL, RADEN RORO JENNY SATYO PUTRI, I NYOMAN EHRICH LISTER, SARI ANGGRAINI, HARMILENI, TITANIA T. NUGROHO, SARYONO	
Isolation and characterization of lactic acid bacteria from fecal pellets, coelomic fluid, and gastrointestinal tract of <i>Nypa</i> worm (<i>Namalycastis rhodochorde</i>) from West Kalimantan, Indonesia	4726-4731
ARI HEPI YANTI, TRI RIMA SETYAWATI, RIKHSAN KURNIATUHADI	
Metabolic profile and skin-related bioactivities of <i>Cerioporus squamosus</i> hydromethanolic extract	4732-4740
WAILL A. ELKHATEEB, GHOSON M. DABA, MARWA O. ELNAHAS, PAUL W. THOMAS, MAHMOUD EMAM	
Hematological and antioxidants responses of dairy cow fed with a combination of feed and duckweed (<i>Lemna minor</i>) as a mixture for improving milk biosynthesis	4741-4746
UJANG HIDAYAT TANUWIRIA, ANDI MUSHAWWIR	
The production function and profitability analysis of <i>Gracilaria</i> sp. seaweed polyculture with milkfish (<i>Chanos chanos</i>) and black tiger shrimp (<i>Penaeus monodon</i>)	4747-4754
IIS DIATIN, IRZAL EFFENDI, MERI ALVINA TAUFIK	
Pharmacognostic, chemical and mucolytic activity study of <i>Malva pseudolavatera</i> Webb & Berthel. and <i>Malva sylvestris</i> L. (Malvaceae) leaf extracts, grown in Ecuador	4755-4763
MIRANDA-MARTÍNEZ MIGDALIA, SARMIENTO-TOMALÁ GLENDA MARCELA, CHÓEZ-GUARANDA IVÁN ANDRÉS, GUTIÉRREZ-GAITÉN YAMILET IRENE, RENÉ DELGADO-HERNÁNDEZ, CARRILLO-LAVID GABRIELA	

Thermostability, photostability, and toxicity of clove oil nanoparticles against <i>Cryptolestes ferrugineus</i> (Stephens) (Coleoptera: Laemophloeidae) SILVI IKAWATI, TOTO HIMAWAN, ABDUL LATIEF ABADI, HAGUS TARNO	4764-4771
Low genetic diversity and no genetic differentiation between maleo hatched at coastal and inland nesting grounds in North Sulawesi, Indonesia ANDIE WIJAYA SAPUTRA, PRAMANA YUDA	4772-4777
Profiling indigenous lead-reducing bacteria from Tempe Lake, Indonesia as bioremediation agents AHMAD YANI, MOHAMAD AMIN, FATCHUR ROHMAN, ENDANG SUARSIN, WIRA EKA PUTRA	4778-4786
The application of novel methods of Animal Barrier Screen and <i>Kelambu</i> Trap for mosquito's surveillance in South and West Sulawesi, Indonesia NUR RAHMA, , HAJAR HASAN, ARINI RATNASARI, ISRA WAHID	4787-4794
Genetic evaluation of tidal swamp rice from South Kalimantan, Indonesia based on the agro-morphological markers DINDIN HIDAYATUL MURSYIDIN, IZHAR KHAIRULLAH	4795-4803
Diversity of reef fish in Halang Melingkau Island, Kotabaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia FRANS TONY, SOEMARNO, DEWA GEDE RAKA WIADNYA, LUCHMAN HAKIM	4804-4812
Bacterial (9A2H) enhancement alters the nematode community structure and decomposition pathway of amended nutrient-limited soil DEMA R. LUCKYANA, I G. A. AYU RATNA PUSPITASARI, ARDHINI R. MAHARNING	4813-4820
Insect diversity in various distances to forest edge in small nature reserve: A case study of Bantarbolang Nature Reserve, Central Java, Indonesia DARSONO, EDY RIWIDIHARSO, SLAMET SANTOSO, EMING SUDIANA , EDY YANI, ERIE KOLLYA NASUTION, HEXA APRILLIANA, TITI CHASANAH	4821-4828
Morphometric analysis of <i>Harpodon nehereus</i>, <i>Harpiosquilla raphidea</i>, and <i>Scylla serrata</i> in the coastal waters of Tarakan City, North Kalimantan, Indonesia GAZALI SALIMERROR! REFERENCE SOURCE NOT FOUND., KUN RETNO HANDAYANI, SUTRISNO ANGGORO, AGUS INDARJO, AGUNG DHAMAR SYAKTI, ABDUL JABARSYAH IBRAHIM, JULIAN RANSANGAN, LUKMAN YUDHO PRAKOSO	4829-4838
Community structure of arboreal and soil-dwelling arthropods in three different rice planting indexes in freshwater swamps of South Sumatra, Indonesia TILI KARENINA, SITI HERLINDA, CHANDRA IRSAN, YULIA PUJIASTUTI, HASBI, SUPARMAN, BENYAMIN LAKITAN, HARMAN HAMIDSON, ABU Umayah	4839-4849
The tolerance of oil palm (<i>Elaeis guineensis</i>) seedlings to Al stress is enhanced by citric acid and natural peat water AGUS NUR HIDAYAH, SUDIRMAN YAHYA, DIDY SOPANDIE	4850-4858
Characterization of BSL6 isolates isolated from honeybee hive and to determine its antibacterial activity LENNI FITRI, YEKKI YASMIN, FAUZIAH, DWI ANDRI SEPTIANI, SUHARTONO	4859-4865
Predicting potential impacts of climate change on the geographical distribution of mountainous selaginellas in Java, Indonesia AHMAD DWI SETYAWAN, JATNA SUPRIATNA, NISYAWATI, ILYAS NURSAMSI, SUTARNO, SUGIYARTO, SUNARTO, PRAKASH PRADAN, SUGENG BUDIHARTA, ARI PITOYO, SAPTA SUHARDONO, PRABANG SETYONO	4866-4877
DNA barcoding of crustacean larvae in Segara Anakan, Cilacap, Central Java, Indonesia using cytochrome c oxidase gene KUSBIYANTO, DIAN BHAGAWATI, AGUS NURYANTO	4878-4887
Rhizobacterial community structure in grafted tomato plants infected by <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> LISA NAVITASARI, TRI JOKO, RUDI HARI MURTI, TRIWIDODO ARWIYANTO	4888-4895

Wild edible plants in four Agni tribes of Central-east and Northeast of Côte d'Ivoire: a comparative study DJAH FRANÇOIS MALAN, AMANI LÉOPOLD LITTA, MÉNÉKÉ DISTEL KOUGBO, AMADOU LAMINE DIOP, KOUASSI GÉRARD KOUASSI	4896-4902
Perception, attitude, and motive of local community towards forest conversion to plantation in Dharmasraya District, West Sumatra, Indonesia KORDIYANA K. RANGGA, YONARIZA, HELVI YANFIKA, ABDUL MUTOLIB	4903-4910
Analysis of two whale shark watching destinations in Indonesia: status and ecotourism potential ASRIL DJUNAIDI, JAMALUDDIN JOMPA, NADIARTI NADIARTI, AHMAD BAHAR, SUKIRMAN DJ. TILAHUNGA, DEBORAH LILIENFELD, MAULITA SARI HANI	4911-4923
Short Communication: Rediscovery of <i>Psychotria</i> species, subspecies, and varieties collected in the '90s and new records of <i>Antirhea benguetensis</i> (Elmer) Valetton and <i>Ixora longifolia</i> Smith (Rubiaceae) in Northern Sierra Madre Natural Park, Luzon, Philippines RACHEL D. BIAG, , GRECEBIO JONATHAN D. ALEJANDRO	4924-4935
Essential oils from <i>Vitex trifolia</i> as an effective repellent for <i>Aedes aegypti</i> NI LUH ARPIWI, I KETUT MUKSIN, ENIEK KRISWIYANTI	4936-4944
Short Communication: Investigating environmental impacts of long-term monoculture of sugarcane farming in Indonesia through DPSIR framework RIVANDI PRANANDITA PUTRA, MUHAMMAD RASYID RIDLA RANOMAHERA, MUHAMMAD SYAMSU RIZALUDIN, RAHMAD SUPRIYANTO, VITA AYU KUSUMA DEWI	4945-4958
Penja fish (Genus: <i>Sicyopterus</i>) from Karama River, West Sulawesi, Indonesia: Growth pattern and habitat characteristics CUT MUTHIADIN, ISNA RASDIANAH AZIZ, HASYIMUDDIN, FATMAWATI NUR, ST AISYAH SIJID, SAIFULLAH AZMAN, RENNY KURNIA HADIATY, ILHAM ALIMUDDIN	4959-4966
Short Communication: Callus induction in purple and white-purple varieties of <i>Orthosiphon aristatus</i> (Blume) Miq. FAHRAUK FARAMAYUDA, TOTIK SRI MARIANI, ELFAHMI, SUKRASNO	4967-4972
Parasitism of cassava mealybug by <i>Anagyrus lopezi</i>: Effects of varying host and parasitoid densities MUHAMMAD ZAINAL FANANI, AUNU RAUF, NINA MARYANA, ALI NURMANSYAH, DADAN HINDAYANA	4973-4980



SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name

[Home](#)[Journal Rankings](#)[Country Rankings](#)[Viz Tools](#)[Help](#)[About Us](#)

Dapatkan Karyawan yang Tepat

JobStreet bantu Anda dapatkan karyawan 3x lebih cepat dari yang lain.

Jobstreet Indonesia

Buka

Biodiversitas

COUNTRY

[Indonesia](#)

Universities and research
institutions in Indonesia

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

[Agricultural and Biological
Sciences](#)[Animal Science and
Zoology](#)
[Plant Science](#)[Biochemistry, Genetics and
Molecular Biology](#)
[Molecular Biology](#)

PUBLISHER

[Biology department, Sebelas
Maret University Surakarta](#)

Universitas Negeri
Sebelas Maret in
Scimago Institutions
Rankings

H-INDEX

14

PUBLICATION TYPE

[Journals](#)

ISSN

1412033X, 20854722

COVERAGE

2014-2020

INFORMATION

[Homepage](#)[How to publish in this
journal](#)[grahameagleton@gmail.co
m](mailto:grahameagleton@gmail.com)

SCOPE

▼ Biodiversitas, Journal of Biological Diversity" or Biodiversitas encourages submission of manuscripts dealing with all biodiversity

Submit Your Manuscript With Us


Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider
Audience. Hindawi




Quartiles

FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?


1 Diversity CHE 41% similarity	2 PeerJ USA 39% similarity	3 Biologia (Poland) DEU 37% similarity	4 Tropical Life Science Research MYS 33% similarity
--	--	--	---



Submit Your Manuscript With Us
Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider Audience. Hindawi




% International Collaboration




Cited documents

Uncited documents



Citable documents

Non-citable documents



Biodiversitas

Q3

Animal Science and
Zoology

best quartile

SJIR 2020

0.26

powered by scimagojr.com


← Show this widget in
your own websiteJust copy the code below
and paste within your html
code:

<a href="https://www.scima

SCImago Graphica

Explore, visually
communicate and make
sense of data with our new
free tool.

Get it



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2021

B **BOURAADA KHALID** 4 months ago

I want to submit my manuscript in this journal

reply

Submit Your Manuscript With Us

Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider
Audience. Hindawi

Dear Bouraada, thank you very much for your comment, we suggest you look for the author's instructions/submission guidelines in the journal's website. Best Regards,
SCImago Team

L **Lyes** 10 months ago

I am very pleased with the responsiveness of the journal's editor stuff. Without a doubt, this journal has the potential to become a great journal

reply



Melanie Ortiz 10 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Lyes, thanks for your participation! Best Regards, SCImago Team

E **Eko** 1 year ago

How long i wait to get email accepted jurnal?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

SCImago Team

Dear Sir/Madam,
thank you for contacting us.
Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you visit the journal's homepage or contact the journal's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply.
Best Regards, SCImago Team

N **Niken Dharmayanti** 1 year ago

The biodiversity journal has a good response, is published periodically with a frequency every month, is communicative and the response is fast, move on to a higher ranking, thank you

reply



Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

SCImago Team

Submit Your Manuscript With Us

Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider Audience. Hindawi



Z **zainal abidin** 1 year ago

This journal management is good, fast response, and communicative.

reply



Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

SCImago Team

Dear Zainal, thanks for your participation! Best Regards, SCImago Team

R **Rubiyo** 1 year ago

Thank yuo SCImago Team

reply

K **KETUT SUADA** 2 years ago

Dear Editors

May I know the reason/s of Why my article title "The potential of various indigenous Trichoderma spp. to suppress Plasmodiophora brassicae the pathogen of clubroot disease on cabbage" DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d180418, in BIODIVERSITAS VOL 18/4 OCT 2017, PAGES:1424-1429, was justified as "SHORT COMMUNICATION", WHILE THE DATA IN THE ARTICLE WAS COMPLETE INCLUDING TO DIVERSITY AND EVEN ITS EFFECT TO THE TRICHODERMA IN PLANT (CABBAGE), CAN YOU TELL ME SOON?
REGARDS
I KETUT SUADA

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Ketut,

thank you for contacting us.

We are sorry to tell you that SCImago Journal & Country Rank is not a journal. SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Elsevier/Scopus.

Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you contact the journal's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply.

Best Regards, SCImago Team



Submit Your Manuscript With Us

Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider Audience. Hindawi



| **Istiyanto Samidjan** 2 years ago

I want to submit my manuscript in this journal
my regards

Istiyanto Samidjan



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Istiyanto, thank you very much for your comment, we suggest you look for author's instructions/submission guidelines in the journal's website. Best Regards, SCImago Team



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Fitra, thank you very much for your comment, we suggest you to look for author's instructions/submission guidelines in the journal's website. Best Regards, SCImago Team

J **Joko Prasetyo** 2 years ago

I want to submit my manuscript in this journal

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Joko, thank you very much for your comment, we suggest you to look for author's instructions/submission guidelines in the journal's website. Best Regards, SCImago Team

S **salamiah** 2 years ago

I see the subject area

reply

A **Afik** 3 years ago

Ragus kali jurnal nva

Submit Your Manuscript With Us

Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider Audience. Hindawi



**Melanie Ortiz** 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear user, thanks for your participation! Best Regards, SCImago Team

F

Feron 3 years ago

Wow bagus banget...samangat berkarya untuk Indonesia yang lebih maju

reply

**Melanie Ortiz** 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear user, thanks for your participation! Best Regards, SCImago Team

**muhamad iksan** 4 years ago

i want submit my journal thanks

reply

A

ahmad 3 years agoKindly submit your paper here, <https://smujo.id/biodiv/about/submissions>**Leave a comment**

Name

Email

(will not be published)

**Submit Your Manuscript With Us**

Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider Audience. Hindawi



[Submit](#)

The users of Scimago Journal & Country Rank have the possibility to dialogue through comments linked to a specific journal. The purpose is to have a forum in which general doubts about the processes of publication in the journal, experiences and other issues derived from the publication of papers are resolved. For topics on particular articles, maintain the dialogue through the usual channels with your editor.

Developed by:



Powered by:



Follow us on @ScimagoJR

Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2020. Data Source: Scopus®



EST MODUS IN REBUS

Submit Your Manuscript With Us

Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider Audience. Hindawi





Submit Your Manuscript With Us

Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider Audience. Hindawi



DNA barcoding of crustacean larvae in Segara Anakan, Cilacap, Central Java, Indonesia using cytochrome c oxidase gene

KUSBIYANTO[✉], DIAN BHAGAWATI^{✉✉}, AGUS NURYANTO^{✉✉✉}

¹Faculty of Biology, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Jl. Dr. Soeparno No. 63, Purwokerto Utara, Banyumas 53122, Central Java, Indonesia.
Tel.: +62-281-638794, Fax.: +62-281-631700, ✉email: kusbiyanto@unsoed.ac.id, ✉✉ dian.bhagawati@unsoed.ac.id, ✉✉✉ agus.nuryanto@unsoed.ac.id

Manuscript received: 11 August 2020. Revision accepted: 27 September 2020.

Abstract. Kusbiyanto, Bhagawati D, Nuryanto A. 2020. DNA barcoding of crustacean larvae in Segara Anakan, Cilacap, Central Java, Indonesia using cytochrome c oxidase gene. *Biodiversitas* 21: 4878-4887. Species-level identification of crustacean larvae is challenging due to morphological constraints. DNA barcoding offers a precise method to solve the problems. That method has never been applied to crustacean larvae from the eastern of Segara Anakan, Cilacap, Central Java, Indonesia. This study aims to identify crustacean larvae in the eastern of Segara Anakan using the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene as a barcode marker. Larvae morphotypes were identified under a binocular microscope. The COI gene was sequenced from one individual of each morphotype. Microscopic observation placed the samples into 15 morphotypes. DNA barcoding placed twelve morphotypes as Crustacea with sequence homologies from 72.21% to 99.21%. Intra-species genetic divergences between samples and reference species ranged between 0.9% and 31.9%, while genetic distance ranged from 0.0% to 17.80%. Intra-species genetic divergences ranged between 0.00% and 3.9%, while genetic distance ranged from 0.00% to 3.8%. The phylogenetic tree proved the monophyly between samples and reference species and showed clear separation among species. All parameters proved that nine morphotypes were identified into species level and were counted for five species. Three morphotypes were identified into the genus level and were counted for three genera. Eight species of crustacean larvae were successfully identified using the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 gene.

Keywords: Barcoding, crustacea, cytochrome c oxidase gene, larvae, species identification

INTRODUCTION

Segara Anakan is a semi-close estuary in the southern offshore of Cilacap District, Central Java, Indonesia. It is separated from the Indian Ocean by Nusakambangan Island. The estuary receives salt water from the ocean through two openings: the island's east and west tips (Manez 2010). The estuary is experiencing area depreciation due to a high sedimentation rate through water log from several rivers and land use alterations. The area plays critical ecological roles, such as spawning, nursery, and feeding ground, and also as a habitat of various aquatic organisms (Nordhaus et al. 2009).

Segara Anakan is utilized by aquatic organisms as habitat, feeding ground, nursery ground, and spawning ground (Ardli et al. 2007). Segara Anakan, especially in the eastern areas, is utilized by demersal fishes as a nursery ground (Nuryanto et al. 2017). However, no study reported crustacean species that used east areas of Segara Anakan as a nursery ground. Earlier studies about crustacean were only published about the biology and fishery production in the Segara Anakan and surrounding areas in the Southern Coast of Cilacap District (Saputra 2010; Akbar et al. 2013; Djuwito et al. 2013; Pratiwi and Sukardjo 2018; Wagiyo et al. 2018). Other studies were focused on crab diversity in the Segara Anakan (Asmara et al. 2011; Zalindri and Sastranegara 2015; Redjeki et al. 2017; Widianingsih et al. 2019). Therefore, it is urgent to study about crustacean species that utilized East Plawangan as a nursery ground.

That information can be obtained from taxonomic and systematic studies through larvae inventory (Nuryanto et al. 2017).

Classical taxonomic was solely dependent on morphology character during larvae identification. Nevertheless, larvae identification is challenging due to limited morphological characteristics during species determination. Another difficulty lies in the fact that different larvae stages can have different morphologies even though they are from the same species. Conversely, larvae of the same stages can show similar morphology though they belong to different species (Ko et al. 2013). These situations might lead to misidentification of the species, which might become meaningless data for the management and conservation of the eastern areas of Segara Anakan.

The difficulties of morphological identification of the larvae can be solved by applying molecular identification through DNA barcoding using a short and standardize marker (von der Heyden et al. 2014), such as on Stomatopod larvae (Palcanda et al. 2020). Fragment of the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (COI) gene is a standard marker for animal species barcoding (Riehl et al. 2014; Raupach and Radulovici 2015). Previous studies had proven that the COI gene is a reliable marker for species-level identification, such as da Silva et al. (2011) on Decapoda, Jeffery et al. (2011) on Brachniopoda, and Weis et al. (2014) on *Gammarus fossarum* complex. Other studies were also proved that the COI gene is also a

powerful marker to reveal the presence of cryptic species, for example, Bekker et al. (2016) on *Moina*, Karanovic (2015) on Ostracoda, Bilgin et al. (2015) on shrimps, and Camacho et al. (2011) in Bathynellidae, Crustacea. Previous studies reported variable genetic divergences and distances between and among species or within and among families and orders. Tang et al. (2010) also reported the COI gene's power on species identification of crustacean larvae. The reliability of the COI gene as a barcode marker on Stomatopoda (Crustacean) larvae identification was also reported by Palecanda et al. (2020) and on *Scyllarides squamous* (Decapoda) by Palero et al. (2016).

This study aims to identify crustacean larvae in the eastern areas of Segara Anakan into species level using the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (COI) gene as a barcode marker. The utilization of molecular markers on crustacean larvae identification might improve the accuracy of larvae identification. In turn, it could contribute to the development of crustacean taxonomic and systematic. Moreover, information on larvae diversity is preliminary data to estimate the recruitment and productivity potential

of east areas of Segara Anakan as a nursery ground. The data are vital as a scientific basis for species and ecosystem conservation and management of the eastern regions of Segara Anakan Cilacap as a nursery ground.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling location

Crustacea larvae were collected at three sampling sites in the eastern areas of Segara Anakan, Cilacap District, Central Java, Indonesia (A, B, and C). Site A is located behind the east opening of Segara Anakan Estuary (-7.745055 to -7.737230 and 108.999524 to 108.988194). Site B is located in the downstream of Sapuregel River (-7.729065 to 7.717838 and 108.980985 to 108.967252). Site C is located in the downstream of Donan River (-7.728385 to -7.716818 and 108.990941 to 108.994718). Towing efforts at each sampling site were conducted for sixth times with different tract directions (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Sampling sites with sampling tract for crustacean larvae collection in the eastern areas of Segara Anakan, Cilacap, Central Java, Indonesia (modified from Google map)

Procedures

Larvae collection and sortation

Crustacean larvae were towed in the morning from 07:00 until 09:00 and afternoon from 18:00 until 20:00 using larvae nets with a mouth diameter of 60 cm and trapezium height 1.5 m. The periods were chosen based on the nature of aquatic larvae, which commonly avoid high light intensity. Towing attempts were conducted by tightening the nets' line to the stern part of a boat while driving with approximately 3 knots (Nuryanto et al. 2017).

The mixtures of filtered materials were collected in a collection bottle. The collected materials were poured into a flour sieve and doused with ethanol 70% to ensure that the crustacean larvae are sampled. Ethanol treatment was also conducted to make it easier to distinguish between crustacean larvae and other materials, including fish larvae and Polychaeta larvae. It is due to that after alcohol treatment, the larvae became white and easily separated from different materials. The larvae were sorted using forceps and put in sample bottles fill in with ethanol 96%.

Morphotype Identification

Morphotype identification was performed based on the general morphology of each larva. Each larva was examined using the naked eye, and afterward, they were observed under a binocular microscope with 100 times magnification. Each morphotype was coded differently (Nuryanto et al. 2017).

DNA extraction and COI marker amplification

The total DNA was extracted using ZR Tissue and Insect DNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research, D6016) following the manufacturer's protocol. The PCR amplification of the COI gene was performed using the MyTaq HS Red Mix (Bioline, BIO-25047) and universal primer pair LCO1490: 5'-GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG-3' as the forward primer and HC02198: 5'-TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAA AATCA-3' as the reverse primer (Folmer et al. 1994). The amplification settings were started with an initial denaturation at 96° for 3 minutes. The process was continued with denaturation at 94° for 10 seconds, annealing on 52° for 30 seconds, extension at 72° for 45 seconds with total cycles were 35 times. The volume of each chemical component for final volume 25 µl PCR mixtures was KOD FX Neo 1 µl, 2X PCR Buffer KOD FX Neo 12.5 µl, 2mM dNTPs 1 µl, 10 pmol/µl of each primer was 1 µl, template DAN 1 µl, and ddH₂O 6 µl. The sequencing of the COI gene was used as the bi-directional sequencing technique. All procedures of DNA analysis were conducted at Genetika Laboratory (PT. Genetika Science Indonesia).

Sequence editing and data analysis

The COI gene sequences were aligned in ClustalW (Thompson et al. 1994) and manually edited in Bioedit software ver. 7.0.4.1 (Hall 2005). The sequences were translated into the amino acid sequence using an online software ORFfinder (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>-

orffinder). The step was conducted to ensure that the obtained fragment is a functional gene fragment. Species status of the samples was determined based on their homology with the conspecific references available in GenBank. Species determination also considered genetic divergence, genetic distance, and the monophyly to reference sequences as additional data.

The homology test was performed by comparing each sample sequence to the reference sequences in GenBank using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastn&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&LINK_LOC=blasthome). Molecular divergence data were calculated based on all possible sequences pairwise comparison and was performed in DnaSP 6 (Rozas et al. 2017). Genetic distances were calculated based on the substitution model of Kimura 2-parameter (K2P) in MEGAX software (Kumar et al. 2018). The threshold values of genetic divergences and distances referred to previously published work (Karanovic et al. 2015), which is 5% between light and dark of *Physocypria biwaensis* (Crustacea: Ostracoda). The monophyly of the samples and the reference sequences were obtained from phylogenetic analysis. The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using neighbor-joining, maximum likelihood, and maximum parsimony algorithms in MEGAX (Kumar et al. 2018). Branching pattern and polarity were obtained from the outgroup comparison. The outgroup species were three copepod species, i.e., *Scaphocalanus manus* (MH707689), *Pseudocalanus minutus* (MH707688), and *Calanus hyperboreus* (MG320041). The confidence level of the branching pattern was obtained from 1000 pseudo-replication non-parametric bootstraps.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fifteen morphotypes are identified during microscopic observation, i.e., Cr01, CR02, CR03, CR04, CR05, CR06, CR07, CR08, CR09, CR10, CR11, CR12, CR13, CR14, and CR15, respectively. The number of morphotypes was far below the expectation. The expected number was over 40 morphotypes because the present study did not obtain shrimps, prawn, crabs, and other crustacean larvae. The later organisms are commonly found in Segara Anakan. The estimation was made based on previous by Mulyadi and Murniati (2017) that found 36 species for copepod from a narrower sampling site (downstream Donan River) in the eastern Segara Anakan.

Low number of obtained morphotypes could be because sample collection was performed in June, where spawning time for those species was passed. According to Saputra et al. (2005), crustacean's spawning time in Segara Anakan is from April to May. Besides, this study was only focused on economically important species. Therefore, the analyzed larvae were lower than the expected crustacean diversity in Segara Anakan.

One individual of each morphotype was shipped to a company for barcoding analysis. Thirteen out of fifteen

morphotypes were successfully sequenced, and 645 bp to 690 bp of the COI gene fragments were resulted (Table 1). The two remaining morphotypes produce messed sequences, even after the second trial, by cloned their gene to pTA2 vector and transformed *Escherichia coli*. Therefore, the analysis was only made for the thirteen sequences. The obtained sequences are the correct target fragment of the functional COI gene since sharp, single, and clear peaks were obtained in the chromatogram. The correctness of the obtained functional COI fragment was also proved by the absence of stop codon in their amino acid sequences after translation. Homology test using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) to the reference species resulted in variable homology values. The homology values ranged from 79.21% to 99.21%, with the e-values were 0.00 for all morphotypes, and total scores were similar to the maximal score (Table 1). The scientific name and accession number of reference species are also presented in Table 1.

Based on the homology values in Table 1, 12 samples were identified as Crustacea, i.e., Cr01, Cr02, Cr03, Cr04, Cr05, Cr07, Cr08, Cr09, Cr10, Cr11, Cr12, and Cr13. One remaining morphotype was identified as Chephalopoda (Cr15). Further analysis was focused on crustacean. Specifically, for the crustacean, nine out of the 12 morphotypes were identified into species level. The homology values ranged between 96.28% and 99.21% and counted for five species, namely *Fenneropenaeus merguensis*, *Acetes sibogae*, *Cloridopsis scorpio*, *Joryma hilsae*, and *Rhopalophthalmus indicus* (Table 1). Since *Fenneropenaeus merguensis* is a senior synonym of *Penaeus merguensis*, *P. merguensis* is preferred as the valid name in further discussion. Three remaining morphotypes were could only be identified into the genus level due to low homology values (between 84.59% and 94.40%) because it is below 95% (Lin et al. 2015) and accounted for three species, i.e., *Acetes* sp., *Neocallichirus* sp., and *Neodorippe* sp. Homology value is referred to as high if the value is similar or above 97%. The value between 95% and 97% is moderate (Jeffery et al. 2011). In this study, moderate homology values (96%) were used during species determination. The cut-off value was chosen because each species has a different mutation rate in their COI gene or even among individuals within species (Hebert et al. 2003; Yoshida et al. 2006; Karanovic et al. 2015; Palecanda et al. 2020). Also, specimens collected from different geographic areas (Western Europe and Canada) may have higher genetic divergence than those obtained from the same site (Lin et al. 2015). Both phenomena might cause a different genetic homology level among individuals in different species during the BLAST test.

Intraspecific genetic divergences were ranged from 0.0% (between Cr10 and Cr11, *R. indicus*) to 3.9% (between Cr10, Cr11 and *R. indicus* from GenBank) (Table 2). The values are common in precisely identified Crustacea species, and the values were highly variable from one to other crustacea groups. Moreover, the highest genetic divergence is below the common barcoding gap values of 5% (Meier et al. 2008; Candek and Kuntner

2015; Lin et al. 2015). Jeffery et al. (2011) reported that genetic divergences within Branchiopoda (Crustacea) ranged between 0.00% - 3.4%. A wider range of genetic divergences within species was reported by da Silva et al. (2011) where genetic divergences within Decapoda (Crustacea) range between 0.00% and 4.6%. Even, a higher range value was reported by Weis et al. (2014) in *Gammarus fossarum* (0.00% - 23.3%, mean 14.4%) and *G. fulex* (0.3% - 10.3%, mean 6.4%). The genetic divergence values among individuals within *G. fossarum* even higher than the outgroup species. However, the values were too extreme, and therefore the author concluded that *G. fossarum* was considered species complex. This study also observed a similar high genetic divergence value, especially between Cr05 and its reference species, *Neodorippe simplex*. However, since the value (5.9%) is higher than 5% of the species identity cut-off value (Karanovic et al. 2015), the morphotype was identified at the genus level (*Neodorippe*). Specific for larvae of Stomatopoda, the present study showed that the obtained intraspecific genetic divergence still within the highest cut-off value reported by Tang et al. (2010) in Stomatopoda, which was 2.4%. Higher genetic divergence on the crustacean COI gene was reported when geographic sampling is considered (Aguilar et al. 2017; Deli et al. 2018).

The Kimura 2-parameters genetic distances were calculated for the five highest hits of the BLAST algorithm. However, only the lowest values were presented in this report. The lowest genetic distances between crustacean samples and reference sequences were ranged between 0.87% and 17.82%. Genetic distances within species ranged between 0.87% in samples Cr02 and Cr03 with their reference species, respectively, and 4.05% in morphotype Cr10 and Cr11 to the reference species. The interspecific genetic distance ranged from 6.14% in Cr05 to 17.82% in Cr01, respectively. All genetic distances among morphotypes and their reference species are presented in Table 3.

Within this study, species determination was made based on the cut-off value of 4.05% of genetic distance. There is no standard genetic distance within species, and genetic distances are highly variable depending on the animal groups. For example, intraspecific genetic distance within insects was reached 21.1% (Lin et al. 2015), while Aguilar et al. (2017) reported the highest genetic distance in *Branchinecta lindahli* (Crustacea: Anostraca) was 7.4%. In contrast, it was reported that within-species genetic distance was ranged between 1.5% to 2% in *Vejdovskybathynella edelweiss* (Camacho et al. 2011). da Silva et al. (2011), Havermans et al. (2011), and Bilgin et al. (2015) also reported high variability of intraspecific genetic distance among crustacean species. Even Karanovic et al. (2015) reported that genetic distance within ostracods (Crustacea) was reached 8.6%. Therefore, the use of 4.05% of genetic distance for species cut-off within this study is reasonable because the value is below the 5% cut-off value that was used by Candek and Kuntner (2015) in insect and inside the range 4% to 5% as used by Lin et al. (2015).

Table 1. BLAST parameters of the morphotypes related to reference species

Code	Sequence length (bp)	Max score	Total score	Query cover	E-value	Identity	Reference species	Accession number
Cr01	675	676	676	97	0.0	85.28	<i>Galathea strigosa</i>	MG935275
		671	671	100	0.0	84.59	<i>Acetes chinensis</i>	JN689221
		665	665	97	0.0	84.92	<i>Uca leptodactyla</i>	KU313195
		665	665	92	0.0	86.04	<i>Sergestes arcticus</i>	JQ306307
Cr02	678	1214	1214	100	0.0	98.97	<i>Fenneropenaeus merguiensis</i>	KP637168
		1181	1181	100	0.0	98.08	<i>Penaeus merguiensis</i>	MK79239
		1177	1177	99	0.0	98.08	Decapoda sp.	KF714925
		1125	1125	100	0.0	96.61	<i>Penaeus indicus</i>	AF284431
Cr03	677	1134	1134	92	0.0	99.21	<i>Acetes aff sibogae</i>	KX399434
		636	636	100	6e-178	83.63	<i>Metapenaeus ensis</i>	MK430866
		608	608	100	3e-169	82.92	<i>Metapenaeus joyneri</i>	NC_042173
Cr04	675	682	682	96	0.0	85.50	<i>Neocallichirus grandimana</i>	MN184009
		640	640	96	4e-179	84.40	<i>Sergio mirim</i>	MF490066
		640	640	96	42-179	84.38	<i>Sergio guassutinga</i>	JN897380
		638	638	100	2e-178	83.75	<i>Nihonotrypaea thermophila</i>	JN897380
Cr05	690	1016	1016	95	0.0	94.40	<i>Neodorippe simplex</i>	EU636975
		754	754	95	0.0	87.37	<i>Paradorippe granulate</i>	EU636974
		752	752	94	0.0	87.50	<i>Emunida annulosa</i>	EU243471
Cr07	678	1098	1098	90	0.0	98.86	<i>Cloridopsis scorpio</i>	MH168247
		1027	1027	97	0.0	94.83	Stomatopoda sp.2 RWKT-2009_2_02	FJ459780
		1022	1022	97	0.0	94.68	Stomatopoda sp.2 RWKT-2009_2_01	FJ459782
		1022	1022	97	0.0	94.68	Stomatopoda sp.2 RWKT-2009_2_03	FJ459781
Cr08	687	1098	1098	90	0.0	98.86	<i>Cloridopsis scorpio</i>	MH168247
		1027	1027	97	0.0	94.83	Stomatopoda sp.2 RWKT-2009_2_02	FJ459780
		1022	1022	97	0.0	94.68	Stomatopoda sp.2 RWKT-2009_2_01	FJ459782
		1022	1022	97	0.0	94.68	Stomatopoda sp.2 RWKT-2009_2_03	FJ459781
Cr09	675	758	758	68	0.0	96.31	<i>Joryma hilsae</i>	KC896399
		464	464	90	3e-126	80.71	<i>Endoxyla secta</i>	GU828793
		460	460	88	4e-125	80.79	<i>Endoxyla</i> sp.	HQ951902
		455	455	99	2e-123	79.21	<i>Phortica</i> sp.	MN228918
Cr10	645	1038	1038	97	0.0	96.50	<i>Rhopalophthalmus indicus</i>	EU717687
		477	477	93	3e-130	81.13	Arthropoda sp. LPdivOTU433 isolate 1	HM465916
		472	472	93	2e-128	80.96	Arthropoda sp. LPdivOTU433 isolate 2	HM465917
		468	468	98	2e-125	80.09	<i>Peripatopsis moseleyi</i>	EU855273
Cr11	645	1059	1059	100	0.0	96.28	<i>Rhopalophthalmus indicus</i>	EU717687
		453	453	98	6e-123	79.81	<i>Liophron</i> sp.	MG926893
		449	449	98	7e-122	79.59	Arthropoda sp. LPdivOTU433 isolate 2	HM465917
		448	448	98	3e-121	79.53	Cecidomyiidae sp.	MF697185
Cr12	675	758	758	68	0.0	96.31	<i>Joryma hilsae</i>	KC896399
		464	464	90	3-126	80.71	<i>Endoxyla secta</i>	GU828793
		460	460	88	4-125	80.79	<i>Endoxyla</i> sp.	HQ951902
		455	455	99	2-123	79.21	<i>Phortica</i> sp.	MN228918
Cr13	668	1081	1081	98	0.0	96.35	<i>Rhopalophthalmus indicus</i>	EU717687
		483	483	97	8-132	80.18	Arthropoda sp LPdivOTU433 isolate 2	HM465917
		477	477	89	4-130	81.16	Arthropoda sp LPdivOTU433 isolate 1	HM465916
		470	470	100	6-128	79.49	<i>Munida gregaria</i>	KU521508
Cr15	675	1022	1022		0.0	95.47	<i>Idiosepius biserialis</i>	EU008972

Table 2. Total genetic divergences (%) within and among species

Code	Cr01	Ac	Cr02	Pm	Cr03	As	Cr04	Ng	Cr05	Neo	Cr07	Cs	Cr08	Cr09	Jor	Cr10	Cr11	Cr12	Cr13	Rho
Cr01																				
Ac	15.8																			
Cr02	18.0	20.4																		
Pm	17.8	20.4	0.9																	
Cr03	18.2	18.9	18.4	17.8																
As	17.6	18.7	18.2	17.6	0.9															
Cr04	19.1	23.4	22.1	22.6	24.5	24.1														
Ng	21.0	22.6	23.4	23.4	24.7	24.5	14.8													
Cr05	19.5	21.9	20.6	20.8	21.7	21.0	22.1	23.2												
Neo	21.3	22.1	22.8	22.1	22.6	21.9	23.2	22.8	5.9											
Cr07	20.8	22.1	17.6	18.0	21.3	20.8	20.0	23.9	21.7	23.4										
Cs	20.6	22.1	17.6	18.0	21.0	20.6	20.4	23.4	20.8	22.6	1.3									
Cr08	20.8	22.1	17.6	18.0	21.3	20.8	20.4	23.9	21.7	22.6	20.8	1.3								
Cr09	28.9	30.2	25.6	25.6	28.9	28.0	31.9	25.8	25.8	27.8	25.4	25.6	25.4							
Jor	27.8	29.3	26.0	26.0	28.6	28.2	31.2	30.8	25.2	27.3	25.4	25.6	25.4	3.7						
Cr10	25.8	29.3	28.9	29.1	28.9	28.9	23.0	24.7	24.9	26.2	24.7	24.5	24.7	31.9	31.7					
Cr11	25.8	29.3	28.9	29.1	28.9	28.9	23.0	24.7	24.9	26.2	24.7	24.5	24.7	31.9	31.7	0.0				
Cr12	28.9	25.6	25.6	25.6	28.9	28.0	31.9	31.0	25.8	27.8	25.4	25.6	25.4	0.0	3.7	31.9	31.9			
Cr13	25.4	28.6	28.9	29.1	28.2	28.2	23.0	24.1	24.5	25.6	25.2	24.9	25.2	31.7	31.5	0.9	0.9	31.7		
Rho	24.7	27.5	28.0	28.2	28.2	28.2	22.8	24.7	24.5	25.2	25.2	25.4	25.2	31.7	31.5	3.9	3.9	31.7	3.5	
Cr15	24.1	25.4	25.4	26.0	25.4	25.2	25.8	24.7	22.8	24.5	25.6	24.7	25.6	26.5	26.9	26.0	26.0	26.5	25.2	25.2

Notes: Ac: *Acetes chinensis*, Pm: *Penaeus merguensis*, As: *Acetes siboga*, Ng: *Neocallichirus grandimana*, Neo: *Neodorippe simplex*, Cs: *Cloridopsis scorio*, Jor: *Joryma hilsae*, Rho: *Rhopalophthalmus indicus*, Idio: *Idiosepius biserialis*

Table 3. The lowest Kimura 2-parameters genetic distances (%) between samples and reference species

Samples	Reference sequences	Accession number	Genetic distances (%)
Cr01	<i>Acetes chinensis</i>	JN689221	17.82
Cr02	<i>Fenneropenaeus merguensis</i> / <i>Penaeus merguensis</i>	KP637168	0.87
Cr03	<i>Acetes siboga</i>	KX399434	0.87
Cr04	<i>Neocallichirus grandimana</i>	MN184009	16.46
Cr05	<i>Neodorippe simplex</i>	EU636975	6.14
Cr07	<i>Cloridopsis scorio</i>	MH168247	1.32
Cr08	<i>Cloridopsis scorio</i>	MH168247	1.32
Cr09	<i>Joryma hilsae</i>	KC896399	3.81
Cr10	<i>Rhopalophthalmus indicus</i>	EU717687	4.05
Cr11	<i>Rhopalophthalmus indicus</i>	EU717687	4.05
Cr12	<i>Joryma hilsae</i>	KC896399	3.81
Cr13	<i>Rhopalophthalmus indicus</i>	EU717687	3.58
Cr15	<i>Idiosepius biserialis</i>	EU008972	4.50

The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed by involving five highest hits reference species. The tree reconstruction was conducted using maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML), and neighbor-joining (NJ) algorithms. The three algorithms resulted in a similar branching pattern of the phylogenetic tree and showed identical samples with the reference species grouping (Figure 2).

All samples formed monophyletic groups to their reference species with high bootstrap support in all used algorithms (ML, MP, and NJ, bold values) (Figure 2). The monophyly of the samples to their reference species provides two pieces of information. First, it is strengthening the samples' previous assignment into

specific taxa as provided by BLAST results and genetic distance data. According to Xu et al. (2015), specimens are considered a single taxon if they formed a monophyletic group. Second, it provides additional evidence that the COI gene is a reliable marker for species discrimination and identification, including crustacean larvae. The COI gene's appropriateness for larvae identification is because COI is easy to change (Nuryanto et al. 2017; 2018; 2019). That is due to its high mutation rate, leading to a high phylogenetic resolution (Hebert et al. 2003). Tang et al. (2010), Bhagawati et al. (2020), and Palecanda et al. (2020) also reported clear species separation and their monophyly with reference species in other Crustacea groups.




Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree among eight samples species and reference species. Note: left: MP bootstrap; center: ML bootstrap; right: NJ bootstrap.

Table 4. Taxonomic status of the crustacean larvae collected in the eastern areas of Segara Anakan Cilacap

Sample code	Order	Family	Genus	Species
Cr01	Decapoda	Sergestidae	<i>Acetes</i>	<i>Acetes</i> sp.
Cr02	Decapoda	Penaeidae	<i>Penaeus</i>	<i>Penaeus merguensis</i>
Cr03	Decapoda	Sergestidae	<i>Acetes</i>	<i>Acetes sibogae</i>
Cr04	Decapoda	Callichiridae	<i>Neocallichirus</i>	<i>Neocallichirus</i> sp.
Cr05	Decapoda	Dorippidae	<i>Neodorippe</i>	<i>Neodorippe</i> sp.
Cr07	Stomatopoda	Squillidae	<i>Cloridopsis</i>	<i>Cloridopsis scorio</i>
Cr08	Stomatopoda	Squillidae	<i>Cloridopsis</i>	<i>Cloridopsis scorio</i>
Cr09	Isopoda	Cymothoidae	<i>Joryma</i>	<i>Joryma hilsae</i>
Cr10	Mysida	Mysidae	<i>Rhopalophthalmus</i>	<i>Rhopalophthalmus indicus</i>
Cr11	Mysida	Mysidae	<i>Rhopalophthalmus</i>	<i>Rhopalophthalmus indicus</i>
Cr12	Isopoda	Cymothoidae	<i>Joryma</i>	<i>Joryma hilsae</i>
Cr13	Mysida	Mysidae	<i>Rhopalophthalmus</i>	<i>Rhopalophthalmus indicus</i>
Cr15	Idiosepidia	Idiosepiidae	<i>Idiosepius</i>	<i>Idiosepius minimus</i>

According to the homology, genetic divergence and genetic distance values, the monophyly and branch length of the samples to their reference sequences, the crustacean larvae samples in this study can be identified into five species (*Acetes sibogae*, *Penaeus merguensis*, *Cloridopsis scorio*, *Joryma hilsae*, and *Rhopalophthalmus indicus*) and three genera (*Acetes*, *Neocallichirus*, and *Neodorippe*). The taxonomic status of each sample is listed in Table 4.

Two different morphotypes were genetically identified as single species (Cr07 and Cr08) (Table 4). Both morphotypes were genetically determined as *C. scorio*. The morphotypes Cr09 and Cr12 were identified as *Joryma hilsae*, and Cr10, Cr11, and Cr13, identified as *R. indicus*. Genetically similar species of different morphotypes proved that larvae determination based on characteristic morphological lead to miss-identification. It is because larvae have a little morphological character for species determination (Pegg et al. 2006).

Moreover, the difficulty in identifying the larvae using morphology is caused by the morphological similarity between larvae of two different species but in the same phase. Likewise, larvae of the same species but in different stages will have different morphologies. Therefore, this study proved that the COI gene is a powerfully essential and useful molecular marker for precise species identification of morphologically similar larvae. Previous studies reported identical result about the reliability of the COI gene in species-level identification of larvae, such as Tang et al. (2010) and Palecanda et al. (2020) in Stomatopoda; Ko et al. (2013), and Pereira et al. (2013) in fish, and Palero et al. 2016) in *Scyllarides squammosus* (Decapoda).

The present study obtained different species of *Acetes* compared to the survey by Akbar et al. (2013). In this study, two species of *Acetes* were obtained, namely *Acetes* sp. and *A. sibogae*, while Akbar et al. (2013) found *A. japonicus*. Similar phenomena were observed when the present study was compared to Djuwito et al. (2013) survey. In this study, mantis shrimp (*Cloridopsis scorio* Latreille, 1828) was found, while Djuwito et al. (2013) obtained *Oratosquilla oratoria* de Haan, 1884 mantis shrimp. The differences could be due to three reasons, i.e.,

First, the present study was conducted on larvae stages, while Akbar et al. (2013) studied the adult stage. The larvae stage inhabits nursery grounds like an estuary, while the adult stage inhabits coastal areas as their original habitat. Second, the present study used the COI gene as a taxonomic character, whereas Akbar et al. (2013) used morphological characters during their research. Therefore, in comparison to Akbar et al. (2013) was not congruent. However, no barcoding study has been done on adult crustacean from the Segara Anakan estuary, makes equal comparison difficult. Third, the difference could be due to morphological constraints during the identification of *A. japonicus* because *Acetes* is a small species with a maximum adult size is approximately 3 cm. With that size, less experienced taxonomists will face difficulties during species identification and might lead to miss-identification. Molecular identification, which was conducted in this study, could solve the problems and provide a precise species identification tool.

Based on the current study, Djuwito et al. (2013) reported mantis shrimp, *O. oratoria* live in the eastern areas of Segara Anaka estuary. The present study obtained mantis shrimp, *Cloridopsis scorio*. The different mantis shrimp species that got could be because the current study used the COI gene during species identification, while previous studies used morphological characters during species identification. There is a possibility that miss-identification was occurred during morphological identification of the mantis shrimp samples from Cilacap, especially for *Oratosquilla oratoria*. According to Palomares and Pauly (2019) and WoRMS Editorial Board (2020), *O. oratoria* is not living in the Indonesia waters. However, further study using a molecular marker for species identification of adult individuals of mantis shrimp in Segara Anakan is needed to precisely determine their taxonomic status. In contrast, mantis shrimp (*Cloridopsis scorio*) obtained in this study is a correct species for specimens from Cilacap waters, including Segara Anakan, because *C. scorio* has geographic distribution in the Indo-West Pacific and native to Indonesia (Palomares and Pauly 2019).

The Segara Anakan conservation effort has been started since 2007 based on Indonesia's law number 27 about Management of Coastal Areas and Small Islands. It was strengthened by Government Regulation Number 26 of 2008 concerning National Spatial Planning. According to the regulation, Segara Anakan area has been designated as a National Strategic Area. The conservation effort of the Segara Anakan estuary was further emphasized by the issuance of Indonesia's law number 1 in 2014. Article 28, paragraph 3d, stated that the Segara Anakan Lagoon is a unique coastal ecosystem and is vulnerable to change. Hence, the existence of the Segara Anakan mangrove ecosystem needs to be preserved for sustainable development. However, all the regulations were made based on the government's political view with a little scientific basis. Therefore, the number of crustacean species obtained in the eastern areas of Segara Anakan has important implications for Segara Anakan conservation. However, further studies to extend taxonomic and systematic data about crustacean and other aquatic species that utilized Segara Anakan estuary as spawning and nursery ground are still needed, especially for high economically important species. Moreover, additional data, such as social-economic and ecological data of Segara Anakan, are also required to provide a more comprehensive figure about Segara Anakan estuary. So conservation policy can be formulated based on a strong scientific basis.

It is concluded crustacean larvae from eastern areas of Segara Anakan can be identified into eight species using the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1, namely *Acetes* sp., *Acetes sibogae*, *Penaeus merguensis*, *Neocallichirus* sp., *Neodorippe* sp., *Cloridopsis scorpio*, *Joryma hilsae*, and *Rhopalophthalmus indicus*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Jenderal Soedirman University for funding this research through the research scheme *Riset Peningkatan Kompetensi* (Contract No. T/391/UN23.18/PT.01.03/2020). We thank the Research and Public Service Institute of Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia, for allowing the researchers to do this research by approving the funding for this study. We are acknowledged to the Dean of Faculty of Biology Jenderal Soedirman University, who facilitates the researcher by utilizing the faculty's equipment. We would like to thank the Major of Cilacap District, who has permitted us to research Segara Anakan. Thanks to all other persons who gave valuable contributions during the research and reviewer who gave advice and made corrections to enrich this manuscript.

REFERENCES

Aguilar A, Maeda-Martinez AM, Murugan G, Obregon-Barboza H, Rogers DC, McClintock K, Krumm JL. 2017. High intraspecific genetic divergence in the versatile fairy shrimp *Branchinecta lindahli* with a comment on cryptic species in the genus *Branchinecta*

- (Crustacea: Anostraca). *Hydrobiol* 801: 59-69. DOI: 10.1007/s10750-017-3283-3.
- Akbar PP, Solichin A, Saputra SW. 2013. Analysis of length-weight relationship and condition factor of rebon shrimp (*Acetes japonicas*) in Cilacap of Central Java. *J Manag Aquat Resour* 2 (3): 161-169. [Indonesian]
- Ardli ER. 2007. Spatial and temporal dynamic of mangrove conversion at the Segara Anakan Cilacap, Java, Indonesia. In: Yuwono E, Jannerjahn T, Sastranegara MH, Sukardi P (eds). *Synopsis of Ecological and Socio-economic Aspects of Tropical Coastal Ecosystem with Special Reference to Segara Anakan*. Research Institute University of Jenderal Soedirman, Purwokerto.
- Asmara H, Riani E, Susanto A. 2011. Analysis of some reproductive aspects of mangrove crab (*Scylla serrata*) in Segara Anakan waters, Cilacap District, Central Java. *Jurnal Matematika, Sains dan Teknologi* 12 (1): 30-36. [Indonesian]
- Bekker EI, Karabanov DP, Galimov YR, Kotov AA. 2016. DNA barcoding reveals high cryptic diversity in the North Eurasian *Moina* species (Crustacea: Cladocera). *PLoS One* 11 (8): e0161737. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0161737.
- Bhagawati D, Winarni ET, Nuryanto A. 2020. Molecular barcoding reveals the existence of mole crabs *Emerita emerita* in North Coast of Central Java. *Biosaintifika* 12 (1): 104-110. DOI: 10.15294/biosaintifika.v12i1.20497.
- Bilgin R, Utkan MA, Kalkan E, Karhan SU, Bekbolet M. 2015. DNA barcoding of twelve shrimp (Crustacea: Decapoda) from Turkish sea reveals cryptic diversity. *Mediterr Mar Sci* 16 (1): 36-45. DOI: 10.12681/mms.548.
- Camacho AI, Dorda BA, Rey I. 2011. Identifying cryptic speciation across groundwater populations: first COI sequences of Bathynellidae (Crustacea, Syncarida). *Graellsia* 67 (1): 7-12. DOI: 10.3989/graelisia.2011.v67.031.
- Candek K, Kuntner M. 2015. DNA barcoding gap: Reliable species identification over morphological and geographical scales. *Mol Ecol Resour* 15 (2): 268-277. DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.12304.
- da Silva JM, Creer S, dos Santos A, Costa AC, Cunha MR, Costa FO, Carvalho GR. 2011. Systematic and evolutionary insights derived from mtDNA COI barcode diversity in the Decapoda (Crustacea: Malacostraca). *PloS ONE* 6 (5): e19449. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019449.
- Deli T, Kalkan E, Karha SU, Uzunova S, Keikhosravi A, Bilgin R, Schubart CD. 2018. Parapatric divergence among deep evolutionary lineages in the Mediterranean green crab, *Carcinus aestuarii* (Brachyura, Portunoidea, Carcinidae), accounts for a sharp phylogeographic break in the Eastern Mediterranean. *BMC Evol Biol* 18: 53. DOI: 10.1186/s12862-018-1167-4.
- Djuwito, Saputra SW, Widyaningtiwi WA. 2013. Ecological aspects of mantis shrimp (*Oratosquilla oratoria* De Haan, 1844) in Cilacap water, Central Java. *J Manag Aquat Resour* 2 (3): 56-64.
- Folmer, O., Black, M., Lutz, R., and Vrijenhoek, R. 1994. DNA Primers for Amplification of Mitochondrial Cytochrome C Oxidase Subunit I from Metazoan Invertebrates. *Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol.* 3 (5): 294-299.
- Hall TA. 2005. BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser* 41: 95-98. DOI: 10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-14998u1.29.
- Havermans C, Nagy ZT, Sonet G, De Broyer C, Martin P. 2011. DNA barcoding reveals new insights into the diversity of Antarctic species of *Orchomene sensu lato* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea). *Deep-Sea Research II*(58): 230-241.
- Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, de Waard JR. 2003. Biological identification through DNA barcodes. *Proc Royal Soc Lond B* 270 (1512): 313-321.
- Jeffery NW, Elias-Gutierrez M, Adamowicz SJ. 2011. Species diversity and phylogeographical affinities of the Branchiopoda (Crustacea) of Churchill, Manitoba, Canada. *PLoS One* 6 (5): e18364. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018364.
- Karanovic I. 2015. Barcoding of ancient lake Ostracods (Crustacea) reveals cryptic speciation with extremely low distances. *PLoS One* 10 (3): e0121133. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121133.
- Ko HL, Wang YT, Chiu TS, Lee MA, Leu MY, Chang KZ, Chen WY, Shao KT. 2013. Evaluating the accuracy of morphological identification of larval fishes by applying DNA barcoding. *PLoS ONE* 8 (1): 253451. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0053451.

- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* 35 (6): 1547-1549. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msy096.
- Lin X, Stur E, Ekrem T. 2015. Exploring genetic divergence in a species-rich insect genus using 2790 DNA barcodes. *PLoS One* 10(9): e0138993. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0138993.
- Manez KS. 2010. Java's forgotten pearls: The history and disappearance of pearl fishing in the Segara Anakan Lagoon, South Java, Indonesia. *J Hist Geogr* 36 (4): 367-376. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhg.2010.03.004.
- Meier R, Zhang G, Ali F. 2008. The use of mean instead of smallest interspecific distances exaggerates the size of the "barcoding gap" and leads to misidentification. *Syst Biol* 57 (5): 809-813. DOI: 10.1080/10635150802406343.
- Mulyadi, Murniati DC. 2017. Diversity, abundance, and distribution of copepods (Crustacea) in the mangrove area of Segara Anakan, Cilacap. *Oseanologi dan Limnologi Di Indonesia* 2(2): 21-31. [Indonesian]
- Nordhaus I, Hadipudjana FA, Jansen R, Pamungkas J. 2009. Spatio-temporal variation of microbenthic communities in the mangrove-fringed Segara Anakan lagoon Indonesia, affected by anthropogenic activities. *Reg Environ Change* 9 (4): 291-313.
- Nuryanto A, Pramono H, Sastranegara MH. 2017. Molecular identification of fish larvae from East Plawangan of Segara Anakan, Cilacap, Central Java, Indonesia. *Biosaintifika* 9 (1): 33-40. DOI: 10.15294/biosaintifika.v9i1.9191.
- Nuryanto A, Amalia G, Khairani D, Pramono H, Bhagawati D. 2018. Molecular characterization four giant gourami strains from Java dan Sumatra. *Biodiversitas* 19 (2): 528-539. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190228.
- Nuryanto A, Komalawati N, Sugiharto. 2019. Genetic diversity assessment of *Hemibagrus nemurus* from rivers in Java Island, Indonesia using COI gene. *Biodiversitas* 20 (9): 2707-2717. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d200936.
- Palecanda S, Feller KD, Porter ML. 2020. Using larval barcoding to estimate stomatopod species richness at Lizard Island, Australia for conservation monitoring. *Sci Rep* 10: 10990. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-67696-x.
- Palero F, Genis-Armero R, Hall MR, Clark PF. 2016. DNA barcoding the phyllosoma of *Scyllarides squammosus* (H. Milne Edwards, 1837) (Decapoda: Achelata: Scyllaridae). *Zootaxa* 4139 (4): 481- 498. DOI: 10.11646/zootaxa.4139.4.2.
- Pegg GG, Sinclair B, Briskey L, Aspden WJ. 2006. MtDNA barcode identification of fish larvae in the southern Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Scientia Marina* 70 (S2): 7-12.
- Pereira LHG, Hanner R, Foresti F, Oliveira C. 2013. Can DNA barcoding accurately discriminate megadiverse Neotropical freshwater fish fauna? *BMC Genet* 12: 20.
- Palomares MLD, Pauly D. 2019. SeaLifeBase: World Wide Web Electronic Publication. www.sealifebase.org. Version 12, 2019.
- Pratiwi R, Sukardjo S. 2018. Effect of rainfall on the population of shrimps *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius in Segara Anakan Lagoon, Central Java, Indonesia. *Biotropia* 25 (3): 156-169.
- Raupach MJ, Radulovici AE. 2015. Looking back on a decade barcoding crustaceans. *Zookeys* 539: 53-81. DOI: 10.3897/zookeys.539.6530
- Redjeki S, Arif M, Hartati R, Pinandita LK. 2017. Density and distribution of crab (Brachyura) in mangrove forest ecosystem of Segara Anakan Segara Anakan Cilacap. *Jurnal Kelautan Tropis* 20 (2): 131-139. [Indonesian]
- Riehl T, Brenke N, Brix S, Driskell A, Kaiser S, Brandt A. 2014. Field and laboratory methods for DNA studies on deep-sea isopod crustaceans. *Polish Polar Res* 35 (22): 203-224.
- Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sanchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Osins SE, Sanchez-Gracia A. 2017. DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism analysis of large data sets. *Mol Biol Evol* 34 (12): 3299-3302. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msx248.
- Saputra SW, Sukimin S, Boer M, Affandi R, Monintja DR. 2005. Reproductive aspect and spawning ground of *Metapenaeus elegans* (De Man, 1907) in Segara Anakan Lagoon, Cilacap, Central Java. *Ilmu Kelautan* 10 (1): 41-49.
- Saputra SW. 2010. Study on biological aspects of shrimp *Leptocarpus potamiscus* Segara Anakan lagoon Cilacap Central Java. *Pena Akuatika* 1 (1): 76-84. [Indonesian]
- Tang RWK, Yau C, Ng W-C. 2010. Identification of stomatopod larvae (Crustacea: Stomatopoda) from Hong Kong waters using DNA barcodes. *Mol Ecol Res* 10 (3): 439-448. DOI: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02794.x.
- Thompson JG, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignments through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* 22 (22): 4673-4680. DOI: 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673.
- von der Heyden S, Berger M, Toonen RJ, van Herwerden L, Juinio-Menez MA, Ravago-Gotanco R, Fauvelot C, Bernardi G. 2014. The Application of Genetics to Marine Management and Conservation: Examples from the Indo-Pacific *Bull Mar Sci* 90 (1): 123-158.
- Wagiyo K, Damora A, Pane ARP. 2018. Biological aspects, population dynamics and stock density of banana prawns (*Penaeus merguensis* de Man, 1888) in the nursery habitat of Segara Anakan estuaries, Cilacap. *Jurnal Penelitian Perikanan Indonesia* 24 (2): 127-136. [Indonesian]
- Weis M, Macher JN, Seefeldt MA, Leese F. 2014. Molecular evidence for further overlooked species within the *Gammarus fossarum* complex (Crustacea: Amphipoda). *Hydrobiol* 721 (1): 165-184. DOI: 10.1007/s10750-013-1658-7.
- Widianingsih, Nuraini RAT, Hartati R, Redjeki S, Riniatsih I, Andanar CE, Endrawati H, Mahendrajaya RT. 2019. Morphometry and growth of *Scylla serrata* (Phylum: Arthropoda, Family: Portunidae) in Penikel Village, Segara Anakan, Cilacap. *Jurnal Kelautan Tropis* 22 (1): 57-62.
- WoRMS Editorial Board. 2020. World Register of Marine Species. Available from <http://www.marinespecies.org> at VLIZ. Accessed 2020-08-11. DOI: 10.14284/170.
- Xu X, Liu F, Chen J, Li D, Kuntner M. 2015. Integrative taxonomy of the primitively segmented spider genus *Ganthela* (Araneae: Mesothelae: Liphistiidae): DNA barcoding gap agrees with morphology. *Zool J Linnean Soc* 175 (2): 288-306. DOI: 10.1111/zoj.12280.
- Yoshida M, Tsuneki K, Furuya H. 2006. Phylogeny of selected Sepiidae (Mollusca, Cephalopoda) based on 12S, 16S, and COI sequences, with comments on the taxonomic reliability of several morphological characters. *Zool Sci* 23: 341-351.
- Zalindri M, Sastranegara MH. 2015. Community structure of intertidal crab in degraded mangrove in Segara Anakan Cilacap. *Biosfera* 32 (3): 154-161. DOI: 10.20884/1.mib.2015.32.3.338. [Indonesian]