

Acta technologica agriculturae

→ The Scientific Journal
for Agricultural
Engineering

Journal



Acta Technologica Agriculturae

The Scientific Journal for Agricultural Engineering The Journal of Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra

 Download

 [Share](#)

 For Authors

Aims & Scope	Editorial Board	Abstracting & Indexing	Issues	Submit
Editor-in-Chief Prof. Dr Roman Gálik, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Deputy/Managing Editor Dr Katarína Kollárová, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Slovak Members Prof. Dr Miroslav Bošanský, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Slovakia Assoc. Prof. Dr Jana Galambošová, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Prof. Dr Ernest Gondár, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Slovakia Assoc. Prof. Dr Zuzana Hlaváčová, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Prof. Dr Dušan Hrubý, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Assoc. Prof. Dr Marián Kučera, Technical University in Zvolen, Slovakia Assoc. Prof. Dr Jana Lendelová, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Prof. Dr Štefan Mihina, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Prof. Dr Ján Paško, Technical University of Košice, Slovakia Assoc. Prof. Dr Štefan Pogran, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Assoc. Prof. Dr Jozef Rédl, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Prof. Dr Zdenko Tkáč, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Assoc. Prof. Dr Vlasta Vozárová, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia Foreign Members Prof. Dr Nikolay Aldoshin, Russian State Agrarian University, Russia Assoc. Prof. Dr Petr Bartoš, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Czech Republic Dr. h. c. Prof. Dr Hristo Beloev, Angel Kanchev University of Ruse, Bulgaria Prof. Dr Witold Biały, Silesian University of Technology, Poland Prof. Dr Wacław Bieda, University of Agriculture in Kraków, Poland Prof. Dr Volodymyr Bulgakov, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine Prof. Dr István Farkas, Szent István University, Hungary				

Prof. Dr Helmi, Andalas University, Indonesia
Assoc. Prof. Dr Guangnan Chen, University of Southern Queensland,
Queensland, Australia
Assoc. Prof. Dr Mohsen Janmohammadi, University of Maragheh, Iran
Assoc. Prof. Dr Klein E. Ileleji, Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
Mr Yoshisuke Kishida, Shin-Norinsha Co. Ltd., Japan
Assoc. Prof. Dr Stepan Kovalyshyn, Lviv National Agrarian University,
Ukraine
Prof. Dr Davor Kralik, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Croatia
Prof. h. c. Assoc. Prof. Dr Pavel Máchal, Mendel University in Brno, Czech
Republic
Dr. h. c. Prof. Dr Jan Mareček, Mendel University in Brno, Czech Republic
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jiří Mašek, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Czech
Republic
Assoc. Prof. Dr Radek Martinek, VŠB – Technical University of Ostrava, Czech
Republic
Assoc. Prof. Dr Thiago Libório Romanelli, Luiz de Queiroz College of
Agriculture, Brazil
Prof. Dr Abdullah Sessiz, Dicle University, Turkey
Prof. Dr habil. Matthias Schick, Agroscope Tänikon, Switzerland
Prof. Dr Mirosław J. Skibniewski, University of Maryland, USA
Prof. Dr Victor Aleksandrovich Smelik, Saint-Petersburg State Agrarian
University, Russia
Dr. h. c. Prof. Dr Stanislav Sosnowski, Rzeszów School of Engineering and
Economics, Poland
Prof. Dr Jan Turan, University of Novi Sad, Serbia
Prof. Dr Minli Yang, China Research Center for Agricultural Mechanization
Development; China Agricultural University, China

Language Editor

Mgr. Michaela Guzmická, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia

Technical Editor

Ing. Ľubica Ďudáková, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia

Contact

Vydavateľstvo SPU (University Publishing and Editorial Centre)

Tr. A. Hlinku 2

949 76 Nitra

Slovak Republic

acta.tf@gmail.com

Publisher

De Gruyter Poland

Bogumiła Zuga 32A Str.

01-811 Warsaw, Poland

T: +48 22 701 50 15

Plan your remote conference with Sciendo

[Find out more](#)



Sciendo is a De Gruyter company

[Publish with us](#)

[Latest News](#)

[About Sciendo](#)

[Contacts](#)

[Terms](#)

[Privacy](#)

Contact

De Gruyter Poland Sp. z o.o.
Bogumila Zuga 32a
01-811 Warsaw, Poland

info@sciendo.com

[+48 22 701 50 15](tel:+48227015015)

Our partners:



[← Previous](#)

Issues

[Next →](#)

Volume 23 (2020): Issue 2 (June 2020)

[Download](#) [Share](#)

8 Articles Sort By ▾

Evaluation of Co and No_x Emissions in Real-Life Operating Conditions of Herbaceous Biomass Briquettes Combustion

[Jan Malaták](#), [Jan Velebil](#), [Jiří Bradna](#), [Arkadiusz Gendek](#), [Barbora Tamelová](#)

Published Online: 05 Jun 2020

Page range: 53 - 59

[Download](#) [Cite](#) [Article Preview](#)

Energy Requirement Optimization of Greenhouse Vegetable Production Using Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) Method in Algeria

[Ahmed Nourani](#), [Abdelaali Bencheikh](#)

Published Online: 05 Jun 2020

Page range: 60 - 66

[Download](#) [Cite](#) [Article Preview](#)

Innovative Husk-Crete Building Materials from Rice Chaff and Modified Cement Mortars

[Banjo Akinyemi](#), [Temidayo E. Omoniyi](#), [Olugbenga Elemile](#), [Oluwafemi Arowofila](#)

Published Online: 05 Jun 2020

Page range: 67 - 72

[Download](#) [Cite](#) [Article Preview](#)

Application of Percolation Theory in Thermokinetics

[Alok Dhaundiyal](#), [Suraj Bhan Singh](#)

Published Online: 05 Jun 2020

Page range: 73 - 80

 Download

 Cite

[Article Preview](#)

Recycled Green PE Composites Reinforced with Woven and Randomly Arranged Sisal Fibres Processed by Hot Compression Moulding

[Bruno Dorneles De Castro](#), [Paulo Eustáquio De Faria](#),
[Luciano Machado Gomes Vieira](#), [Claudia Victoria Campos Rubio](#),
[Rômulo Maziero](#), [Paulo César De Matos Rodrigues](#),
[Juan Carlos Campos Rubio](#)

Published Online: 05 Jun 2020

Page range: 81 - 86

 Download

 Cite

[Article Preview](#)

Verification of Thermo-Technical Characteristics of Selected Floor Constructions for Dairy Cows (Pilot Study)

[Michaela Némethová](#), [Jana Lendelová](#), [Veronika Šranková](#), [Miroslav Žitňák](#),
[Lubomír Botto](#)

Published Online: 05 Jun 2020

Page range: 87 - 91

 Download

 Cite

[Article Preview](#)

Improved Procedure for Natural Convection Garlic Drying

[Amor Bouhdjar](#), [Hakim Semai](#), [Amal Boukadoum](#), [Sofiane Elmokretar](#),
[Azzedine Mazari](#), [Mohamed Semiani](#), [Aissa Amari](#)

Published Online: 05 Jun 2020

Page range: 92 - 98

 Download

 Cite

[Article Preview](#)

The Utilization of Mushroom Waste Substrate in Producing Vermicompost: The Decomposer Capacity of *Lumbricus rubellus*, *Eisenia fetida* and *Eudrilus eugeniae*

[Agus Mulyadi Purnawanto](#), [Yugi R. Ahadiyat](#), [Achmad Iqbal](#),

Published Online: 05 Jun 2020

Page range: 99 - 104

 Download

 Cite

[Article Preview](#)

Journal



Acta Technologica Agriculturae

The Scientific Journal for Agricultural Engineering The Journal of Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra

 [Download](#)

 [Share](#)

 [For Authors](#)

Aims & Scope	Editorial Board	Abstracting & Indexing	Issues	Submit
<i>Acta Technologica Agriculturae</i> is covered by the following services: • AGRICOLA (National Agricultural Library) • AGRIS • Baidu Scholar • CABI (over 50 subsections) • CNKI Scholar (China National Knowledge Infrastructure) • CNPIEC - cnpLINKer • Dimensions • DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals) • EBSCO (relevant databases) • EBSCO Discovery Service • FSTA - Food Science & Technology Abstracts • Genamics JournalSeek • Google Scholar • Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) • J-Gate • JournalGuide • JournalTOCs • KESLI-NDSL (Korean National Discovery for Science Leaders) • Microsoft Academic • MyScienceWork • Naver Academic • Naviga (Softweco) • Primo Central (ExLibris) • Publons • QOAM (Quality Open Access Market) • ReadCube • SCOPUS • Semantic Scholar • Sherpa/RoMEO • Summon (ProQuest) • TDNet • Ulrich's Periodicals Directory/ulrichsweb • WanFang Data • Web of Science - Emerging Sources Citation Index • WorldCat (OCLC)				



SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name

[Home](#)
[Journal Rankings](#)
[Country Rankings](#)
[Viz Tools](#)
[Help](#)
[About Us](#)

Acta Technologica Agriculturae

COUNTRY

[Poland](#)


Universities and research institutions in Poland

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

[Agricultural and Biological Sciences](#)
[Agronomy and Crop Science](#)
[Engineering](#)
[Mechanical Engineering](#)
[Environmental Science](#)
[Waste Management and Disposal](#)

PUBLISHER

[De Gruyter Open Ltd.](#)

H-INDEX

8

PUBLICATION TYPE

[Journals](#)

ISSN

[13352555, 13385267](#)

COVERAGE

[2015-2020](#)

INFORMATION

[Homepage](#)
[How to publish in this journal](#)
acta.tf@gmail.com

Ad closed by Google

ATA aims to advance the understanding of agricultural engineering by providing a platform for the publication of unique contributions in the field of agricultural engineering across a variety of topics, including, but not limited to: agricultural and biosystems engineering; machines and mechanization of agricultural production; information and electrical technologies; agro-product and food processing engineering; physical, chemical and biological changes in the soil caused by tillage and field traffic, soil working machinery and terramechanics; renewable energy sources and bioenergy; rural buildings; agricultural waste management and disposal; related issues from applied physics and chemistry, ecology, economy and energy.

 Join the conversation about this journal

Ad closed by Google

   Quartiles

Ad closed by Google

FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS 			
1	2 Agronomy Research	3	4 Biofuels

Research in Agricultural
CZE

EST

Agricultural Engineering
JPN

GBR

28%

similarity

26%

similarity


21%

similarity


18%

similarity


SJR



Citations per document




Total Cites Self-Cites




Cites / Doc. (4 years)
 Cites / Doc. (3 years)
 Cites / Doc. (2 years)


External Cites per Doc Cites per Doc




% International Collaboration



Citable documents Non-citable documents



Cited documents Uncited documents



Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimagoj.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100811733&tip=sid&clean=0"
```



Ad closed by Google

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2021

R **Rezky Eko Bawono** 6 months ago

Excuse me sir, My name is Rezky Eko Bawono. I'm a mechanical engineering student. I'm interesting to submit my journals in Acta Technologica Agriculturae. But i want to know before i submit my journals. When Acta Technologica Agriculturae published the journal per years? And when? Thanks you.

Regards,

Rezky Eko Bawono

reply



Melanie Ortiz 6 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Rezky,
thank you for contacting us.

We are sorry to tell you that SCImago Journal & Country Rank is not a journal. SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Elsevier/Scopus. Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you visit the journal's homepage or contact the journal's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply. Best Regards, SCImago Team

Leave a comment

Name

Email

(will not be published)

☐ I'm not a robot

reCAPTCHA
[Privacy](#) - [Terms](#)

[Submit](#)

The users of Scimago Journal & Country Rank have the possibility to dialogue through comments linked to a specific journal. The purpose is to have a forum in which general doubts about the processes of publication in the journal, experiences and other issues derived from the publication of papers are resolved. For topics on particular articles, maintain the dialogue through the usual channels with your editor.

Developed by:



Powered by:



Follow us on @ScimagoJR

Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2020. Data Source: Scopus®

EST MODUS IN REBUS

Horatio (Satire 1,1,106)

Acta Technologica Agriculturae 2
Nitra, Slovaca Universitas Agriculturae Nitriae, 2020, pp. 99–104

THE UTILIZATION OF MUSHROOM WASTE SUBSTRATE IN PRODUCING VERMICOMPOST: THE DECOMPOSER CAPACITY OF *LUMBRICUS RUBELLUS*, *EISENIA FETIDA* AND *EUDRILUS EUGENIAE*

Agus Mulyadi PURNAWANTO¹, Yugi R. AHADIYAT^{2*}, Achmad IQBAL², TAMAD²

¹Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia

²Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Purwokerto, Indonesia

The objective of this study was to determine the capacity of *Lumbricus rubellus*, *Eisenia fetida* and *Eudrilus eugeniae* earthworms in vermicompost production utilizing mushroom waste substrate based on weight; number and weight loss of earthworms; temperature; pH; moisture content of media; and C/N ratio. The results showed that, by using 42 g of *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* earthworms, there was an increase in weight of earthworms and vermicompost by more than 300% and 75%, respectively. In general, these three species of earthworms were able to produce vermicompost in compliance with quality standards, showing C/N ratio lower than 20.

Keywords: earthworms; mushroom waste substrate; vermicompost

Demand for mushrooms as a protein source is steadily increasing (Mukherjee and Nandi, 2004). Over the past 35 years, mushroom production increased 25-fold, from approx. 1 billion kg in 1978 to 27 billion kg in 2012 (Royse, 2014). Increased mushroom production results in an increase in the amount of waste substrate (Hanafi et al., 2018) – approx. 5 kg per mushroom substrate (Zisopoulos et al., 2016). The major components of mushroom waste substrate are sawdust; banana leaves; peanut shells; corn leaves and husk; sugarcane leaves; wheat straw; cotton waste; or paper waste (Jordan et al., 2008; Sendi et al., 2013), which are degraded during the process of mushroom production (Roy et al., 2015).

Mushroom waste substrate can be converted into valuable material by composting (Garg et al., 2006). This process is simple, economically valuable and safe for human health and environment as a waste management technology (Kovačić et al., 2019). Composting can be performed utilizing e.g. earthworms – this is known as vermicomposting (Suthar and Singh, 2008). Earthworms break down and degrade the waste by ingesting the organic materials, i.e. plant litter, soil organic matter and mineral soil particles (Bath et al., 2017; Vidal et al., 2019). Contents of plant nutrients, hormones, beneficial enzymes and microbes in vermicompost is higher in contrast to conventional compost (Bajal et al., 2019). However, the process of vermicomposting is very dependent on the type of substrate and species of earthworm used (Sinha, 2009; A'ali et al., 2017). Usually, mushroom waste substrate with sawdust is treated using certain species of earthworm, such as *Lumbricus rubellus*, *Lumbricus terrestris*, *Eisenia fetida*, *Eisenia andrei* and *Eudrilus eugeniae* (Tajbakhsh et al., 2008; Izyan et al., 2009; Suparno et al., 2013).

Numerous researchers have dealt with vermicomposting of various types of substrate using different earthworm species. Chaulagin et al. (2017) reported that a mixture of cow manure and sawdust in vermicomposting increase the weight and number of worms of *Eisenia foetida* species. Use of *Lumbricus rubellus* in composting of mushroom waste substrate mixed with goat manure resulted in vermicompost with a high content of N, P, K (Bakar et al., 2012; Jamaludin et al., 2012) and low C/N ratio (Jamaludin et al., 2012).

Addition of nutrient solution containing protein, fat, cellulose, phosphorus and microbes to mushroom waste substrate can increase both the earthworm growth and vermicompost (Tran, 2016). Based on the existing studies, earthworms are often used as decomposer in vermicomposting process (Safdar and Kor, 2014). However, the description of earthworms in production of vermicompost on the basis of the mushroom waste substrate has not yet been thoroughly investigated. Therefore, the aim is to observe the capacity of *Lumbricus rubellus*, *Eisenia fetida* and *Eudrilus eugeniae* as decomposers in vermicompost production using mushroom waste substrate.

Material and methods

The study was conducted in Karangsoke village, Kembaran subdistrict, Banyumas district, central Java, Indonesia in February to April 2018. Randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four different substrate treatments by 42 g, 84 g, 126 g, and 168 g of earthworms of *Lumbricus rubellus*, *Eisenia fetida* and *Eudrilus eugeniae* species was tested with four replications. Mushroom waste substrate was obtained

Contact address: Yugi R. Ahadiyat, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Laboratory of Agroecology, Department of Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Purwokerto 53123, Indonesia, e-mail: ahadiyat.yugi@unsoed.ac.id

from oyster mushroom farmers “Agro Jamur Pabuaran” in Baturraden subdistrict, Banyumas district, Central Java, Indonesia. Mushroom waste as compost material was stored for 7 days after harvest. The earthworms with age of approx. 2.5 months were obtained from Sumbang subdistrict, Banyumas district, Central Java, Indonesia.

Preparation for cultivation of earthworms

Firstly, mushroom waste substrate for cultivating earthworms was taken from the plastic bag, crushed to a smaller size and then filtered using a sieve with a diameter of 50 mm. A total of 42 kg of mushroom waste substrate (with $\pm 70\%$ moisture content and C/N ratio of 48.54) was put into a plastic container for the purposes of vermi bed. Subsequently, the acclimatization process was carried out for each vermi bed and nitrogen nutrition of approx. 67.5 g was added to each. It was then evenly mixed and kept to meet the requirements for composting, i.e. temperature $< 30^\circ\text{C}$ (Manaf et al., 2009) and C/N ratio approx. 20–30 (FAO, 2015). After completion of acclimatization process, six 40 cm long pipes with a diameter of 3.81 cm were put in each container and 28 holes with a diameter of 5 mm each were made on sides in order to facilitate air circulation. Pipes were put vertically to the bottom of the container. In addition, thermometer was placed in the middle of the vermi bed in a vertical position below the surface (± 20 cm) and the container was kept closed.

Cultivation of earthworms

Acclimatization of earthworms was carried out after reaching the standard vermi bed conditions, i.e. temperature of 29°C ; pH of 7; and C/N ratio of 24 as a result of prior preparations. Finally, ten earthworms were put on the vermi bed surface within 48 hours for acclimatization process to observe the earthworms response. The vermi bed proved to be appropriate, as the earthworms stayed inside. Therefore, the process of cultivation could begin, and 42–168 g of earthworms of *Lumbricus rubellus*, *Eisenia fetida*, and *Eudrilus eugeniae* species were put in vermi bed, depending on the treatment.

During the vermicomposting process, the vermi bed moisture content was maintained at approx. 70% by spraying water using a hand sprayer and manual reversing was performed every two weeks in order to ensure good degradation of material at both the top and bottom. Earthworms were taken from compost after the bottom C/N ratio was relatively constant by removing the vermi bed and spreading it to separate and collect the earthworms.

Analysis method

Temperature, pH and moisture content of the media were monitored using an alcohol thermometer, pH meter and hygrometer, respectively, on a daily basis from the very start of the experiment until the last day of experiment. The weight of earthworms was counted before their application to vermi bed and at the end of the experiment using a Mini Pesa digital scale TB-01 model and their number was manually counted in the same manner. Vermicompost weight was measured at the end of the experiment utilizing Excellent ACS-A Portable digital scale. Total organic carbon content was determined using partial oxidation method;

total nitrogen was determined by means of a Kjeldahl method. Total organic carbon and nitrogen contents, as well as C/N ratio, were calculated every 15 days for 75 days of the experiment.

Statistical analysis

Variance analysis ($\alpha = 0.05$) was employed to examine the differences in observed variables of different treatments. If the observed differences were significant, the results were processed using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) with $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results and discussion

Weight and number of earthworms

The number and weight of *L. rubellus*, *E. fetida* and *E. eugeniae* earthworms in mushroom waste substrate showed significant changes at the end of composting (Table 1). The initial weight of 168 g of earthworms resulted in high weight, but low enhancement percentage. Low initial weight of 42 g of earthworms had the opposite effect (Table 1). The biggest earthworm population at the end of composting was obtained by cultivating *E. fetida* – it increased by 73.26%. The highest enhancement percentage was obtained by *E. eugeniae* – initial population of 42 earthworms increased by 345.82%, ending with 187 earthworms.

Higher earthworm population in culture media resulted in the lower earthworm weight percentage. Safdar and Kor (2014) reported that, under ideal conditions, earthworms are able to consume the amount exceeding their body weight each day; however, they commonly consume the amount equal to approx. half of their body weight per day. However, the amount of food supplied to earthworms must be controlled to avoid worm mortality (Vodounnou et al., 2016; Musyoka et al., 2019). Getachew et al. (2018) reported that 50 kg of earthworms is able to consume 375 kg of feed within one month during the breeding process. Therefore, food must be added before it is completely consumed during the earthworm cultivation process in order to gain the maximum population growth. If no food is added to the container, earthworm population growth is inhibited.

Weight of vermicompost

The vermicompost weight produced in each treatment ranged from 27.8 to 30.6 g with weight loss percentage of 27.2–33.8% (Table 2). Vermicompost production is very dependent on the earthworm species used (Kostecka et al., 2018) and the type of substrate used for feeding of earthworms (Saikrithika et al., 2015). *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* earthworm species are epigeic or litter dweller group earthworms and play a critical role in the decomposition of organic matter into vermicompost (Gomez-Brandon et al., 2012; Chatelain and Mathieu, 2017; Ramesh et al., 2018). Therefore, the earthworms will produce the same amount of vermicompost in weight as is the original weight of mushroom waste substrate in the pre-treatment. The increasing earthworm population resulted in reduction of the vermicompost weight due to the fact that the substrate was not only converted into vermicompost but

Table 1 Weight and number of *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* earthworms in vermicomposting

Treatment	Weight of earthworms			Number of earthworms		
	at initial (g)	at the end (g)	increase (%)	at initial	at the end	increase (%)
42 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	42	183.5 a	336.90 e	42	187 a	345.82 f
84 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	84	269.9 b	221.28 bcd	84	275 cd	227.84 d
126 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	126	362.0 c	187.30 abc	126	369 f	193.16 bc
168 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	168	457.8 d	172.47 a	168	467 gh	178.03bc
42 g <i>E. fetida</i>	42	184.3 a	338.69 e	88	236 bc	168.43bc
84 g <i>E. fetida</i>	84	272.8 b	224.70 cd	175	350 ef	99.82 a
126 g <i>E. fetida</i>	126	368.5 c	192.46 abcd	263	472 h	79.63 a
168 g <i>E. fetida</i>	168	473.0 d	181.55 ab	350	606 j	73.26 a
42 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	42	187.5 a	346.43 e	53	213 ab	302.02 e
84 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	84	277.8 b	230.65 d	105	316 de	200.60 cd
126 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	126	377.5 c	199.60 abcd	158	429 g	171.50 bc
168 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	168	490.0 d	191.64 abcd	210	557 i	165.13 b

In the same column, the number followed by the same letter indicates no significant difference according to the DMRT at $\alpha = 0.05$ and each letter indicates the enhancement: the first letter of the alphabet suggests the smaller increase and the last of the alphabet letter suggests the greater increase

also served for the growth and development of earthworms. In order to multiply the vermicompost production, substrate addition must be performed in line with the earthworm population increase. High rate of earthworm growth and reproduction must be supported by optimal feeding (Siddique et al., 2005; Klok, 2007; Chauhan and Singh, 2013).

During composting, the temperature, pH and moisture in vermi bed were in ranges 27–28 °C, 6.4–6.7 and 68.5–70.3%, respectively (Table 3). It was a suitable living environment for observed earthworms. The favourable conditions are: 15–30 °C for temperature (Manaf et al., 2009); 4.3–8.3 for pH (Singh et al., 2005); and 59.5–71.9% for moisture content (Parvaresh et al., 2004; Palsania et al., 2008).

Total organic carbon (TOC)

Different initial weight of earthworms used showed the same pattern of decrease in TOC by approx. 40–44% in all treatments (Fig. 1). Gougoulas et al. (2014) reported that certain amount of carbon is used as a microbial energy source in the decomposition process and is released in the form of CO₂, while the rest of it is assimilated to a microbial biomass form. Decreasing level of TOC is caused by the activity of respiration and assimilation of microorganisms and earthworms that can convert organic C into CO₂ (Suthar and Gairola, 2014). The addition of *E. eugeniae* showed that decrease in TOC was more rapid in contrast to treatments with *L. rubellus* and *E. fetida*; this is due to the fact that

Table 2 Development of mushroom waste substrate weight treated using *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* earthworms

Treatment	Weight of vermicompost (× 1,000 g)	Loss of weight (%)
42 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	30.6 a	27.2 a
84 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	29.7 a	29.2 a
126 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	28.9 a	31.1 a
168 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	28.3 a	32.5 a
42 g <i>E. fetida</i>	29.3 a	30.2 a
84 g <i>E. fetida</i>	28.9 a	31.3 a
126 g <i>E. fetida</i>	28.3 a	32.5 a
168 g <i>E. fetida</i>	27.8 a	33.8 a
42 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	29.2 a	30.5 a
84 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	28.9 a	31.3 a
126 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	27.9 a	33.5 a
168 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	28.1 a	33.1 a

In the same column, the number followed by the same letter indicates no significant difference in weight reduction according to the DMRT at $\alpha = 0.05$. The initial weight of mushroom waste substrate was 42 kg




Fig. 1 Total organic carbon in substrates treated using *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* earthworms




Fig. 2 Total nitrogen in substrate treated using *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* earthworms




Fig. 3 C/N ratio in vermicomposts treated using *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* earthworms

E. eugeniae earthworm species is larger, and grows and multiplies more rapidly. Earthworm species with larger body are able to decompose larger amounts of organic matter in vermicomposting (Prabha et al., 2015).

Total nitrogen (TN)

The TN content in vermicompost showed increasing tendency with fluctuations (Fig. 2). The fluctuation of TN content occurs due to varying activity of microorganisms and earthworms. The increase in TN can be in form of mucus, enzymes, or excretion of nitrogen-containing material; on the contrary, reduction in TN occurs due to consumption of microorganisms and earthworms themselves (Lim et al., 2012). In addition, earthworm mortality can also result in increase in TN, because the earthworm body consists of

45–65% of protein, which is a source of organic nitrogen (Lourdumary and Uma, 2012).

C/N ratio

The C/N ratio is one of vermicompost maturity indicator. The C/N ratio under 20 indicates that the compost is mature. If the C/N ratio is equal to or lower than 15, it indicates that compost is of a high agronomic value (Suthar, 2009). The C/N ratio of all treated specimens decreased at the end of composting due to decrements in TOC content and increments in TN content. The highest reduction of C/N ratio occurred in treatment using *E. fetida* – 11.97; the final C/N ratio in composts treated using *E. eugeniae* and *L. rubellus* was 12.13 and 12.22, respectively.

Vermicomposts produced by treating the substrates by means of *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* earthworm

Table 3 Temperature, pH and humidity of media during vermicomposting of mushroom waste substrate treated using *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* earthworms

Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	Humidity (%)
42 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	27	6.5	68.7
84 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	27	6.5	68.5
126 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	27	6.5	69.3
168 g <i>E. eugeniae</i>	28	6.5	68.1
42 g <i>E. fetida</i>	27	6.4	70.0
84 g <i>E. fetida</i>	28	6.7	70.1
126 g <i>E. fetida</i>	28	6.7	70.3
168 g <i>E. fetida</i>	27	6.4	70.2
42 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	28	6.5	69.2
84 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	27	6.5	69.5
126 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	27	6.5	68.7
168 g <i>L. rubellus</i>	27	6.4	69.0

species were in compliance with mature or stable compost criteria given by the FAO (2015), indicating that observed earthworm species have the potential to serve as decomposers in the production of mushroom waste substrate vermicompost.

Conclusion

The process of mushroom waste substrate vermicomposting using *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* showed that earthworm species *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *L. rubellus* with original weight of 42 g were able to increase their weight percentage by 336.90, 338.69 and 346.4, respectively, in the observed substrate. The *E. eugeniae* earthworm population showed the highest increase – by 345.82%. Considering the criteria for vermicompost quality standards determined by the FAO, all the three earthworm species were able to produce appropriate vermicompost with *E. fetida* showing the best results (11.97).

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the Directorate General of Research and Development, Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education for the doctoral research grant to support this research.

References

A'ALI, R. – JAFARPOUR, M. – KAZEMI, E. – PESSARAKLI, M. 2017. Effects of raw materials on vermicompost qualities. In *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, vol. 40, no. 11, pp. 1635–1643.

BAJAL, S. – SUBEDI, S. – BARAL, S. 2019. Utilization of agricultural wastes as substrates for vermicomposting. In *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 79–84.

BAKAR, A. A. – MAHMOOD, N. Z. – ABDULLAH, N. – TAHA, R. M. 2012. Bioconversion of biomass residue from the cultivation of pea sprouts on spent *Pleurotus sajor-caju* compost employing *Lumbricus rubellus*. In *Maejo International Journal of Science and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 461–469.

BATH, S. A. – SINGH, J. – VIG, A. P. 2017. Earthworms as organic waste managers and biofertilizer producers. In *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 1073–1086.

CHATELAIN, M. – MATHIEU, J. 2017. How good are epigeic earthworms at dispersing? An investigation to compare epigeic to endogeic and anecic groups. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, vol. 111, pp. 115–123.

CHAUHAN, H. K. – SINGH, K. 2013. Effect of tertiary combinations of animal dung with agrowastes on the growth and development of earthworm *Eisenia fetida* during organic waste management. In *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*, vol. 2, no. 11, 7 pp.

CHAULAGIN, A. – MAHARJAN, B. – PATHAK, R. – PIYA, S. – CHIMORAYA, S. – SHRESTA, I. – GAUCHAN, D. P. – LAMICHHANE, J. 2017. Effect of feeding materials on yield, quality of vermicompost, multiplication and reproduction of *Eisenia foetida*. In *Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 15–25.

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION. 2015. *Farmer's Compost Handbook: Experiences in Latin America*. Santiago: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Regional Office for Latin America and the Caribbean.

GARG, P. – GUPTA, A. – SATYA, S. 2006. Vermicomposting of different types of waste using *Eisenia fetida*: A comparative study. In *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 391–395.

GETACHEW, Z. – ADISU, T. – ABEBLE, L. – ANBESSA, B. 2018. Vermicompost potential of common earthworm (*Eudrilus eugeniae*) and red wiggler (*Eisenia fetida*) earthworm on the decomposition of various organic wastes. In *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 1–13.

GOMEZ-BRANDON, M. – LORES, M. – DOMINGUEZ, J. 2012. Species-specific effects of epigeic earthworms on microbial community structure during first stages of decomposition of organic matter. In *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. e31895.

GOUGOULIAS, C. – CLARK, J. M. – SHAW, L. J. 2014. The role of soil microbes in the global carbon cycle: tracking the below-ground microbial processing of plant-derived carbon for manipulating carbon dynamics in agricultural systems. In *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, vol. 94, no. 12, pp. 2362–2371.

HANAFI, F. H. M. – REZANIA, S. – MAT TAIB, S. – MD DIN, M. F. – YAMAUCHI, M. – SAKAMOTO, M. – HARA, H. – PARK, J. – EBRAHIMI, S. S. 2018. Environmentally sustainable applications of agro-based

- spent mushroom substrate (SMS): an overview. In *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1383–1396.
- IZYAN, N. N. – JAMALUDIN, A. A. – MAHMOOD, N. Z. 2009. Potential of spent mushroom substrate in vermicomposting. In *Dynamic Soil, Dynamic Plant*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 87–90.
- JAMALUDIN, A. A. – MAMOOD, N. Z. – ABDULLAH, N. 2012. Waste recycling: Feasibility of saw dust based spent mushroom substrate and goat manure in vermicomposting. In *Sains Malaysiana*, vol. 41, no. 11, pp. 1445–1450.
- JORDAN, S. N. – MULLEN, G. J. – MURPHY, M. C. 2008. Composition variability of spent mushroom compost in Ireland. In *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 411–418.
- KLOK, C. 2007. Effects of earthworm density on growth, development, and reproduction in *Lumbricus rubellus* (Hoffm) and possible consequences for the intrinsic rate of population increase. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, vol. 39, pp. 2401–2407.
- KOSTECKA, J. – GARCZYNSKA, M. – PODOLAK, A. – PACZKA, G. – KANIUCZAK, J. 2018. Kitchen organic waste as material for vermiculture and source of nutrients for plants. In *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 267–274.
- KOVAČIĆ, D. – KRALIK, D. – JOVIČIĆ, D. – SPAJIĆ, R. 2019. An assessment of anaerobic thermophilic co-digestion of dairy cattle manure and separated tomato greenhouse waste in lab-scale reactors. In *Acta Technologica Agriculturae*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 38–42.
- LIM, S. I. – WU, T. Y. – SIM, E. Y. S. – LIM, P. N. – CLARKE, C. 2012. Biotransformation of rice husk into organic fertilizer through vermicomposting. In *Ecological Engineering*, vol. 41, pp. 60–64.
- LOURDUMARY, A. J. B. – UMA, K. 2012. Nutritional evaluation of earthworm powder (*Lampito mauritii*). In *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 82–84.
- MANAF, L. A. – JUSOH, M. L. C. – ISMAIL, M. K. Y. T. H. T. – HARUN, R. – JUAHIR, H. 2009. Influences of bedding material in vermicomposting process. In *International Journal of Biology*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 81–91.
- MUKHERJEE, R. – NANDI, B. 2004. Improvement of in vitro digestibility through biological treatment of water hyacinth biomass by two *Pleurotus* species. In *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, vol. 53, pp. 7–12.
- MUSYOKA, S. N. – LITI, D. M. – OGELLO, E. – WAIDBACHER, H. 2019. Utilization of the earthworm, *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny, 1826) as an alternative protein source in fish feeds processing: A review. In *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 50, pp. 2301–2315.
- PALSANIA, J. – SHARMA, R. – SRIVASTANA, J. K. – SHARMA, D. 2008. Effect of moisture content variation over kinetic reaction rate during vermicomposting process. In *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 49–61.
- PARVARESH, A. – MOVAHEDIAN, H. – HAMIDIAN, L. 2004. Vermistabilization of municipal wastewater sludge with *Eisenia fetida*. In *Iranian Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 43–50.
- PRABHA, M. L. – NAGALAKSHMI, N. – PRIYA, M. S. 2015. Analysis of nutrient contents in vermicompost. In *European Journal of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 42–48.
- RAMESH, R. – SELVARAJ, Y. – KANNAIYAN, S. 2018. Influence of substrate size on vermicomposting of pre-processed mixed vegetable waste. In *International Journal of Advances in Science Engineering and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 5–10.
- ROY, S. – BARMAN, S. – CHAKRABORTY, U. – CHAKRABORTY, B. 2015. Evaluation of spent mushroom substrate as biofertilizer for growth improvement of *Capsicum annum* L. In *Journal of Applied Biology and Biotechnology*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 22–27.
- ROYSE, D. J. 2014. A Global perspective on the high five: *Agaricus*, *Pleurotus*, *Lentinula*, *Auricularia* and *Flammulina*. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Mushroom Biology and Mushroom Products (ICMBMP8)*.
- SAFDAR, A. H. A. – KOR, N. M. 2014. Vermicompost and vermiculture: structure, benefits and usage. In *International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 775–782.
- SAIKRITHIKA, S. – SANTHIYA, K. R. – GAYATHRI, V. K. 2015. Effects of different substrates on vermicomposting using *Eudrilus eugeniae* on the growth of *Vinca rosea*. In *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, vol. 5, no. 9, pp. 1–11.
- SENDI, H. – MOHAMED, M.T.M. – ANWAR, M. P. – SAUD, H. M. 2013. Spent mushroom waste as a media replacement for peat moss in Kai-lan (*Brassica oleracea* var. *alboglabra*) production. In *The Scientific World Journal*, pp. 1–8.
- SIDDIQUE, J. – KAN, A. A. – HUSSAIN, I. – AKHTER, S. 2005. Growth and reproduction of earthworm (*Eisenia fetida*) in different organic media. In *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 211–214.
- SINGH, N. B. – KHARE, A. K. – BHARGAVA, D. S. – BHATTACHARYA, S. 2005. Effect of initial substrate pH on vermicomposting using *Perionyx excavatus*. In *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 85–97.
- SINHA, R. K. 2009. Earthworms vermicompost: A powerful crop nutrient over the conventional compost and protective soil conditioner against the destructive chemical fertilizers for food safety and security. In *Journal of Agricultural and Environment Science*, vol. 5, pp. 14–55.
- SUPARNO – PRASETYA, B. – TALKAH, A. – SOEMARNO. 2013. The Study of vermicomposting optimization of organic waste. In *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1505–1511.
- SUTHAR, S. 2009. Vermicomposting of vegetable-market solid waste using *Eisenia fetida*: Impact of bulking material on earthworm growth and decomposition rate. In *Ecological Engineering*, vol. 35, pp. 914–920.
- SUTHAR, S. – GAIROLA, S. 2014. Nutrient recovery from urban forest leaf litter waste solids using *Eisenia fetida*. In *Ecological Engineering*, vol. 71, pp. 660–666.
- SUTHAR, S. – SINGH, S. 2008. Vermicomposting of domestic waste by using two epigeic earthworms (*Perionyx excavatus* and *Perionyx sansibaricus*). In *Journal of Environment Science and Technology*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 99–106.
- TAJBAKHSH, J. – ABDOLI, M. A. – GOLTAPPEH, E. M. – ALAHDADI, I. – MALAKOUTI, M. J. 2008. Recycling of spent mushroom compost using earthworms *Eisenia fetida* and *Eisenia andrei*. In *Environmentalist*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 476–482.
- TRAN, H. 2016. Vermicomposting of spent mushroom compost using *Perionyx excavatus* and artificial nutrient compound. In *International Journal of Environmental and Agriculture Research*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 101–109.
- VIDAL, A. – WATTEAU, F. – REMUSAT, L. – MUELLER, C. W. – NGUYEN TU, T. T. – BUEGGER, F. – DERENNE, S. – QUENEA, K. 2019. Earthworm cast formation and development: A shift from plant litter to mineral associated organic matter. In *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, vol. 7, no. 55, pp. 1–15.
- VODOUNNOU, D. S. J. V. – KPOGUE, D. N. S. – TOSSAVI, C. E. – MENNSAH, G. A. – FIOGBE, E. D. 2016. Effect of animal waste and vegetable compost on production and growth of earthworm (*Eisenia fetida*) during vermiculture. In *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*, vol. 5, pp. 87–92.
- ZISOPOULOS, F. K. – ramirez, H. A. B. – van der GOOT, A. J. – BOOM, R. M. 2016. A resource efficiency assessment of the industrial mushroom production chain: The influence of data variability. In *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 126, pp. 394–408.



submit manuscript

Ahadiyat Yugi 1 <ahadiyat.yugi@unsoed.ac.id> Sun, Nov 10, 2019, 5:36 AM

to acta.tf

dear editor,

I wish to submit a manuscript entitled "

THE UTILIZATION OF MUSHROOM WASTE SUBSTRATE INPRODUCING VERMICOMPOST:

THE DECOMPOSER CAPACITY OF *Lumbricusrubellus*, *Eiseniafetida* and

Eudriluseugeniae

in acta technologica agriculturae.

I am waiting for the positive response

sincerely yours,

Yugi R. Ahadiyat

Attachments area

Katarina Kollarova Thu, Jan 30, 12:43 PM (13 days ago)

to me

Dear Yugi Ahadiyat,

Thank you for your email. We have obtained both reviews, they were OK, you will be contacted during February with respect to paper finalization.

Sincerely,

paper correction for ATA magazine

Michaela Guzmická <michaelaguzmicka91@gmail.com> 4:03 PM (1 hour ago)

to me

Dear Sir,

attached find review reports regarding the second version of your paper *The Utilization of Mushroom Waste Substrate Inroducing Vermincompost: the Decomposer Capacity of Lumbricus rubellus, Eisenia fetida and Eudriluseugeniae*. The reviewers appreciate the quality of presented paper and suggest minor recommendations. Please incorporate suggested recommendations to your paper.

As editor, I would like to kindly ask you to shorten the paper at least by one page and add one citation of our magazine since 2017 up to now (this can be related absolutely to anything). Thank you in advance for your understanding. If there is any issue, feel free to contact me. Please confirm the reception of e-mail.

Yours sincerely,

M. Guzmicka

2 Attachments

ACTA TECHNOLOGICA AGRICUTURAE / Edits

Katarina Kollarova

Wed, Mar 4, 4:09 PM (1 day ago)

to me

Dear Yugi R. Ahadiyat,

Please find here attached your manuscript that will be published in Acta Technologica Agriculturae.

We would like to kindly ask you to edit the part highlighted in yellow (with adding the missing citation **Zisopoulos et al., 2016** to References and highlight the edit so that it can be seen where it has been made).

Please send your edited manuscript to acta.tf@gmail.com until 5 March 2020.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Katarina KOLLAROVA

PhD

Deputy/Managing Editor

Acta Technologica Agriculturae

<https://content.sciendo.com/view/journals/ata/ata-overview.xml>

Katarina Kollarova 1:03 PM (7 hours ago)

to me

Dear Ahadiyat Yugi,

Thank you very much for sending your edits. The Reference Zisopoulos was not visible for us , maybe due to some formatting issues. Now it is OK.

Your manuscript is now accepted for publication and will be published in ATA no. 2-2020.

You will be contacted once again to perform the author's proofreading of the final PDF layout before publication.

Sincerely,

st 4. 3. 2020 o 14:53 Ahadiyat Yugi 1 <ahadiyat.yugi@unsoed.ac.id> napísal(a):

Katarina KOLLAROVA

PhD

Deputy/Managing Editor

Acta Technologica Agriculturae

<https://content.sciendo.com/view/journals/ata/ata-overview.xml>

ATA No 2-2020 / Final author proofreading and licence agreement

Michaela Guzmická

4:39 PM (3 hours ago)

to me, Katarina

Dear Author,

Please find here attached your manuscript that will be published in Acta Technologica Agriculturae no. 2/2020.

We kindly ask you:

- **to perform the final correction reading of the manuscript before publication.** If there is something in the manuscript that needs to be corrected, please
 - either print the manuscript, highlight your corrections in the text using a red pen and scan the document with corrections, or
 - highlight your corrections directly in PDF.
- **to explain the letters a-i in tables.**

Please read the manuscript very carefully. This proofreading is final. After this proofreading, no other corrections will be accepted nor published.

- **to sign the Licence to Publish.** Please fill in the following parts:

article entitled,

author/s,

corresponding author,

author's signature,

name printed,

date.

Please, send the signed Licence to Publish and your manuscript with highlighted corrections (if any) to the addresses michaelaguzmicka91@gmail.com **until April/22/2020**. If there are no corrections needed, it is sufficient to send the signed Licence to Publish only.

Thank you very much.

With kind regards,

Michaela Guzmicka

Sciendo: Your publication is now available online

noreply.degruyter@degruyter.com [via](#) **amazonses.com** 9:27 AM (1 hour ago)

Dear author,

We are pleased to inform you that your following publication is now published on <https://content.sciendo.com> and available free of charge to you as author.



**The Utilization of Mushroom Waste
Substrate in Producing
Vermicompost: The Decomposer
Capacity of *Lumbricus rubellus*,
Eisenia fetida and *Eudrilus eugeniae***
Acta Technologica Agriculturae
ISSN: 1338-5267

FREE ONLINE ACCESS TO YOUR PUBLICATION

Step 1:

New to our website?

- Please [register](#) for free.
- **Important:** Use the email address this notification was sent to.

Already have a Sciendo account with this email address?

- Please [log in](#).

Step 2:

After logging in, you can view your publications under <https://content.sciendo.com/profile/myworks>. Note that the PDF versions are intended for your personal use only.

HELP

Problems? Questions? Please look at our [help page](#). There you can also find the contact details of our customer service.

Thank you for choosing us as a publisher. We look forward to working with you again in the future.

Kind regards,

De Gruyter

To help ensure continued delivery of important notices from Sciendo, please add noreply.degruyter@degruyter.com to your address book or approved sender list.