

ISSN 0972-0871

Reprinted from the

**Far East Journal of  
Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)**

Volume 107, Number 1, 2018, pp 37-52

**EVALUATION OF MLE AND TSLS METHODS  
FOR FITTING SPATIAL LAG MODEL  
AND ITS APPLICATION**

by

Jajang,

B. Pratikno and Mashuri



**Pushpa Publishing House**

Vijaya Niwas, 198 Mumfordganj  
Allahabad 211002, INDIA

<http://pphmj.com/journals/fjms.htm>  
[fjms@pphmj.com](mailto:fjms@pphmj.com) & [arun@pphmj.com](mailto:arun@pphmj.com)

[Home](#) | [Publication Ethics](#) | [Guidelines](#) | [Journal](#) | [Submit a Manuscript](#) | [Individual Subscriber Login](#)  
[Impact Factor](#)

Journal Home

Editorial Board

Guidelines for Authors

Subscribe

Content

Publication Ethics and  
Publication Malpractice  
Statement

Journal Metrics / Impact  
Factor

### Categories

Journals List

All Journals



## Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS) Editorial Board

### Ahmet Sinan Cevik

Department of  
Mathematics  
Selçuk University  
Faculty of Science  
Campus, 42075 Konya  
Turkey  
[Combinatorial Group and  
Semigroup Theory,  
Algebraic and Spectral  
Graph Theory]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

### Alison Marr

Department of  
Mathematics  
Southwestern University  
1001 E University Ave  
Georgetown, TX 78626  
USA  
[Graph Theory, Graph  
Labeling]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

### Ashish K. Srivastava

Department of  
Mathematics & Computer  
Science  
Saint Louis University  
221 N. Grand Blvd.  
Saint Louis, MO-63103  
USA  
[Noncommutative Ring  
Theory]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

### B. C. Tripathy

Department of  
Mathematics  
Tripura University  
Suryamaninagar, Agartala  
- 799022, Tripura  
India  
[Analysis]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

### Chaohui Zhang

Department of  
Mathematics  
Morehouse College  
Atlanta, GA 30314  
USA  
[Riemann Surfaces and  
Quasi Conformal  
Mappings, Ahlfors-Bers  
Theory of Teichmuller  
Spaces and Moduli  
Spaces, Mapping Class  
Groups and Nielsen-  
Thurston's Classification  
of Mapping Classes,  
Hyperbolic Geometry,  
Low Dimensional  
Geometry and Topology]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)


### Chelliah Selvaraj

Department of  
Mathematics  
Periyar University  
Salem-636011 Tamil  
Nadu  
India  
[Particular Ring theory,  
Homological algebra and  
Representation Theory of  
Algebras]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Claudio Cuevas**

**D. S. Sankar**



[LATEST ISSUE](#)

[SUBMIT AN ARTICLE](#)

[SEARCH WITHIN JOURNALS](#)

Departamento de Matemática  
Universidade Federal de Pernambuco  
Recife-PE, CEP. 50540-740  
Brazil  
[Difference Equations, Periodicity and Ergodicity, Dispersive Estimates, Fractional Differential Equations, Functional Differential Equations, Integral and Integro-Differential Equation]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

School of Applied Sciences and Mathematics  
Universiti Teknologi Brunei  
Jalan Tungku Link Gadong BE 1410  
Brunei Darussalam  
[Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations, Mathematical Economics]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **E. Thandapani**

Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics  
University of Madras  
Chennai- 600 005  
India  
[Differential Equation (ODE & PDE) and Difference Equations]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **Haruhide Matsuda**

Department of Mathematics  
Shibaura Inst of Tech  
307 Fukasaku, Minumaku  
Saitama, 337-8570  
Japan  
[Graph Theory]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **Hong-Xu Li**

Department of Mathematics  
Sichuan University  
Chengdu, Sichuan 610064  
China  
[Functional Differential Equations, Ordinary Differential Equations, Almost Periodicity, Nonlinear Functional Analysis]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **I. S. Rakhimov**

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences  
Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM)  
Shah Alam  
Malaysia  
[Structural theory of finite-dimensional algebras; Lie and Leibniz algebras; Dialgebras; Commutative Algebras; Algebraic geometry; Invariant theory]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **Jay M. Jahangiri**

Department of Mathematics  
Kent State University  
Burton, Ohio 44021-9500  
USA  
[Complex Analysis]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **Jin-Lin Liu**

Department of Mathematics  
Yangzhou University  
Yangzhou 225002  
China  
[Geometric Function Theory]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Lingyun Gao**

Department of  
Mathematics  
Jinan University  
Guangzhou 510632  
China  
[Complex  
Analysis, Complex  
Differential (difference)  
Equations]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Magdalena Toda**

Department of  
Mathematics and  
Statistics  
Texas Tech University  
Lubbock TX  
USA  
[Geometry, Integrable  
Systems, Mathematical  
Physics, and Non-Linear  
Partial Differential  
Equations]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Moonja Jeong**

Department of  
Mathematics  
University of Suwon  
Suwon Kyungkido, 440-  
600  
South Korea  
[Complex Analysis]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Nak Eun Cho**

Department of  
Mathematics  
Pukyong National  
University  
Busan 608-737  
South Korea  
[Complex Analysis,  
Geometric Function  
Theory and Univalent  
Functions]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Pu Zhang**

Department of  
Mathematics  
Shanghai Jiao Tong  
University  
Shanghai 200240  
China  
[Algebra Representation  
Theory and Quantum  
Groups]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Qing-Wen Wang**

Department of  
Mathematics  
Shanghai University  
99 Shangda Road  
Shanghai, 200444  
China  
[Linear Algebra, Matrix  
Theory, Operator Algebra]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Şahsene Altinkaya**

Department of  
Mathematics  
Faculty of Arts and  
Science  
Uludag University  
Bursa  
Turkey  
[Geometric Function  
Theory; Bi-univalent  
Functions]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Satoru Takagi**

Global Education Center  
(Math Dept.)  
Waseda University  
1-6-1 Nishi-waseda  
Shinjuku, 169-8050  
Japan  
[Partial Differential  
Equations; Functional  
Analysis]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Sourav Das**

Department of  
Mathematics  
National Institute of  
Technology Jamshedpur  
Jamshedpur-831014,  
Jharkhand  
India

[Complex Analysis,  
Special Functions,  
Orthogonal Polynomials,  
Inequalities, Continued  
Fractions, Asymptotics]

ONLINE SUBMISSION

**Sunil Dutt Purohit**

Department of  
Mathematics  
Rajasthan Technical  
University  
University College of  
Engineering  
Kota - 324010 Rajasthan  
India

[Special Functions,  
Fractional Calculus, q-  
Calculus, Geometric  
Function Theory, Integral  
Transforms, Mathematical  
Physics]

ONLINE SUBMISSION

**Takashi Koda**

Department of  
Mathematics  
University of Toyama  
Toyama 930-8555  
Japan

[Differential Geometry]

ONLINE SUBMISSION

**Tongzhu Li**

Department of  
Mathematics  
Beijing Institute of  
Technology  
Beijing, 100081  
China

[Moebius Geometry,  
Geometry of  
Submanifolds, and  
Riemann Geometry]

ONLINE SUBMISSION

**Vernold Vivin J.**

Department of  
Mathematics  
University College of  
Engineering Nagercoil  
(Anna University  
Constituent College)  
Nagercoil-629 004  
Tamil Nadu  
India

[Graph Theory]

ONLINE SUBMISSION

**Vladimir Tulovsky**

Department of  
Mathematics and  
Computer Science  
Saint John's University  
300 Howard Avenue  
Staten Island, NY 10301  
USA

[Partial Differential  
Equations]

ONLINE SUBMISSION

**Wei Dong Gao**

Center for Combinatorics  
Nankai University  
Tianjin 300071  
China

[Number Theory and  
Combinatorics]

ONLINE SUBMISSION

**Wing-Sum Cheung**

Faculty of Science  
Department of  
Mathematics  
University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Road  
Hong Kong

[Inequalities]

ONLINE SUBMISSION

**Xiao-Jun Yang**

Department of

**Xiaochun Fang**

Department of

Mathematics and  
Mechanics  
China University of Mining  
and Technology  
Xuzhou, Jiangsu, 221008  
China  
[Fractional Calculus and  
Applications, Analytical  
and Approximate  
Solution, PDEs, Fourier  
Analysis, Wavelets, Signal  
Processing]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

Mathematics  
Tongji University  
Shanghai 200092  
China  
[Functional analysis;  
Operator Algebra and  
Application]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Yilmaz Simsek**

Department of  
Mathematics  
Faculty of Art and Science  
University of Akdeniz  
07058 Antalya  
Turkey  
[Special numbers and  
Polynomials; Generating  
Functions; Special  
Functions; q-Series and  
p-adic q-Analysis;  
Analytic Number Theory;  
Modular form and  
Dedekind and Hardy  
Sums]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Yong Gao Chen**

School of Mathematical  
Sciences  
Nanjing Normal University  
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023  
China  
[Combinatorial Number  
Theory, Analytic Number  
Theory]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Young Bae Jun**

Department of  
Mathematics Education  
Gyeongsang National  
University  
Chinju 660-701  
South Korea  
[BCK/BCL-Algebra and  
Universal Algebra]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Zhenlu Cui**

Department of  
Mathematics and  
Computer Science  
Fayetteville State  
University  
1200 Murchison Rd.,  
Fayetteville  
NC 28301  
USA  
[Multiscale Modeling of  
Soft Matters, Perturbation  
Analysis, Numerical  
Analysis, Approximation  
Theory]

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

SEARCH

[Home](#) [Publication Ethics](#) [Guidelines](#) [Journal](#) [Submit a Manuscript](#)[Individual Subscriber Login](#) [Impact Factor](#)[Journal Home](#)[Editorial Board](#)[Guidelines for Authors](#)[Subscribe](#)[Content](#)[Publication Ethics and  
Publication Malpractice  
Statement](#)[Journal Metrics / Impact  
Factor](#)

## Content

- [+ Volume 130 \(2021\)](#)
- [+ Volume 129 \(2021\)](#)
- [+ Volume 128 \(2021\)](#)
- [+ Volume 127 \(2020\)](#)
- [+ Volume 126 \(2020\)](#)
- [+ Volume 125 \(2020\)](#)
- [+ Volume 124 \(2020\)](#)
- [+ Volume 123 \(2020\)](#)
- [+ Volume 122 \(2020\)](#)
- [+ Volume 121 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 120 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 119 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 118 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 117 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 116 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 115 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 114 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 113 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 112 \(2019\)](#)

Far East Journal of

## Mathematical Sciences, Volume 107, Issue 1, (FJMS) Pages 1 - 294 (October 2018)

Articles 1 - 19 of 19 [ 1 ]

### ON THE RECIPROCAL SUMS OF PRODUCTS OF LUCAS NUMBERS

by: Younseok Choo

Page: 1 - 11

[Abstract](#) | [Add to my cart](#)

### A MOVING ASYMPTOTES ALGORITHM FOR A CLASS OF NONLINEAR PROBLEMS WITH LOCATABLE AND SEPARABLE NON- SMOOTHNESS

by: Mohamed Tifroute and

Hassane Bouzahir

Page: 13 - 36

[Abstract](#) | [Add to my cart](#)

### EVALUATION OF MLE AND TSLs METHODS FOR FITTING SPATIAL LAG MODEL AND ITS APPLICATION

by: Jajang, B. Pratikno and  
Mashuri


Page: 37 - 52

[Abstract](#) | [Add to my cart](#)

### EXACT SOLUTIONS OF THE VARIANT BOUSSINESQ EQUATIONS

by: Fen Wang and  
Zhongzhou Dong

Page: 53 - 69

[Abstract](#) | [Add to my cart](#)

LATEST ISSUE

SUBMIT AN ARTICLE

SEARCH WITHIN JOURNALS



## EVALUATION OF MLE AND TSLS METHODS FOR FITTING SPATIAL LAG MODEL AND ITS APPLICATION

**Jajang, B. Pratikno and Mashuri**

Department of Mathematics

Jenderal Soedirman University (UNSOED)

Purwokerto 53122, Indonesia

### Abstract

In this paper, we study two parameter estimation methods, maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) and two-stage least squares (TSLS) for fitting spatial lag model (SLM). We use Monte Carlo simulation to generate the data used and evaluate the methods by the root of mean squared error (RMSE) criterion. Statistical analysis of real data sets is presented to demonstrate the conclusion of the results. In this data, we use poverty data and factors affecting poverty which consist of the number of people who graduated from junior high school, shares of industry GDP, agricultural GDP, trading GDP and services GDP. The result shows that the best choice in fitting SLM is MLE. Analysis results based on MLE method conclude that the increase in share of agriculture GDP causes significant increase in the number of poor people. Conversely, the increase in share of services GDP causes significant decrease in the number of poor people. The increase in the number of people who graduated from junior high school, share of industry GDP, share of trading GDP causes decrease in the number of poor people, but they are not significant.

---

Received: December 12, 2017; Revised: July, 26 2018; Accepted: July 30, 2018

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 62H11, 65C05.

Keywords and phrases: MLE, TSLS, SLM, poverty.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, spatial regression model has been developed to take spatial dependence. The models that involve statistical dependence are often more realistic [7, 8]. A fundamental concern of spatial analysts is to find patterns in spatial data that lead to the identification of spatial autocorrelation or association [15]. Taking spatial dependences into account when dealing with spatial data is very important, and neglecting them can cause problems. For example, ignoring spatial lag structures causes ordinary least squares (OLS) estimators to become bias and inconsistent. The spatial weights matrix is one of the most convenient ways to summarize spatial relationship in the data. Spatial weights matrix is a nonnegative matrix that specifies the neighborhood set for each observation. Here, the data are collected from different spatial locations. Spatial weights matrix characterizes cross-section dependence in useful ways. Their measurement has an important effect on the estimation of a spatial dependence model [1, 9, 14]. The prediction result becomes accurate if we found a representative spatial weight matrix and parameter estimation method. There are many to create spatial weights matrix [10]. However, the most commonly used spatial weights matrix is a binary matrix based on geographic distance and contiguity.

In the spatial model, we found endogenous problem in the model. Therefore, classic method such as ordinary least squares (OLS) is not relevant to solve the problem. The OLS estimator will be biased as well as inconsistent for the parameters of the spatial model [2]. The inappropriateness of the least squares estimator for models that incorporate spatial dependence has focused attention on the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE), generalized method of moment (GMM) and two-stage least squares (TSLS) methods approach as an alternative [11, 12]. In this paper, we characterize and compare MLE and TSLS methods to estimate the parameters of SLM model.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents spatial lag model and parameter estimation methods. Monte Carlo simulation is

given in Section 3. Statistical analysis of real case sets is presented in Section 4. Concluding remarks are provided in Section 5.

## 2. Spatial Lag Model and Parameter Estimation Method

### 2.1. Spatial lag model

Spatial lag dependence or spatial lag model in a regression model is similar to the inclusion of serially autoregressive term for dependent variable in a time-series context. Spatial lag model (SLM) is specified as [2, 3]

$$\mathbf{y} = \rho \mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{y}$  is the  $n \times 1$  of the response variable,  $\mathbf{X}$  is the  $n \times 1$  matrix of the non-stochastic explanatory variables,  $\mathbf{W}$  is the  $n \times 1$  non-stochastic weights matrix,  $\rho$  is a spatial autoregressive parameter,  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is a parameter vector, and  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_i, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of innovations.

### 2.2. Maximum likelihood estimation

Maximum likelihood estimation of the SLM models described involves maximizing the log-likelihood function with respect to the parameters. The method of maximum likelihood selects the set of values-values of the model parameters that maximize the likelihood function. The model (1) represents an equilibrium, so  $(\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})$  is assumed to be invertible. The equilibrium vector  $\mathbf{y}$  is given by  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ . We assume that the errors are normally distributed ( $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}^{-2})$ )  $\sup_i |\omega_i| < 1$  and the matrices  $(\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})$  are nonsingular. For errors are normally distributed, it can be expressed by

$$f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp\left[-\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}{2\sigma^2}\right]. \quad (2)$$

Based on nonsingularity condition of the matrix  $(\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})$ , we can rewrite equation in (1) as  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  or  $(\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ . Furthermore, from (2), we can find pdf of  $\mathbf{y}$  by Jacobi transformation method

[4, 6]. Let  $J = \left| \frac{d\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}{d\mathbf{y}} \right| = |\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W}|$  be the Jacobi transformation from  $\mathbf{v}$  to  $\mathbf{y}$ .

By using the Jacobi method, we can denote pdf  $\mathbf{y}$  as

$$f(\mathbf{y}) = f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) |\mathbf{J}|. \quad (3)$$

Hereinafter, substituting  $\mathbf{J} = |\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W}|$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  into  $f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  in equation (2) results in

$$f(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp \left[ \frac{((\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'((\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{2\sigma^2} \right] \cdot |\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W}|. \quad (4)$$

We can see that equation (4) has  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma^2$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . Furthermore, we use  $f(\mathbf{y}; \rho, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\beta})$  instead of  $f(\mathbf{y})$  for expression of the pdf. Thus, with reference to (4), the likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\rho, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}) \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp \left[ \frac{((\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'((\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{2\sigma^2} \right] \cdot |\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W}|. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The expression in (5) is actually quite a pain to differentiate, so it is almost always simplified by taking the natural logarithm of the expression. This is absolutely fine because the natural logarithm is a monotonically increasing function. This is important because it ensures that the maximum value of the log of the probability occurs at the same point as the original probability function. Therefore, we can work with the simpler log-likelihood instead of the original likelihood. The logarithm of likelihood function of (5) can be denoted as

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\mathcal{L}(\rho, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y})) = \ln |\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W}| - \frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) \\ - \frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{((\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'((\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{2\sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

There are the following requirements: the existence of the log-likelihood function for the parameter values under consideration, continuous differentiability of the log-likelihood, boundedness of various partial derivatives; the existence, nonsingularity of covariance matrices; and the finiteness of various quadratic forms. Here, there are the conditions to ensure that these assumptions hold. These conditions are all diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{W}$  are zero,  $\sup_i |\omega_i| < 1$ , the matrices  $(\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})$  are nonsingular for  $-1 < \omega_i < 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The innovations  $\varepsilon_i$  are independent identically distribution,  $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$ ,  $E(\varepsilon_i^2) = \sigma^2 > 0$ , and  $E(|\varepsilon_i|^{4+\eta}) < \infty$ , for some  $\eta$ . To avoid calculating  $\ln|\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W}|$  in (6), Ward and Kristiani [17] proposed that  $\ln|\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W}| = \sum_i \ln(1 - \rho\omega_i)$ ,

$$\ln(\mathcal{L}(\rho, \sigma^2, \mathbf{y})) = \sum_i \ln(\mathbf{I} - \rho\omega_i) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{((\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X})'((\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X})}{2\sigma^2}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\omega_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are eigenvalues of the matrix  $\mathbf{W}$ . The resulting vector of first partial derivatives of equation (7) is a set equal to zero and needs to be solved for the parameter values.

Let  $\theta = (\rho, \sigma^2)$  and  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ . The values  $\hat{\rho}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  and  $\hat{\cdot}$  are estimators for  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma^2$ , respectively. The maximum value of  $\ln(\mathcal{L}(\rho, \sigma^2, \mathbf{y}))$  is obtained when we consider  $\hat{\rho}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  and  $\hat{\cdot}$ .

To obtain maximum of the function in (7), we have to find critical points by partial differential [16],  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln(\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathbf{y}))|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial} \ln(\mathcal{L}(\rho, \sigma^2, \mathbf{y})) = 0 \Leftrightarrow ((\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X})' \mathbf{X} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \ln(\mathcal{L}(\rho, \sigma^2, \mathbf{y})) \\ & = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow -tr(\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})^{-1} \mathbf{W} + ((\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X})' \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Under the usual regularity conditions, the maximal likelihood estimates that are found as solutions to the system (8)-(9) will be asymptotically efficient [2]. Clearly, this system of highly nonlinear equations does not have an analytic solution and needs to be solved by numerical methods. Part of the first order conditions has solution which can be used to construct a concentrated likelihood function. There are some methods/algorithm can be used to solve this nonlinear equation, such as Newton-Raphson, gradient descent and Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) algorithm. In this paper, we use BFGS algorithm in optimization problem in equation (7).

Let  $H$  and  $\nabla$  be Hessian matrices and gradients operator, respectively. A starting point  $\theta^{(0)}$  and estimate of  $\nabla^2 \ln(\theta^{(0)})$  must be given. The iteration is then  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \theta^{(k+1)} = \theta^{(k)} - H_k^{-1} \nabla \ln(\theta^{(k)}) \\ (2) \quad & s^{(k)} = \theta^{(k+1)} - \theta^{(k)} \\ (3) \quad & y^{(k)} = \nabla \ln(\theta^{(k+1)}) - \nabla \ln(\theta^{(k)}) \\ (4) \quad & H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{H_k s^{(k)} (s^{(k)})' H_k}{s^{(k)} H_k s^{(k)}} + \frac{y^{(k)} (y^{(k)})'}{y^{(k)} s^{(k)}}. \end{aligned}$$

### 2.3. Two-stage least squares

Two-stage least squares (TSLS) method is one of the methods that can be used to solve the endogenous problem. In the TSLS method is used the instrument variable. The instrument variable is a new variable correlated to the response variable, but uncorrelated with residual. From equation (1), we can express  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{I} - \rho \mathbf{W})^{-1} (\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{u})$ , so we can show that  $E(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}') =$

$E(\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{I} - \rho\mathbf{W})^{-1} \epsilon) \neq 0$ . Therefore, we cannot use OLS method to estimate the parameters of the SLM model. As an alternative, we can solve this problem by using TSLS method. Let  $Z = (\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{X})$  and  $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the model in equation (1) can be written as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Z}\beta + \epsilon. \quad (10)$$

Due to  $E(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} \epsilon) \neq 0$ , Kelejian and Prucha [11] suggested a TSLS based on instruments  $\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}^2\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}^3\mathbf{X}, \dots)$ . Therefore, the estimator of  $\beta$  is given by

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{y}. \quad (11)$$

The TSLS method can be produced in three steps [13]:

1. Obtain the consistent estimates of  $\beta$  by instrument variables, where  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}^2\mathbf{X}$  are instruments in SLM.
2. Estimate  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  by GMM using samples constructed from the functions of model errors.
3. Use estimate of  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  to perform a spatial Cochrane-Orcutt transformation of the data and obtain more efficient estimate of  $\beta$ .

### 3. Monte Carlo Simulation

In this study, we simulated data of the SLM model used by Monte Carlo simulation method. Here, we set intercept  $\beta_0 = 2$ , slope  $\beta_1 = 2$  for different coefficients of spatial lag( $\rho$ ) and sample size ( $n$ )... $\rho = 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7$  and  $0.8$ . Furthermore, the process of data generation that is used to evaluate the estimation methods is conducted as follows:

- (1) Given  $\mathbf{W}$  as a contiguity matrix.

- (2) Fix the parameters  $\beta_0 = 2$ ,  $\beta_1 = 2$  and  $\rho = 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7$  and 0.8.
- (3) Generate  $X$  explanatory variables: uniform,  $U(20, 50)$ .
- (4) Generate  $\varepsilon_N(t) \sim iid N(0, I)$ .
- (5) Generate  $y_N(t)$  from equation (1).
- (6) Estimate the parameters  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\rho$  by MLE and TSLS.
- (7) Repeat stage (1) until (6)  $B$  time ( $B = 500$ ).
- (8) Determine average of RMSE of MLE's and RMSE of TSLS's.


The RMSE's MLE and RMSE's TSLS yielded from Monte Carlo simulation for various sample sizes ( $n$ ) and coefficients of spatial lag( $\rho$ ) are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1.** The RMSE's MLE and RMSE's TSLS for variations  $n$  and  $\rho$

$n$	$\rho = 0.3$		$\rho = 0.4$		$\rho = 0.5$		$\rho = 0.6$		$\rho = 0.7$		$\rho = 0.8$	
	MLE	TSLS										
20	1.024	1.111	1.175	1.274	1.436	1.558	1.899	2.058	2.728	2.950	4.412	4.728
40	0.984	1.023	1.025	1.066	1.112	1.157	1.278	1.329	1.621	1.685	2.445	2.536
60	1.008	1.035	1.071	1.098	1.186	1.216	1.403	1.439	1.833	1.880	2.853	2.920
80	1.047	1.068	1.143	1.165	1.315	1.340	1.649	1.681	2.297	2.340	3.684	3.747
100	1.095	1.112	1.240	1.259	1.500	1.523	1.965	1.995	2.824	2.866	4.674	4.736
200	1.202	1.211	1.455	1.466	1.876	1.890	2.583	2.602	3.837	3.864	6.414	6.455
300	1.005	1.010	1.023	1.028	1.058	1.064	1.134	1.139	1.310	1.317	1.772	1.780
400	1.012	1.016	1.045	1.049	1.099	1.103	1.220	1.224	1.482	1.488	2.135	2.142
500	1.030	1.033	1.071	1.074	1.158	1.161	1.335	1.339	1.703	1.708	2.555	2.562

Based on Table 1, we can see that RMSE's MLE methods are smaller than RMSE's TSLS, e.g., for  $\rho = 0.3$  and  $n = 100$ , the RMSE's MLE = 1.095 is smaller than the RMSE's TSLS = 1.112. Further, all of RMSE's

MLE are smaller than RMSE's TSLS for various  $n$  and  $\rho$ . However, the differences between RMSE's MLE and RMSE's TSLS are small, especially for  $n$  large. These results are consistent with the properties of MLE estimation method. In this data simulation, the errors are normally distributed, so we can say that in that case, MLE is most efficient.



**Figure 1.** RMSE's MLE and RMSE's TSLS of the SLM model from various sample sizes ( $n$ ) and coefficients of spatial lag( $\rho$ ).


Figure 1 shows the RMSE from different parameter estimation methods for  $n = 20$  to 500 and  $\rho = 0.2$  to 0.8. For  $n = 20$ , we see that RMSE's

MLE is smaller than RMSE's TSLS, whereas for  $n > 20$ , the differences of RMSE's MLE and RMSE's TSLS are very small. Further, all of the plots RMSE vs coefficients of spatial lag( $\rho$ ) have trend positive, so we can say that the increase in coefficients of spatial lag causes increase in RMSEs.

#### **4. Implementation of Estimation Methods**

##### **4.1. Data**

The data used in this study were taken from BPS statistics of Central Java province [5]. The estimation method of spatial lag model is implemented to poverty data in Central Java province. The poverty data consisted of one response variable and five predictor variables. The response variable is the number of poor people, whereas five predictor variables are percentage of the number of people who graduated from junior high school (EDU), share of industry GDP (industry), share of agricultural GDP (AGRI), share of trading GDP (trading), and share of services GDP (services). Firstly, we describe distribution of the number of poor people in Central Java province using quantile map analysis. Here, we use GeoDa software version 1.8 to create quantile map. Figure 2 shows the distribution of the number of poor people for 35 districts. First class is described by the white color which represents the number of poor less than 9.87%. The second class is described by light orange color which represents the number of poor people between 10.9%-12.6%. The third class is described by the orange color which represents the number of poor people between 13%-14.9%. Finally, the fourth class is described by the dark orange color which represents the number of poor more than 14.9 percent (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Quantile map of poverty data in Central Java province.

#### 4.2. Model fitting

After a descriptive analysis, the next analysis is to find a relevant model for poverty and its factors. Here, we specified spatial lag model (SLM) and spatial error model (SEM). The SLM and SEM models for this poverty data are expressed in equations (12) and (13):

$$y_i = \rho \sum_{j \neq i}^n w_{ij} y_j + \beta_0 + \beta_1 EDU_i + \beta_2 IND_i + \beta_3 TRD_i + \beta_4 AGR_i + \beta_5 SER_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (12)$$

and

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 EDU_i + \beta_2 IND_i + \beta_3 TRD_i + \beta_4 AGR_i + \beta_5 SER_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad (13)$$

$$\varepsilon_i = \lambda \sum_{j \neq i}^n w_{ij} \varepsilon_j + v, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

To choose the best model between SLM (12) and SEM (13), we use the statistics  $LM_{lag}$  and  $LM_{error}$ . The statistics  $LM_{lag}$  and  $LM_{error}$  are expressed in equations (14) and (15):

$$LM_{lag} = \frac{[\varepsilon'W\varepsilon/(\varepsilon'\varepsilon)/n]^2}{[(WX\beta)'(I - X(X'X)^{-1}X')WX\beta] + tr(W^2 + W'W)} \quad (14)$$

and


$$LM_{error} = \frac{[\varepsilon'W\varepsilon/(\varepsilon'\varepsilon)/n]^2}{tr(W^2 + W'W)}. \quad (15)$$

The distributions of  $LM_{lag}$  and  $LM_{error}$  are Chi-square distribution with degree of freedom 1. We use minimum  $p$  value to choose the model. The values of statistic, parameter and  $p$  value of  $LM_{lag}$  and  $LM_{error}$  are listed in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Statistic test of SLM and SEM models

Statistic	Parameter	$p$ value
$LM_{lag}$	4.0388	0.045
$LM_{error}$	6.1162	0.013

We can see that the  $p$  value of  $LM_{lag}$  is less than  $LM_{error}$ . Therefore, we use SLM for modeling poverty data. After choosing SLM model, we estimate parameter of SLM. Here, we again use MLE and TSLS parameter estimation methods. Figure 3 shows RMSE's MLE and RMSE's TSLS of the SLM model. There are two graphs in the chart. The orange graph shows the RMSE of MLE method and the blue graph shows RMSE of TSLS method. We can see that RMSE of MLE is less than RMSE of TSLS method. Therefore, we can say that the MLE is better than TSLS for modeling the poverty data.



**Figure 3.** RMSE of MLE and TSLS methods of poverty modeling in Central Java province.

Based on Figure 3, we then use ANOVA's MLE for modeling and analysis. Table 3 shows ANOVA's MLE of SLM model.

**Table 3.** Analysis of variance of poverty model

Variable	Coefficient	Std. error	z-value	Probability
W. poverty	0.4591	0.1560	2.9429	0.00160
Constant	24.23953	10.3837	2.3344	0.01958
EDU	-0.25014	0.13428	-1.8628	0.06248
IND	-0.04021	0.04915	-0.8181	0.41329
AGR	0.30412	0.07407	4.1056	0.00004
TRD	-0.02310	0.13740	-0.1681	0.86651
SER	-8.64677	4.05475	-2.1325	0.03297

Based on Table 3, the coefficient of W. poverty is significant, so we can say that adjacent districts influence each other. Further, from Table 3, we see that there are two significant predictors, namely, shares of GDP agriculture and GDP services. The coefficient of share of agriculture GDP is positive. This means that when share of the agriculture GDP increases, the number of poor people increases. The coefficient of services GDP is negative. This

means that when the share of services GDP value increases, the number of poor people decreases. The relationship between education, share of GDP industry and GDP trading is negative. This means that when all of them decrease, the number of poor people decreases, but they are not significant.

## 5. Conclusion

Estimation methods are influenced by the error distribution characteristic. In the SLM model, we simulate normal distributed errors for sample size ( $n$ ) from 20 to 500. Based on data simulation, all of RMSE's MLE are smaller than RMSE's TSLS, so we can conclude that the MLE's method is more efficient than TSLS's method when the errors are normally distributed.

Analysis of the estimation methods MLE and TSLS on SLM for modeling real data shows that the best choice in fitting SLM is MLE. Based on ANOVA's MLE, the coefficients of spatial lag and two predictors are significant, whereas the others are not significant. The increase in share of agriculture GDP causes significant increase in the number of poor people. The increase in share of services GDP causes significant decrease in the number of poor people. The increase in the number of people who graduated from junior high school, share of industry GDP and share of trading GDP causes decrease in the number of poor people, but they are not significant.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Jenderal Soedirman University (UNSOED). The authors thank the financial support from UNSOED through research fund of research competence with contract number: DIPA 042.01.2.400901/2017.

The authors also thank the anonymous referees for valuable suggestions and comments leading to the improvement of the manuscript.

### References

- [1] J. Aldstadt and A. Getis, Constructing the spatial weights matrix using local statistic, *Geographical Analysis* 36 (2004), 90-104.
- [2] L. Anselin, *Spatial Econometrics: Methods and Models*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1988.
- [3] L. Anselin, Local indicators of spatial association-LISA, *Geographical Analysis* 27 (1995), 93-115.
- [4] L. J. Bain and M. Engelhardt, *Introduction to Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, Brooks/Cole, 1992.
- [5] BPS - Statistics of Central Java Province, *Central Java Province in Figures*, 2016.
- [6] G. Casella and R. Berger, *Statistical Inference*, Wadsworth, Belmont, California, 1990.
- [7] N. A. C. Cressie, *Statistics for Spatial Data*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1993.
- [8] C. Gaetan and X. Guyon, *Spatial Statistics and Modelling*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2010.
- [9] A. Getis and J. K. Ord, The analysis of spatial association by use of distance statistics, *Geographical Analysis* 24 (1992), 189-206.
- [10] Jajang, A. Saefuddin, I. W. Mangku and H. Siregar, Comparing performances of WG,  $WG_{new}$  and WC on dynamic spatial panel model by Monte Carlo simulation, *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)* 90(1) (2014), 17-34.
- [11] H. H. Kelejian and I. R. Prucha, A generalized spatial two-stage least squares procedure for estimating a spatial autoregressive model with autoregressive disturbances, *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 17 (1998), 99-121.
- [12] H. H. Kelejian and I. R. Prucha, A generalized method of moments estimator for autoregressive parameter in a spatial model, *Int. Econ.* 40 (1999), 509-533.
- [13] J. Lu and L. Zhang, Evaluation of parameter estimation methods for fitting spatial regression models, *Forest Science* 56(5) (2010), 505-514.
- [14] K. Ord, Estimation methods for models of spatial interaction, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 70 (1975), 120-126.

- [15] J. K. Ord and A. Getis, Testing for local spatial autocorrelation in the presence of global autocorrelation, *Journal of Regional Science* 41(3) (2001), 411-432.
- [16] E. J. Purcell and D. Varberg, *Calculus*, 9th ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2007.
- [17] M. D. Ward and S. G. Kristiani, *Spatial Regression Models Series: Quantitative Application in the Social Science*, SAGE Publications Inc., California, 2008.

Jajang: rzjajang@yahoo.com