Bukti korespondensi artikel

Does Cloud-Based Accounting Information System Harmonise the Small Businesses Needs?

Submit pertama kali **1 Juni 2018**

Comments for the Editor ×

Participants Edit

Prof Bambang Agus Pramuka (bpramuka)

Messages

Note	From
Dear Chief Editor of JIOS Could you please consider our article to be published in the next issue of JIOS thank you	bpramuka 2018-06-01 11:18 AM

Add Message



Revisi 1, 8 Mei 2019



Notifications

[JIOS] Editor Decision

2019-05-08 05:34 PM

Bambang Agus Pramuka, Margani Pinasti:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Information and Organizational Sciences, "Does Cloud-Based Accounting Information System Harmonise the Small Businesses Needs?".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Doc.dr.sc. Dijana Oreski
University of Zagreb Faculty of Organization and Informatics, Varazdin
dijana.oreski@foi.hr

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

I.Particulars of the paper:1. Category of the paper:

original scientific paper

Remarks relating to suggesting modifications and revisions of the article or reasons why article is not recommended (your comments):**II.Comments on the manuscript of the article:**1. Does the title correspond to the contents of the paper?

Yes

2. The paper represents:

Empirical information

3. Could the paper be considered as a new and original contribution?

Yes

Your comments:4. Is the paper written clearly and explicitly?

Yes

Your comments:5. Are there any factual or logical mistakes?

No

Your comments:6. Should the paper be extended or reduced?

No

Your comments:7. Are the references contemporary and relevant?

Yes

Your comments:8. Does the abstract offer enough information?

Yes

Your comments:----------

AUTHOR RESPONSES
FOR REVIEWER AND EDITOR'S COMMENTS AND FEEDBACK

Response	Coment Reviewer	Author's Responses
Editor	1. In the abstract, you state that the purpose of this article is to conduct a statistical test. Is this the sole reason for you to conduct the study? Or, are you attempting to gain knowledge on some aspect of work, behavior, or organizational life? What is the driver of the study? What sparked your interest? In other words, what need for knowledge is this test covering? The whole abstract actually reverts on this statistics-evoking relation. Overall, it is not very informative: (1) You want to test X, then (2) You test X, and (3) You confirm that X exists.	We have improved the research objectives in the abstract according to the editor's suggestions and adapted to the content of our research "This study aims to examine the relationship between the five dimensions of personality traits: (extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, openness to experience) and the four dimensions of values (openness to change and self-improvement, conservation, and self-transcendence) on motivation to lead"
	2. The title repeats the word "lead" twice and that is not a very effective way to channel interest to your research. You may want to consider a rephrasing of the title.	
	3. The introduction of an article should convey a series of clear messages to the reader. It should: (a) communicate why the topic is relevant and worth studying, (b) motivate the choice in the extant literature, (c) explain why the course set in the article expands our knowledge on the topic, and (d) tell about the method and why it fits the research question. Your introduction falls short of satisfactorily addressing these points.	We've improved the Introduction, with a greater focus on Indonesia's demographics
	4. The model you are proposing is very simple. Nothing wrong with simple models, if they establish a relation that had never been explored before, they are built on exploratory findings, they contradict previous findings, or they require a sophisticated methodology. In the case of your study, you may need to articulate the constructs you are proposing better, by arguing that there are cases in which the model can/should be expanded. Maybe it is possible that some demographic aspects enter the relation and offer additional explanations, maybe some of these variables may split or relate to each other differently (e.g., instrumental, moderator, mediator). In general simple models are particularly prone to problems of endogeneity, hence their robustness needs to be thoroughly tested.	We have improved the research model by introducing a relation that had never been explored before, we also used more sophisticated methodology. We have articulated the constructs by arguing that there are cases in which the model can/should be expanded. some demographic aspects enter the relation and offer additional explanations, maybe some of these variables may split or relate to each other differently (e.g., instrumental, moderator, mediator). We have also thoroughly tested the robustness of the model.
	5. The model is also very straightforward and I am not convinced that all the variables you mention are antecedents of the motivation to lead. Maybe you want to consider whether some of them (mainly those that do not enter into the personality measure) can be considered as moderators. Just a thought, nothing binding here. Also, it seems that some of these variables may have high association with aspects of personality. Make sure you are clear about the difference between these and the individual traits of personality.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	6. The fact that a relation has not been examined so far in the literature does not provide support, per se, to a study. The lack of research may be due to irrelevance, theoretical inconsistencies, misinterpretation of previous findings, lack of consistent measurement, cost, and more. Hence, you need to convince the reader (the editor, and the reviewers before them) that this relation is worth examining because it allows us to gain knowledge on an area that deserves our attention. And this may be because it tackles important organizational problems, practices, behaviors, conceptualizations, or it shows potentials to develop a new theory or improve existing ones, or it may open up unexplored directions for further research.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text

	7. The use of the future tense for the hypotheses is misleading. It implies that the relation has effect over time, hence you need a longitudinal research design to properly test it. Since I do not think this is your intention here, I suggest you change the way in which these hypotheses are phrased.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	8. The methods section is particularly light in terms of the details needed to understand how you actually conducted the study. The methods section should allow, in theory, anyone who reads it to replicate the study. Moreover, it should inform about the way you handled the various subtleties of conducting research. For this reason, the following points are some of those usually included in the section: a---ex ante statistical power analysis to assess sample size; b---translation issues (if any); c---structure of the questionnaire; d---demographics information and how coded; e---randomization procedures (items, scales, blocks, etc.); f---include one or two sample items or add an appendix with all scales; g---missing data handling.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	9. SEM is inappropriate for this test, since you do not have multiple equations. Put differently, you do not have a path model to test but a number of independent variables that all "predict" one single dependent variable. Standard hierarchical regression models (some with demographics, see below) are more appropriate for the analysis of the data in this case.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	10. Demographics is not considered in the results section. It is standard practice that a descriptive statistics and correlation table includes information about demographics. Also, when presenting results, it may be useful to refer to the impact/effect of demographics.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	11. The discussion section simply reports findings using narrative rather than actual data. This is not in line with what one would expect to find in this section. In fact, this section does not explain too well what are the implications of your findings in terms of both theory and practice. As currently written, it is insufficient as it does not provide a good understanding of what can be done with the knowledge gathered by this research	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
Reviewer: 1 **Recommendation: Minor Revision**	1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: The paper covers an interesting topic and is sufficiently innovative. Even so, it would be positive if the authors could better explain the importance of studying in the same research all the personality traits indicated, as well as the combination of the study of personality traits with values. The authors refer to the void that exists in terms of the study of motivations (namely MTL), although it would be important to identify the existing studies specifically in the case of rural leaders	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text

	2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Overall, the authors exhibit an adequate understanding of the relevant literature. Howver, as previsouly mentioned, it would be important to expand the theorectical background to include the specific case of rural leaders.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Overall, the methodology employed is suitable. The conceot of rural leadrship, although should be further developed from the theoriectical point of view, should also be clarified in the methodology. As such, the authors should indicate how they operationalised the concept, as well as the procedures used for the constitution of the sample, including the definition of the eligibility criteria and identification of the participants to be sent the questionnaire. In the methodology and results analysis it would be also positive to clarify to what extent there is multicollinearity of data, namely between some personality traits and values (e.g. openness to experience and opposition to change) and the strategies used to avoid it. In the methodology it would be also positve to describe the importance of the study to be held in Indonesia. What are the advantages related to it and the extent to which the results attained could be generalized.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: The results analysis and conclusions,overall, are suitable.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	5. Practicality and/or Research implications: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for practice and/or further research? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: The implications for practice could be improved. IIt would be positive to describe the practical implications that could be derived from the reserach. For example, the authors refer the implications for leadears selction, but how could them be developed?	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: The readability of the paper is suitable. The authors shoud revised the use of the expression "&" in the text.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
Reviewer: 2 Recommendation: Reject	All comments are in the attached file	

Reviewer: 3 Recommendation: Major Revision	It is not clear what is the state of knowledge in this area which warrants this current study. That is, what is the justification for the study? The manuscript story is not easy to follow, there are so many awkward statements, inappropriate placement of punctuation marks, wrong in-text citations, and many more. The major concerns involve research conceptualization, theory and hypothesis development, and methodological challenges. It is not clear what is the take home from this paper.	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Not adequate	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: No	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Marginally	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	5. Practicality and/or Research implications: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for practice and/or further research? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Marginally	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text
	6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Poor	Improvement was made, please refer to the revised text

Accepted, 27 Mei 2019

Notifications ×

[JIOS] Editor Decision

2020-05-27 08:31 AM

Bambang Agus Pramuka, Margani Pinasti:

The editing of your submission, "Does Cloud-Based Accounting Information System Harmonise the Small Businesses Needs?," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: //jios.foi.hr/index.php/jios/authorDashboard/submission/1226

Goran Hajdin
University of Zagreb, Faculty of Organization and Informatics, Varazdin
Phone +385 42 390 860
goran.hajdin@foi.hr

Copyediting, 11 November 2019

Participants

Goran Hajdin (ghajdin)

Prof Bambang Agus Pramuka (bpramuka)

Messages

Note	From
Dear Prof. Bambang Agus Pramuka, For all changes please consult and use JIOS template for authors. It is available at https://jios.foi.hr/index.php/jios/about/submissions#authorGuidelines. The following changes are necessary in order to get the paper compliant with the JIOS template: - Adjust page size to B5 (ISO) according to the section 2. of the JIOS template. - Set 1st page header to JIOS, Vol. 43, No. 2 (2019). Please set submitted date to 06/18 and accepted date to 05/19. Please mind formatting of the small-caps. - Please add author(s) information on the 1st page, as instructed in the JIOS template section 2.2. - Format Abstract section of the paper according to the JIOS template 'Abstract' section on the 1st template page. - Format Keyword section of the paper according to the JIOS template 'Keywords' section on the 1st template page. - Please format even page headers according to the JIOS template section 2.3. On the left side include author(s) last name(s) and on the right header side include paper title. All information should be formatted using small-caps. Do not abbreviate title words. If title has more than 40 characters (including spaces) place three dots '...' after last word which fits within 40-character count.	ghajdin 2019-11-11 08:59 AM

- Please format odd page headers according to the JIOS template section 2.3. On the right header side include 'Journal of Information and Organizational Sciences' formatted using small-caps.
- Please format tables and accompanying titles according to the JIOS template section 2.4.
- Please format references according to the JIOS template section 2.6. References should be formatted according to the IEEE referencing style and aligned left. All links should be formatted as plain text.
- When making changes in the document please mind possible blank space at the end of the pages. If necessary, relocate tables and figures to nullify such occurrences.
- When submitting copyedited files please make sure to include PDF as well as the original document (ie. Word, LaTeX, etc.) in one archive file (zip, rar, etc.) in case there is a need for some minor last-minute adjustments before printing process.

Please contact me if you have any questions or are unable to undertake this work by the end of Friday, 22nd of November. Thank you for your contribution to JIOS.

Goran Hajdin

doreski, 1226-Article Text-4672-1-4-20180601 (1).doc

---

ghajdin
2019-11-22 12:10 PM

Dear Prof. Bambang Agus Pramuka,

I would kindly like to remind you about the previous message and defined deadline.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Goran Hajdin

Dear Editor I would like to apoligize for late reply, the email didnot reach me it went into spam, So please give more time until 10 of December thank you.	bpramuka 2019-12-08 10:45 AM
Dear Editor of JIOS I have finishing working on the copyediting paper according to your instructions. Should you futher inquiries please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your kind support. bpramuka, 1226-Article Text-4671-1-2-20180601.zip	bpramuka 2019-12-08 11:56 PM
Dear prof. Bambang Agus Pramuka, the paper will be included in the next number of JIOS (Vol. 44 No. 1). Previous week we moved to print production and started printing process. Since our journal is published both, as print and online, we cannot make additional changes to the current number. I would kindly ask that you provide edited source file too. Currently in the attachment there is only a PDF file and I see several minor elements which need further adjustment. Feel free to adjust volume and number on the first page header to the Vol. 44 No. 1. Sincerely, Goran Hajdin	ghajdin 2019-12-10 08:06 AM

Dear Chief Editor of JIOS

bpramuka
2019-12-19 05:22 AM

Thank you for your kind response. Herewith attached is the revised version of my article align with your suggestion. I have also used JIOS format and template. I have adjusted also the volume no on the first page of the article to vol 44 no 1 (2019). Should you have further questions please do not hesitate to contact me on the above email. Thank you for your kindness.

Best regard

Bambang Agus Pramuka

Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Indonesia

bpramuka, JIOS_ Pramuka_edit_JIOS.docx

Dear prof. Bambang Agus Pramuka,

ghajdin
2019-12-20 01:45 PM

attached I am sending corrected version of the paper. The changes have been done to the: alignment of the headers, abstract and keywords section, authors' information, references section and page size (B5 ISO). Because of the slight change in the page format I further adjusted position of some tables and text elements. All changes are present in the attached documents. I kindly ask for your feedback if you agree with the made changes.

Sincerely,
Goran Hajdin

ghajdin, Pramuka 1226.zip

Published Juni 2020

ghajdin, Pramuka 1226.zip

Dear prof. Bambang Agus Pramuka,

attached I am sending updated version of the paper which will be published in this issue of JIOS.

Sincerely,
Goran Hajdin

ghajdin
2020-05-22 08:21 AM

ghajdin, Pramuka 1226.docx

Dear Chief Editor of JIOS

I have received the email thank you for the update. I highly appreciate your help

Regards

Prof. Bambang Agus Pramuka

bpramuka
2020-05-27 08:09 AM