PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Preface

To cite this article: 2021 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **653** 011001


2nd ICSARD
OCTOBER 20th 2020
The 2nd International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture for Rural Development (ICSARD)
Organized by :
Faculty of Agriculture
Universitas Jenderal Soedirman
Supported by :

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Net assimilation rate, growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L cv Inpago Unsoed 1) with the application of PGPR in different rate of nitrogen

To cite this article: Purwanto *et al* 2021 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **653** 012064

Net assimilation rate, growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L cv Inpago Unsoed 1) with the application of PGPR in different rate of nitrogen

Purwanto, T Widiatmoko and B R Wijonarko

Agrotechnology Departement, Faculty of Agriculture, Jenderal Soedirman University
Jl. Dr. Suparno KP 125 Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia 53122

Corresponding author: purwanto.unsoed@gmail.com

Abstract. The aims of this research was to study the net assimilation rate, growth and yield of rice with the application of PGPR in different rate of nitrogen fertilizer. The experimental was conducted in experimental farm of Agriculture Faculty of UNSOED Purwokerto. The research was arranged in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The first factor is PGPR consortium, and the second factor is dosage of nitrogen fertilizer. The observed variables were plant height, number of tillers, plant biomass, leaf greenness, plant biomass, net assimilation rate, relative growth rate and yield. Data were analyzed using ANOVA followed with DMRT test for mean comparison between group. The results showed that PGPR consortium inoculation and nitrogen fertilization have effect on root growth, leaf greenness, and plant biomass. The PGPR consortium R08 isolate + R11 isolate and nitrogen fertilizer at dose of 1.36 g plant^{-1} gave highest net assimilation rate of 5.87 g dm^{-2} week^{-1}. The highest grain yield was achieved at nitrogen fertilizer dose of 2.72 g plant^{-1} at 36.17 g per hill.

1. Introduction

Nitrogen is an essential macro nutrient for the growth of rice plants, hence without nitrogen its growth and yield will be disrupted. Among rice farmers, mostly, the nitrogen source was obtained from urea as type of fertilizer. Currently in 2020, ggovernment provide fertilizer subsidies for both urea sources, SP-36 and NPK compounds, which reach 7.9 million tons [1]. The availability and its ease to obtaining made the application of urea fertilizer among the farmers was done in excessive dosage by most of the farmers [2]. This practice causing low fertilizer application efficiency, and could ne impact to the environmental damage due to NO_2 emissions resulting from ammonification, nitrification and denitrification processes [3]. Previous study [4] stated that the loss of nitrogen in the plant-soil system is estimated between 50-70% of the fertilizer dose application.

The application of nitrogen in high dosage causes nutrient imbalance in the soil. Long-term nitrogen application without application of organic fertilizers has effect on reducing soil organic matter content and affects the soil biological diversity [5]. Application of urea fertilizer will produce NH_4^- and it inhibit the methanotroph bacteria in the soil [6]. On the other hand, the application of nitrogen fertilizers for a long period in mulberry field soil had effect on reducing the levels of organic matter content and soil pH, thereby suppressing the population of Acidobacteria [7]. Application of nitrogen fertilizers at a dose of 50 kg ha^{-1} increased the diazotrophic bacteria population in the rhizosphere of rice plants due to low NH_4 concentrations in the soil, but increasing the N dose actually decreased the diazotrophic bacteria population [8].

Increasing rice production needs to be rationalized without having a negative impact from excessive N fertilizer doses on the soil and agricultural environment. Increasing soil biological fertility can be done by applying rhizobacteria indigenous paddy soil. The application of biofertilizer in rice plants aims to increase the yield and quality of grain yields [9]. Rhizobacteria indigenous of wetland soils that have been isolated are Rhizobium sp. LM-5 as N_2 fixer bacteria, R08 isolate and R11 isolate as rhizobacteria producing IAA [10,11]. As reported earlier Pittol et al [9] biofertilizer cannot replace inorganic fertilizers, but as a key to increasing nutrient availability, the application of biofertilizer must be precise with the dosage of inorganic fertilizers, especially nitrogen. Previous study Marlina et al [12] reported that application of biofertilizer at 300-400 kg ha^{-1} combined with inorganic fertilizers at 75% of the recommended dose increased NPK nutrient uptake and grain yield. Inoculation of *Stenotrophomonas maltophila* at a nitrogen level of 50 kg ha^{-1} increased photosynthesis and biomass of rice plants, but an increase in nitrogen doses to 300 kg ha^{-1} actually had a negative impact on plant photosynthetic activity [8]. Furthermore, the inoculation of *Pseudomonas putida*-1 at a nitrogen fertilizer dose of 200 mg kg^{-1} of soil increased leaf chlorophyll content, and the application of *Pseudomonas putida*-1 + *Azotobacter* in 200 mg nitrogen fertilizer mg kg^{-1} soil increases grain yield [13]. According to the previous facts, this study was aimed to know the effect of PGPR inoculation in rice to increase net assimilation rate, growth and yield of rice at different rate of nitrogen fertilizer.

2. Material and methods

The experiment was conducted in Experimental Farm faculty of Agriculture, Jenderal Soedirman Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia from May until October 2019, using Inpago Unsoed 1 aromatic and high yielding rice variety. The experiment was carried out in Randomized Completely Block Design (RCBD) with factorial treatments and three replications. The treatments consisted of two factors i.e. consortium of PGPR, and nitrogen dosage. The first factors consisted of K_0: control (without PGPR application), K_1: R08 isolate+R11 isolate, K_2: R08 isolate + Rhizobium sp. LM-5, K3: R08 isolate + R11 isolate + Rhizobium sp. LM-5. The second factor consisted of N_0: without nitrogen fertilizer application, N_1: 100 kg ha^{-1} nitrogen fertilizer (equivalent to 1.36 g urea pot^{-1}), N_2: 200 kg ha^{-1} nitrogen fertilizer (equivalent to 2.72 g urea pot^{-1}).

Rice seeds were sown on wet paper in petri dish. Before sowing, rice seeds were sterilized using $HgCl_2$ 0.1% for a minute, then rinsed with sterile water. The sterile seeds were germinated on moist paper in the petri dish for 2 weeks. Inoculant PGPR was prepared by inoculating a ose of bacterial isolate into 500 ml of NB (Himedia) media, then culturing it in a shaker for 24 h at a speed of 120 rpm. Bacterial culture was ready for use once it reaches a population density above 10^7 cfu ml^{-1}.

Inceptisol soil was prepare as growth medium of rice, it was sieved and powdered with a 2 mm sieve. The pots were filled with 12 kg of Inceptisol soils. The phosphate and potassium fertilizer were applied before planting with 0.94 g of SP-36 and KCl per pot, respectively. The pot containing the soil was watering until it stagnates before planting. The PGPR treatment was carried out by immersing the roots of rice seedlings in bacterial culture for 15 minutes, then planting one seedling into per pot. After planting, the soil is kept moist by providing adequate irrigation. After the plants are 2 weeks old, 2 to 5 cm of water was maintained on the soil surface of each pot. Nitrogen fertilizer application was carried out at the age of 7 weeks after planting and 35 days after planting each half the dose of treatment.

Observations were made during vegetative growth until harvest, and harvesting was carried out after physiologically maturity of rice grains. The observed variables were root length (measured by intersection method, [14]), leaf greenness (Chlorophyl meter SPAD-502Plus Minolta), leaf area, plant biomass, nett assimilation rate [15], and grains yield. The data was analyzed by ANOVA with the help of SAS 9.1 software, and followed by DMRT at $\alpha = 5\%$ for mean comparison.

3. Results and discussion

Based on the analysis of variance, it shows that the application of the PGPR consortium has effect on the total root length of rice plants, but not on leaf greenness, stomata density, and stomata opening width. On the other hand, an increase in the level of nitrogen fertilization had an effect on total root length and leaf greenness, but not on stomata density and stomatal opening width.

Table 1. The effect of PGPR consortium and dosage of nitrogen fertilizer on total root length and leaf greenness

Treatments	Total Root Length (cm)	Leaf Greenness (SPAD unit)
PGPR Consortium		
Control	2448.80 b	29.47 a
R08 isolate + R11 isolate	4396.50 a	27.82 a
R08 + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	3231.50 ab	29.55 a
R08 isolate + R11 isolate + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	3154.20 ab	29.30 a
Dosage of Nitrogen Fertilizer (urea)		
0 g plant^{-1}	2396.40 b	22.87 c
1.36 g plant^{-1}	4045.80 a	29.72 b
2.72 plant^{-1}	3481.00 ab	34.49 a

Remark: The number following by same letter in the same column and treatments were not significant different according DMRT 5%.

Table 2. The effect of PGPR consortium and dosage of nitrogen fertilizer on stomata density stomata opening width

Treatments	Stomata Density (unit/mm^2)	Stomata Opening Widht (μm)
PGPR Consortium		
Control	2085.7 a	3.44 a
R08 isolate + R11 isolate	2271.2 a	3.61 a
R08 + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	2670.4 a	3.61 a
R08 isolate + R11 isolate + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	2338.7 a	3.67 a
Dosage of Nitrogen Fertilizer (urea)		
0 g plant^{-1}	2255.8 a	3.63 a
1.36 g plant^{-1}	2390.7 a	3.67 a
2.72 plant^{-1}	2378.1 a	3.46 a

Remark: The number following by same letter in the same column and treatments were not significant different according DMRT 5%.

The PGPR consortium application shows that it affects the total root length, where the consortium isolate R08 + isolate R11 gives the longest root length of 4396.50 cm, greater than the consortium R08 + *Rhiszobium* sp. LM-5, R08 + isolate R11 + *Rhiszobium* sp. LM-5, and control, respectively 3231.50 cm, 3154.20 cm and 2448.80 cm (Table 1). The application of the consortium isolate R08 + isolate R11 was able to increase the total root length of rice plants by 79.54 percent for plants without PGPR application. This shows that the application of the PGPR consortium increased the total root length compared to the control, even though the application of the consortium R08 + *Rhiszobium* sp. LM-5, R08 + isolate R11 + *Rhiszobium* sp. LM-5 did not show a significant different total root length compared to the treatment without the PGPR consortium application. The PGPR isolates R08 and R11 were isolates of indigenous paddy soil that were able to produce the auxin group phytohormones, namely IAA and were able to increase the roots of rice seedlings [11]. IAA is one of the phytohormones that are classified as important natural hormones and can be produced by rhizobacteria so that inoculation with bacteria capable of producing IAA will induce the proliferation of lateral roots and root hairs [16]. The inoculation of *Bacillus paenibacillus* and *Comamonas* on kiwifruit cuttings was able to stimulate root formation, this correlated with the ability of bacteria to produce indole-3-acetic acid [17].

Nitrogen is a macro nutrient which needed by plants in the vegetative phase, its also plays an important role in photosynthetic organelles, especially chlorophyll. The results showed that the application of nitrogen derived from urea affected the total root length and leaf greenness of rice plants. Application of urea 1.26 g plant^{-1} gave a total root length of 4045.80 cm, however, increasing the dose of urea to 2.72 g plant^{-1} actually decreased the total root length and was not significantly different from that without urea fertilization of 3481.00 cm and 2396.40 cm, respectively. The results of this study were in line with earlier finding [18], where the nitrogen application significantly increases root length than without nitrogen. The nitrogen dosage of 240 kg ha^{-1} was a moderate dose, and its giving longer root length, however increasing the nitrogen dose would decreases root length.

The leaf greenness variable showed that an increase in the dose reached 2.72 g plant^{-1} gave the highest leaf greenness value of 34.49, significantly different from the 1.72 g plant^{-1} dose and without urea application of 29.72 and 22.87, respectively (Table 1). Leaf greenness values correlate with chlorophyll content in rice leaves [19]. Nitrogen is one of the important nutrients in chlorophyll biosynthesis, where the synthesis of chlorophyll depends on mineral nutrients so that nitrogen availability will play a role in cell division and the formation of photosynthetic active pigments [20]. Chlorophyll a and total chlorophyll contents of sunflower plants increased along with the increase in nitrogen availability, but the chlorophyll b levels decreased [21].

Table 3. The effect of PGPR consortium and dosage of nitrogen fertilizer on leaf area (cm^2)

Treatments	3 WAP	5 WAP	7 WAP
PGPR Consortium			
Control	61.81 a	527.80 a	849.40 a
R08 isolate + R11 isolate	38.89 b	673.30 a	1312.00 a
R08 + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	35.87 b	594.30 a	1201.00 a
R08 isolate + R11 isolate + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	48.19 ab	513.00 a	1162.50 a
Dosage of Nitrogen Fertilizer (urea)			
0 g plant^{-1}	42.33 a	421.46 b	885.20 a
1.36 g plant^{-1}	46.39 a	708.03 a	1188.70 a
2.72 plant^{-1}	49.84 a	601.80 ab	1320.00 a

Remark: a value followed by same letter in the same column and treatments were not significant different according DMRT 5%. WAP: week after planting.

The results showed that the plant height at 7 weeks after planting (WAP) did not show any difference in both PGPR consortium and nitrogen fertilizer. However, at the age of 7 WAP, it was seen that the total leaf area increased in PGPR consortium isolate R08 + R11, isolate R08 + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5, as well as isolates R08 + R11 + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5 when compared without inoculation were 1321.00 cm^2, 1201.00 cm^2, 1162.00 cm^2 and 849.40 cm^2, respectively. Nitrogen application tends to increase the leaf area of rice plants, it can be seen that the widest leaf area was reached at a dose of 2.72 g plant^{-1} of 1320.00 cm^2, which is greater than that at a dose of 1.26 g plant^{-1} and without nitrogen application was 1188.70 cm^2 and 885.20 cm^2 respectively (Table 3).

PGPR consortium inoculation and nitrogen fertilization until the age of 7 WAP showed a significant effect on plant dry biomass. PGPR consortium inoculation has an effect on increasing plant biomass compared to without inoculation. Without PGPR inoculation, plant biomass was only 20.27 g plant^{-1}, lower than the consortium R08 isolate + R11 isolate, R08 + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5, and R08 isolate + R11 isolate + *Rhizobium* sp. The LM-5 was 46.22 g plant^{-1}, 26.96 g plant^{-1}, and 23.27 g plant^{-1}, respectively (Table 4). These results indicate that the PGPR consortium inoculation was able to increase plant biomass by an average of 58.61 percent against the control, and the inoculation of R08 isolate + R11 isolate was the PGPR consortium which was able to increase the highest biomass of rice plants, namely an increase of 128.02 percent. This is related to the ability of the consortium R08 isolate + R11 isolate which stimulates plant roots. In this treatment, the ability to absorb nutrients and water is better, further the supply of nutrients to the leaves is increases and then the plant photosynthesis is more optimal.

Table 4. The effect of PGPR consortium and dosage of nitrogen fertilizer on plant biomass (g)

Treatments	3 WAP	5 WAP	7 WAP
PGPR Consortium			
Control	0.32 a	6.82 a	20.27 b
R08 isolate + R11 isolate	0.23 a	7.19 a	46.22 a
R08 + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	0.23 a	7.13 a	26.96 a
R08 isolate + R11 isolate + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	0.28 a	6.40 a	23.27 a
Dosage of Nitrogen Fertilizer (urea)			
0 g plant^{-1}	0.24 a	5.31 a	20.09 b
1.36 g plant^{-1}	0.21 a	7.42 a	37.66 a
2.72 plant^{-1}	0.34 a	7.93 a	29.85 ab

Remark: a value followed by same letter in the same column and treatments were not significant different according DMRT 5%. WAP: week after planting

Table 5. Interaction effect between PGPR consortium and dosage of nitrogen fertilizer on net assimilation rate (g dm^{-2} week^{-1}) of rice plant

Treatments	Dosage of N Fertilizer (urea)			
	0 g plant^{-1}	1.36 g plant^{-1}	2.72 plant^{-1}	
Control	1.11 b B	2.20 a B	1.44 ab B	1.58
R08 isolate + R11 isolate	2.05 b A	5.87 a A	2.12 b A	3.35
R08 + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	1.94 a A	1.40 a C	2.16 a A	1.84
R08 isolate + R11 isolate + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5	2.06 a A	1.91 a B	1.40 a B	1.79
	1.79	2.85	1.78	+

Remark: a value in the same row following by lower letter and the number in the same column following by capital letter were not significant different according DMRT 5%.

The results showed that nitrogen fertilization had an effect on rice biomass. Fertilization of nitrogen at a dose of 1.26 g plant^{-1} gave the highest plant biomass of 37.66 g, greater than control which was only 20.09 g. Increasing the nitrogen dose to 2.72 g plant^{-1} actually reduced plant biomass by 29.85 g. The results of this study was in line with earlier study Ayuni et al [8] which found that nitrogen fertilization was able to increase the biomass of rice plants, both inoculated and not inoculated by PGPR, and an increase in nitrogen doses. Nitrogen application, urea at high doses, will reduce plant biomass due to the high concentration of NH_4^+ [22].

Plant biomass is the net result of photosynthesis which is translocated as plant dry matter. Photosynthesis is the main process responsible for plant growth, and photosynthesis metabolism which regulate plant growth in a variety of different nitrogen sources [23]. The increase in biomass will be measured by the change in the accumulation of plant dry matter per unit leaf area per unit time or known as the net assimilation rate. The results showed that the interaction between the PGPR consortium and nitrogen application dose had effect on the net assimilation rate of rice plants. The increase in the dosage of nitrogen application without inoculation of PGPR showed that the highest net of assimilation rate of rice was achieved at a dose of 1.26 g plant^{-1} at 2.20 g dm^{-2} week^{-1}, greater than the control which was only 1.11 g dm^{-2} week^{-1}. Increasing the dose to 2.72 g plant^{-1} decreased the net assimilation rate by 1.44 g dm^{-2} week^{-1}. Inoculation of consortium R08 isolate + R11 isolate at various doses of nitrogen fertilizer showed that the nitrogen fertilization dose of 1.26 g plant^{-1} gave the highest net assimilation rate of 5.87 g dm^{-2} week^{-1}, higher than without nitrogen fertilization which was only 2.05 g dm^{-2} week^{-1}. Increasing the nitrogen dose actually decreased the net assimilation rate by 2.12 g dm^{-2} week^{-1}. Inoculation of the PGPR consortium R08 + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5 and R08 isolate + R11 isolate + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5 at various nitrogen fertilization doses had no effect on the net assimilation rate of rice (Table 5).

Previous study Ayuni et al [8] reported that increasing dosage of nitrogen after addition of 300 kg ha^{-1} reduced photosynthetic activity of rice plant of 56 percent, and photosynthetic activity tended to be high at low nitrogen doses possibly due to the initial low requirement of N application. The inoculation of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* showed better photosynthetic activity during the growth phase of the maize plant due to greener leaves, high accumulation of plant dry matter [24].

Increasing the dosage of nitrogen in the soil tends to suppress the soil microbial population. In addition, high nitrogen concentrations in diazotrophic bacteria will suppress the nitrogenase enzyme activity so that the N_2 fixing activity decreases. It can be seen that the consortium contains *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5 has not been able to increase the net assimilation rate of rice plants even though the nitrogen dose is increased. *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5 is one of the diazotrophic bacteria that are free living bacteria in the rhizosphere of rice plants [10]. The application of nitrogen fertilizers with high doses will reduce the population and number of bacteria that colonize the roots, as well as suppress the nitrogenase activity of diazotrophic bacteria in sugarcane, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophila*. in rice plants [8, 25]. Furthermore, energy-intensive nitrogen fixation by diazotrophic bacteria is suppressed when alternative nitrogen sources are available in the rhizosphere, then nitrogenase activity decreases at high nitrogen doses [26].



Figure 1. Rice yield under treatments of consortium PGPR and Dosage of Nitrogen fertilizer

Based on the results of the analysis of variance, the PGPR consortium application has not shown an effect on grain yield per hill, but nitrogen fertilization up to 2.72 g plant^{-1} shows an increase in grain yield per hill. The yield of grain per hill shows that the consortium of PGPR R08 isolate + R11 isolate and R08 isolate + *Rhizobium* sp. LM-5 gave the highest grain yield of 29.94 g per hill and 24.95 g per hill respectively, which was greater than without inoculation and consortium PGPR R08 isolate + R11 isolate + Rhizobium sp. LM-5 was 22.06 g per hill and 23.39 g per hill, respectively. Nitrogen fertilization increased yield with increasing nitrogen fertilizer dosage. The highest grain yield was

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

PAPER • **OPEN ACCESS**

Committee of the 2nd ICSARD 2020

To cite this article: 2021 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **653** 011002

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

COUNTRY

United Kingdom

Universities and research institutions in United Kingdom

Media Ranking in United Kingdom

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Earth and Planetary Sciences
- Earth and Planetary Sciences (miscellaneous)

Environmental Science
- Environmental Science (miscellaneous)

Physics and Astronomy
- Physics and Astronomy (miscellaneous)

PUBLISHER

IOP Publishing Ltd.

H-INDEX

34

PUBLICATION TYPE

Conferences and Proceedings

ISSN

17551307, 17551315

COVERAGE

2010-2021

INFORMATION

Homepage

How to publish in this journal

ees@ioppublishing.org

SCOPE

Join the conversation about this journal

SJR



Total Documents



Total Cites Self-Cites



Citations per document



External Cites per Doc Cites per Doc



% International Collaboration



Citable documents Non-citable documents



Cited documents Uncited documents



← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2022

A **Abdel Moktader Abdel Aziz El-Sayed** 5 months ago

Nahla A. El Sayed and El sayed Abdel Moktader A. 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 906 012004

Is this scopus or not, and what is the impact factor of this magazine?

reply

Melanie Ortiz 5 months ago SCImago Team

Dear Abdel, thank you very much for your comment. SCImago Journal and Country Rank uses Scopus data, our impact indicator is the SJR. We suggest you consult the Journal Citation Report for other indicators (like Impact Factor) with a Web of Science data source. Best Regards, SCImago Team

N **NAJI** 11 months ago

Hello Dear

I participated as a corresponding author in IOP conf .series: Earth and Environment Science 877(2021)012046

I want do this IOP still in Scopus ???

kind regards

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 11 months ago

Dear Naji, thank you very much for your comment. We suggest you consult the Scopus database directly. Keep in mind that the SJR is a static image (the update is made one time per year) of a database (Scopus) which is changing every day.
The Scopus' update list can also be consulted here:
https://www.elsevier.com/solutions/scopus/how-scopus-works/content
Best Regards, SCImago Team

A **Alharia Dinata** 2 years ago

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science - Volume 708 is not available in Scopus.

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

Dear Alharia,
thank you very much for your comment, unfortunately we cannot help you with your request. We suggest you contact Scopus support:
https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/
Best Regards, SCImago Team

V **Vani** 2 years ago

good evening, whether this journal is Q4 or Q2 ?

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

Dear Vani,
Thank you for contacting us.
As said below, we calculate the SJR data for all the publication's types, but the Quartile's data are only calculated for Journals and Book Series.
Best regards, SCImago Team

F **FEROSKHAN M** 2 years ago

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science - Volume 573 is not available in Scopus. But later volumes are available. May I know when will they publish in Scopus?

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

Dear Sir/Madam,
thank you very much for your comment, unfortunately we cannot help you with your request. We suggest you contact Scopus support:
https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/
Best Regards, SCImago Team

N **Natt** 3 years ago

I would like to know the quartile of this journal. Why isn't it showing on the website?

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

Dear Natt,
Thank you for contacting us. We calculate the SJR data for all the publication's types, but the Quartile's data are only calculated for Journals and Book Series.
Best regards, SCImago Team

N **Nurgustaana** 3 years ago

Dear SCImago Team!
I want to know previous quartiles of journal (for 2018 and 2019 years). I have tried find information about a quartile, but discovered just SJR for 2018. Could you please provide information about it?
Yours sincerely, Nurgustaana

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

Dear Nurgustaana,
Thank you for contacting us. We calculate the SJR data for all the publication types, but the Quartile data are only calculated for Journal type's publications. Best regards, SCImago Team

M **Mora** 3 years ago

hello, how to search one of journal who publised by IOP, because when i find it by the title, they are not able in scimagojr but the publisher is available in here, thank you for the respond it means a lot

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

Dear Mora,

thank you for contacting us. Could you provide us the Title of the journal? We remember that SCImago Journal & Country Rank shows all the information have been provided by Scopus. If you didn´t localize the journal in the search engine, it means that Scopus / Elsevier has not provided us the corresponding data.

Best Regards, SCImago Team

D **Dr. Yousif** 3 years ago

Dear Sir,

I have published a paper in Earth and Environmental Science Journal (only myself, single author) I am trying to withdraw it after 28 days of publishing online, is it possible? Could you please tell me the procedure of withdrawing a paper?
Thank you,

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

Dear Yousif,
thank you for contacting us.
We are sorry to tell you that SCImago Journal & Country Rank is not a journal. SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Elsevier/Scopus.
Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you to contact the journal's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply. Best Regards, SCImago Team

A **Agustinus Kastanya** 3 years ago

need information about renking of the Journal on Scopus

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

Dear Agustinus, thank you very much for your comment, unfortunately we cannot help you with your request. We suggest you to consult the Scopus database directly. Remember that the SJR is a static image of a database (Scopus) which is changing every day. Best regards, SCImago Team

Dear Admin,

How could our journal include in your IOP?

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

Dear Mahipal,
thank you for contacting us.
We suggest you to contact the IOP's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply.
If you would like to make an application to Scopus, please contact them to help you with this issue here: https://www.elsevier.com/solutions/scopus/content/content-policy-and-selection
http://suggestor.step.scopus.com/suggestTitle/step1.cfm
Best Regards, SCImago Team

M **Mursalin** 3 years ago

Dear SCImago Team

My name is mursalin from Jambi City, Indonesia. I have published my article titled The Effect of Temperature on MDAG Purification Using Creaming Demulsification Technique at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, Volume 309, conference 1 and could be accessed at: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1755-1315/309/1/012068. But why until now it does not appear into Google Scholar and my account.

Please help me to resolve the issue. Thank you for your kindness. I am waiting for good news from you.

Sincerelly,
Mursalin

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

Dear Mursalin,

Thank you for contacting us. Unfortunately, we can not help you with your request. Maybe other users can help you. Best Regards, SCImago Team

N **Танзиля Созаева** 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

Dear user, thank you very much for your request. You can consult that information in SJR website. Best Regards, SCImago Team

S **syaiful** 5 years ago

I am very interested to send my paper to this conference

best regards

syaiful

reply

SCImago Team

Elena Corera 5 years ago

Dear user, in the link below you will find the information corresponding to the author's instructions of this journal. Best regards, SCImago Team https://publishingsupport.iopscience.iop.org/author-guidelines-for-conference-proceedings/

Leave a comment

Name

Email
(will not be published)

I'm not a robot

reCAPTCHA
Privacy - Terms

Submit

Developed by: Powered by:

Follow us on @ScimagoJR

EST MODUS IN REBUS
Horatio (Satire 1,1,106)

Cookie settings

Cookie policy

COMMITTEE OF THE 2ND ICSARD 2020

Steering Committee:
1. Dr. Anisur Rosyad
2. Dr. Hidayah Dwiyanti
3. Joko Maryanto, M.Si
4. Dr. Siswantoro

Organizing Committee:
1. Chairman : Dr. Susanto Budi Sulistyo
2. Vice Chairman : Sapto Nugroho Hadi, M.Biotech.
3. Secretary : Dr. Dindy Darmawati Putri
4. Treasurer : Suwito, SE

International Scientific Committee and Advisory Board:
1. Prof. Dr. Wai Lok Woo - Northumbria University, UK
2. Dr. Thi Huong Do - Vietnam National University of Forestry, Vietnam
3. Dr. Tuyen Chan Kha - Nong Lam University, Vietnam

National Scientific Committee:
1. Dr. Sigit Prastowo - Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia
2. Dr. Adi Ratrianto - Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia
3. Dr. Desrial - IPB University, Indonesia
4. Prof. Dr. Yudi Pranoto - Gadjah Mada University
5. Dr. Karseno - Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia
6. Dr. Erminawati - Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia
7. Dr. Prita Sari Dewi - Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia
8. Dr. Ahadiyat Yugi - Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia
9. Dr. Budi Dharmawan - Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia
10. Dr. Suyono - Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia
11. Dr. Afik Hardanto - Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia
12. Dr. Arief Sudarmaji - Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia

Program Committee:
1. Agus Riyanto, M.Si.
2. Adi Priyono, M.Si.
3. Indah Widyarini, M.Sc.
4. Ali Maksum, M.P.
5. Hety Handayani Hidayat, M.Si.
6. Lutfi Zulkifli, M.Si.
7. Sunendar, M.Sc.

Website and Registration Division:
1. Agustin Pangestuti, S.Sos.
2. Evi Widhiastuti, A.Md.
3. Ahmad Arijal Lutfi, S.Kom.

Logistics and Information Technology Division:
1. Dr. Purwanto
2. Achmad Sujoko, S.H.

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science **653** (2021) 011002 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/653/1/011002

3. Andi Nugroho, S.Kom.
4. Soni Supriyadi
5. Tarso

Secretariat:

1. Kusja, S.IP.
2. Achmad Noor, S.Sos.
3. Riyanti
4. Moch. Yazid Fachlefie, S.E
5. Septi Dewi Yanti, A.Md.

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science **653** (2021) 012064 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/653/1/012064

achieved at a nitrogen fertilization dose of 2.72 g plant^{-1} of 36.17 g per clump (Figure 1). Nitrogen fertilization up to a dose of 2.72 g plant^{-1} can increase grain yield by 273.66 percent compared to without nitrogen fertilization. The increase in nitrogen fertilizer dosage from 1.36 g plant^{-1} to 2.72 g plant^{-1} stimulated an increase in grain yield by 40.96 percent.

4. Conclusion

PGPR consortium inoculation and nitrogen fertilization have effect on root growth, leaf greenness, and plant biomass. The PGPR consortium R08 isolate + R11 isolate and nitrogen fertilization at a dose of 1.36 g plant^{-1} gave highest net assimilation rate of 5.87 g dm^{-2} week^{-1}. The highest grain yield was achieved at nitrogen fertilization of 2.72 g plant^{-1} at 36.17 g per hill.

References

[1] Ministry of Agriculture 2020 *Tanggapi Isu Kelangkaan Pupuk, Kementan: Pupuk 2020 Cukup* (Jakarta: Kementan) www.pertanian.go.id/home/?show=news&act=view&id=4196
[2] Darwis V and Saptana 2010 *Analisis Kebijakan Pertanian* **8** 167–86
[3] Wahid A S 2003 *Jurnal Litbang Pertanian* **22** 156–61
[4] Good A G, Shrawat A K and Muench D G 2004 *J. Trends Plant Sci.* **9** 597–605
[5] Hu C, Li S, Qiao Y, Liu D and Chen Y 2015 *Expl Agric.* **51** 355–69
[6] Zheng Y, Zhang L, Zheng Y, Di H and He J 2008 *J Soils Sediments* **8** 406–14
[7] Yu C, Hu X, Deng W, Li Y, Han G and Xiong C 2016 *PLoS ONE* **11** 1–12
[8] Ayuni N, Othman R, Naher U A, Panhwar Q A and Halimi M S 2015 *The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences* **25** 1358–64
[9] Pittol M, Durso L, Valiati V H and Fiuza L M 2016 *Ann Microbiol.* **66** 511–27
[10] Purwanto, Yuwariah Y, Sumadi S and Simarmata T 2017 *Agrivita* **39** 31–7
[11] Purwanto, Agustono T, Widjonarko B R and Widiatmoko T 2019 *Planta Tropika: Jurnal Agrosains* (*Journal of Agro Sc*ience) **7** 1–7
[12] Marlina N, Gofar N, Subakti A H P K and Rahim A M 2014 *Agrivita* **36** 48–56
[13] Ghaffari H, Gholizadeh A, Biabani A, Fallah A and Mohammadian M 2018 *Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci.* **41** 715–28
[14] Bohm W 1979 *Method of Studying Root Systems* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
[15] Gardner F P, Pearce R B and Mitchell R L 1991 *Fisiologi Tanaman Budidaya* Translated by Herawati Susilo and Subiyanto (Jakarta: UI Press)
[16] Maharana P K 2019 *Int. J. of Life Science* **7** 333–6
[17] Erturk Y, Ercisli S, Haznedar A and Cakmakci R 2010 *Biol Res* **43** 91–8
[18] Chen J, Liu Z, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Sun H, Song S, Bai Z, Lu Z and Li C 2020 *Front. Plant Sci.* **11** 00880
[19] Purwanto 2009 *Growth and yield of four rice varieties in organic, semiorganic and conventional farming* Master Thesis (Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University)
[20] Razaq M, Zhang P, Shen H and Salahuddin 2017 *PLoS ONE* **12** e0171321
[21] Kumari S 2017 *Journal of Agricultural Science* **9** 208–19
[22] Guo J, Jia Y, Chen H, Zhang L, Yang Y, Zhang J, Hu X, Ye X, Li Y and Zhou Y 2019 *Nature* **9** 1248
[23] Zhou Y, Zhang Y, Wang X, Cui J, Xia X, Shi K and Yu J 2011 *J Zhejiang Univ-Sci B* (*Biomed & Biotechnol*) **12** 126–34
[24] Soleymanifard A, Piri I and Naseri R 2013 *Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci*. **2** 55–64
[25] Yin T T, Pin U L and Ghazali A H A 2015 *Tropical Life Sciences Research* **26** 101–10
[26] Bahulikar R A, Chaluvadi S R, Torres-Jerez I, Mosali J, Bennetzen J L and Udvardi M 2020 *Phytobiomes Journal* doi.org/10.1094/PBIOMES-09-19-0050-FI